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INTRODUCTION

With the arrival of live visual software running on laptop computers, computer graphics got the equivalent of what musicians had with the laptop - the possibility to bring computer generated media into a live, real time performance setting. It became de rigueur that performances of electronic music be accompanied by projected visuals, either in collaboration with visual artists, sometimes as an ad-hoc accompaniment by the house VJ, or in holistic works conceived by a single artist, sometimes where sound and image were generated from a single computer and software. Regardless of musical style or of configuration, the question of linkages between what is seen and what is heard are at the base of how the audience, and critics, consider the work. We are quick to criticize work where the connection between sound and image seems arbitrary, unprepared, or unconsidered. At the opposite extreme, we praise the purity of hyper-minimalist work where sound and image seem to be the same signal, where the visuals become a kind of oscilloscope reading of the sound or some other kind of direct visualization. In between, work with looser association between sound and image are seen as being cinematic, often wondering if one medium has become subservient to the other, either as a soundtrack, or visual accompaniment.

In this implicit value system is the notion that direct association yields work of higher artistic quality. Is association the only objective criterium we can apply to the interrelationship between sound and image in a live audiovisual work? Are there really only 2 elements at play? This paper draws upon the concept of audiovisual objecthood proposed by Kubovy and Schutz to think about the different ways in which linkages between vision and audition can be established, and how audio-visual objects can be composed from the specific attributes of auditory and visual perception. We use Kubovy and Schutz’ model as a means to analyze these live audio-visual works performed using sensor-based instruments. The fact that gesture is not the only visual component in these performances, and is the common source articulating sound and visual output, extends the classical 2-way audiovisual object into a three-way relationship between gesture, sound, and image, fulfilling a potential of cross-modal objects.

We begin with a summary of Kubovy and Schutz’s original article, highlighting aspects of their theory that are relevant to live performance. We then present three audiovisual concert works from the 1990’s and 2000’s, two of them solo works, and one ensemble. We analyze the composition of these works using Kubovy and Schutz’ concepts, and finish by extending their work by going beyond triggering and adding a third, gestural, component to audio-visual objecthood.

ABSTRACT

This paper looks at 2 early digital audiovisual performance works, solo work Overbow and the group Sensors_Sonics_Sights (S.S.S) and describes the compositional and performance strategies behind each one. We draw upon the concept of audiovisual objecthood proposed by Kubovy and Schutz to think about the different ways in which linkages between vision and audition can be established, and how audio-visual objects can be composed from the specific attributes of auditory and visual perception. The model is used as a means to analyze these live audio-visual works performed using sensor-based instruments. The fact that gesture is not the only visual component in these performances, and is the common source articulating sound and visual output, extends the classical 2-way audiovisual object into a three-way relationship between gesture, sound, and image, fulfilling a potential of cross-modal objects.

We begin with a summary of Kubovy and Schutz’s original article, highlighting aspects of their theory that are relevant to live performance. We then present three audiovisual concert works from the 1990’s and 2000’s, two of them solo works, and one ensemble. We analyze the composition of these works using Kubovy and Schutz’ concepts, and finish by extending their work by going beyond triggering and adding a third, gestural, component to audio-visual objecthood.
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A sound object, on the other hand, is a source from objects. They propose that space is indispensable, experiment.” In the proposed experiment, they seek out to prove this duality by describing a “thought off the surface of the object. As long as the object is rendering it visible by virtue of light reflecting visible, the source of light illuminating it is discounted. A sound object, on the other hand, is a source from which sound emanates. While sound fills the space and is contextualized by the acoustic properties of that space, by reflecting off surfaces in the space, mechanisms of auditory perception such as the pre-cedence effect allow us to discount surface effects in sound to focus on the sound source itself.

In the tradition of experimental psychology, K&S set out to prove this duality by describing a “thought experiment.” In the proposed experiment, they seek to identify which attributes in visual and auditory perception are indispensable to distinguish separate objects. They propose that space is indispensable, because 2 lights shining on surfaces can be perceived as separate visual objects only if they don’t overlap in space. On the other hand, they state that color (light frequency) is not indispensable for visual objecthood - two distinct colors that overlap mix to create a new, single color. And two visual objects that are spatially distinct can have the same color and still be perceived as two separate objects.

In audition, on the other hand, frequency (or pitch) is indispensable. Two test tones that play together will be heard as a chord (a superposition of notes) rather than fusing as color does. Meanwhile space is not indispensable - two tones can be played in separate parts of the space, or superimposed one other the other, and still be perceived separately.

While the experiment does not address auditory effects where in fact two tones might combine to be heard as a single sound with richer harmonic partials, it does successfully describe the complementarity of attributes indispensable in vision and audition. One aspect not addressed in the experiment is the temporal dimension. For purposes of the experiment they state that time is assumed to be essential, that is indispensable, to both audition and vision. They go on later in the article to create linkages in time-based audiovisual events - the mallet strike of a marimba - and to separate sound and image components to show that variations in temporal characteristics of one mode (changing visual strike duration) can alter our perception of constancy in the other (an invariant percussion sound).

K&S use the complementarity of aspects essential to vision (surfaces, space) and audition (sources, frequency) to arrive at a Theory of Indispensable Attributes with which they establish how linkages lead to the formation of gestalts - wholes that are perceived as more than the sum of their parts - across perceptual modalities. According to K&S, it is through Gestalt laws of grouping that an otherwise disparate collection of elements might perceptually be grouped together to form the representation of a single object. This could be a group of dots or lines converging through optical illusion to imply a shape or form, or of a group of sounds coalescing to produce recognizable chords or melodies. Perceptual illusions allow us to interpret incipient objects in more than one way - a 3D wireframe of a cube can be interpreted to be viewed from above or below. The rapid alternation of note ranges in Bach’s sonatas for solo stringed instruments (violin or ‘cello) famously create the illusion of two distinct voices emanating from a single melodic line. K&S refer to these different possible ways to see the same thing as multiple putative objects. It is through forms of privileged cross-modal binding that our mechanisms of auditory and visual perception deduce an ecological context and decide on a single interpretation of an object.

JJ Gibson in the 1950s pioneered an ecological approach to understanding visual perception. K&S cite a famous quote of Gibson from 1966 where he describes the sensory elements at play in the perception of fire - sight, sound, heat, odor, and asks how these sensations come together to form the gestalt notion of flame. Gibson concludes that the dynamic at play is not just one of association, but one of discrimination from all the other sights, sounds, and smells that do not specify fire. Gibson’s invitation to go beyond direct association to consider ecological discrimination is a key driver in K&S’s privileged cross-modal bindings. It is also a fundamental element at play in our discussion of live audiovisual performance - how can we bring to bear the importance of cross-modal binding to avoid ad-hoc superposition of sound and image? At the same time, how do we go beyond the direct association dynamic seen in data visualization of sound and the “oscilloscope effect”?

K&S propose thinking of binding across perceptual modes by thinking about cross-modal causality. They describe a rather simple case of visible impact relating to a percussive sound, and describe studies of videos as well as abstracted animations of percussion instrument performance with different percussive and non-percussive sounds. They follow Gibson in not staying with simple association, but look to more robust forms of inter-modal binding. K&S talk about perceptual constancies - the permanent properties robust to changes in the aspect of a visual or audible object (such as changing light conditions) that allow an object to continue to be perceived as such. In this discussion, they show that human visual perception is robust to spectral flux, and that auditory perception is robust to acoustic flux. As the creative works described below are abstract sound/image works not situated in the ecological setting of real-world constraints, we are interested in going beyond the impact/percussion simplicity of K&S’s cross-modal causality and to actually incorporate flux in both sound and image as ways of bringing our abstract audiovisual performance objects to life.

EARLY WORKS

We describe two early solo performance works that combine gestural interaction with a live audiovisual system. The two compositions, Overbow, and Rail, were produced in 1993 and 1995 respectively, and performed through 2002. They were performed on the BioMuse, an instrument that translates neuron impulses from muscle tension resulting in performer arm movement performed by means of the electromyogram (EMG) signal. The BioMuse digitizes four channels of EMG (left and right forearm and triceps) and transcodes the amplitude envelope of muscle tension to a stream of MIDI Continuous Controller data. The incoming EMG data was processed in a computer running an early
The principle of a “canvas” - a base image (480x480 pixels) that can then be modified in real time in scale, repetition, brightness, contrast, color saturation, color mapping. This corresponds directly to Kubovy’s notion of image functioning off of surfaces. In addition to modification of a single canvas, MIDI Kaleido allows image mapping of a second image onto the first, creating a perturbation of the surface.

The compositional content for Overbow consists of parallels between sound and image, each medium comprised of two simple groups - the first clearly lending to objecthood, and the latter blurring the distinctions between sound and image where objecthood may be less distinct. The sound is comprised of physically impossible brass horn like sounds (produced on the TG77), where objecthood is established in a relatively traditional way - by conveying a notion of instrument, by playing notes and creating musical phrases and units. The second element in the sound are continuous walls of sound (sound itself as a surface) on the Wavestation where the “source” remains the same but where its context (feedback, filtering) shifts. The visual content similarly consists of two types of elements. 3D designer Enno Hyttrek produced a group of 3D models, which as objects, were imported as canvases. Visual artist Kerstin Weiberg produced a series of textures that worked directly as canvases. Creating dynamic MIDI controlled image mapping convolving the 3D objects with Weiberg’s textures created dynamic surfaces.

The performance opens with single brass notes and 3D objects appearing onscreen, articulated by simple upward clenches on the forearms. Continuing further up articulates higher register notes and modulates the size and position of the 3D blobs. What initially seems like traditional melodic phrases are in fact pinned down to constant aspects of the sound synthesis that do not transpose linearly with pitch. The modulating oscillator in the frequency modulation synthesis chain is held constant while the carrier oscillator (which determines the perceived pitch) transposes in response to gestural input. This creates a shifting timbral roughness not possible in the physical world as if a brass instrument were somehow able to play different pitches without change of acoustical length. The 3D blobs correspondingly appear in sizes and positions onscreen that are “melodically” displaced by the EMG gesture, but a constancy with respect to the background canvas pins down the otherwise independent object, deforming it in unexpected and unnatural ways.

The brass-like voice becomes sustained, the first indication that such a clearly articulated musical unit...
might lose or contempt its own objecthood. The 3D shape smears to fill the dimensions of the canvas and becomes fixed. Short metallic plucked sounds begin a slow, unpredictable yet incessant upward movement in a randomized meandering scale, accelerating and receding as the scale grows higher. This is a transient object, or whipping of tiny object prickers, that fades off in the distance just as it establishes itself. Underneath this, the frequency modulation of the sustained brass tones undulates, causing the static pedal to waver under the staccato plucks. The upward scale is not directly controlled by individual arm-hand gestures, but is a semi-automatic process where the speed, random distribution of pitches, density, and the upward arch are shaped by continuous modulations in muscle tension. It is this same muscle tension that modulates more directly the modulation parameters of the brass tones, linking the two distinct musical voices to a single solo performer gesture.

The top of this scale is muted with sustained effort and tension in the arms, effectively choking off the brass voices as well. The visuals use a luminance-add to blot the canvas empty. Arm tension hold this moment, which is finally released by a two-arm gesture that articulates a downward glissando ending in a low impact sound. This series is repeated - preparation, anticipatory glissando of varying length as the performer relaxes more or less quickly the held tension, punctuated by a fist and impactful blow. The last audiovisual mass is no longer an object to behold from a distance, but a mass that envelopes and contains the performer and spectator. The piece ends as this immersion diminished in the distance as if a translucent veil is slowly pulled off to the horizon, leaving an altered perception of the regular sounds of the surrounding environment in the concert space.

**Rail**

Rail is a second work for the same system, composed in 1995. The audio component continues to use an outboard rack-mounted MIDI synthesizer, switching to a Kurzweil K2000R for more integrated and sophisticated control of oscillators and sound samples together in reconfigurable signal processing chains. The visual component maintains use of Wenger’s MiDi Kaleido system.

There are just two core elements at play - pure generated tones, square waves and pulse-width modulation (PWM) of square waves to sweep a range of duty cycles, and metal samples, sound recordings of transients from metallic impact, looped, filtered, and time-stretched. The palette of images is entirely in black and white, with black and white bands of varying width and proportion corresponding to the square waves and PWM, and close up photography of metallic surfaces, steel, iron, and lattices.

The metallic timbre becomes a continuous complex oscillator of sorts, and the metal bars become not singular, but a period in a cyclic continuum.

In another section of the piece, metal strikes are introduced. Simple thresholds on the left arm EMG are set to trigger events when a clench of the fist and percussive strike are articulated. This sounds single samples of sharp metal strikes and at the same transforms the inside of the white bars to take on a metal trellis texture. The right arm muscles enter into coordination, with differences in tension at the moment of the left arm strikes changing the selection of metal sample. A certain number of samples are reversed, allowing the sample selection to play a series of forward and backward metal sounds, performed by synchronized gestures on the arms. The envelope of the metal sample modulates zoom and rotation in the graphical bars making what previously were horizontal flutters begin to pop out towards the audience, at spinning while zooming. Increasing activity and tempo in the striking gestures superposes the strikes, creating a density of percussive sound with interlocked bars at differing dynamic zooms and spin angle, creating trellises of trellised bars.

The expansion and deformation of metal turns it from a percussive object (single shot sample, single bar trellis) into an oscillator like permanent sound, recalling the original pure tones of the beginning. The metallic timbre becomes a continuous complex oscillator of sorts, and the metal bars become not singular, but

---

**The metallic timbre becomes a continuous complex oscillator of sorts, and the metal bars become not singular, but a period in a cyclic continuum.**
a period in a cyclic continuum. Eventually the “duty cycle” of the sustained metal waveform fills to 100%, leaving no black between bars, filling the canvas with metal texture, filling the acoustic space with infinitely time stretched percussive instant. The metal object becomes surface, and similar to the close of Overbow, a wall of noise, this time digital, metallic, infinite, where shifting layers expose internal melodies.

S_S_S
Sensors_Sonics_Sights (S_S_S) is a later project (2003-2008) that differs from Overbow and Rail in a number of ways. While it retains use of the BioMuse and EMG in live sound/image performance, it is not a solo work, but a trio ensemble. The trio consisted of the author on the BioMuse, Laurent Dailleau on Theremin, and Cécile Babiole on ultrasound sensors. The group developed a series of six audiovisual compositions that were performed in different combinations, in different contexts, from galleries to festivals, clubs to concert halls, during the five year life of the group. Instead, all communication takes place in non-technical channels, through eye contact, and gestural coordination amongst the performers. This use of traditional techniques for musical ensemble performance are called into play to execute digital, audiovisual compositions. In the group, all three instruments are gestural instruments. Alongside the futuristic nature of the BioMuse is the Theremin, invented in the 1920’s and one of the original, most iconic gestural musical instrument. Dailleau’s use of of the Theremin is both directly as an analog sound source, as well as through MSP-based pitch-tracking to use the Theremin as control interface for digitally generated sounds. Babiole’s ultrasound instrument takes distance measuring sensors of the same type found on STEMI’s The Hands, but mounted in a stationary fashion so as to sense hand movement in front of the laptop. Babiole’s Jitter patch translates hand movements to graphics animation. In this musical trio, then, two musicians (Tanaka, Dailleau) play sound and one musician (Babiole) plays image. Correspondence between sound and image is compositional in the choice of materials for each piece, and based on ensemble communication at the time of execution.

Le Loup is one composition often played as the opening piece in an S_S_S set. It opens with Dailleau alone, bringing in, from silence, a rich, thick bass pedal. It is not static, but is a long, slow ostinato melody, not dissimilar to a passacaglia or chaconne. On second iteration of the minute-long figure, Babiole enters with slow movements of her hands, articulating the appearance of a group of thin parallel white lines in the projection. The lines are broken and do not traverse the whole of the screen. The regularity of the group of lines, each with their break off point start to imply a shape through absence. The implied emergence of a shape or object in the canvas through surface deformat is similar to the dynamic image mapping seen in Overbow and Rail. Here it is richer, by taking on 3D geometry, but simpler and more stark, as it described only as a series of very low resolution horizontal scan lines. Finally in the third repetition of the bass ostinato, Tanaka enters with slowly gnarled inward grasping gestures articulating a kind of muted mumbling voice-like sound. As the grasping becomes more intense, the gnarled sound becomes less muted, and it becomes apparent that the sound is not of human voices, but of wolves growing. Through this evolution, Dailleau continues the ostinato. The implied volumetric form deforms the horizontal scan lines more and more in Babiole’s. The associations are not literal, but the two musical voices can be seen grossly as the canvas of horizontal scan lines (ostinato) and the volumes pushing through them (wolves).

Another piece, Crackles, is built solely on tiny snaps and pops, taking the nostalgic aesthetic of crackles on a vinyl record, and isolating it, making it the main material in the composition, performing the pops from BioMuse, Theremin, and ultrasound gesture. The audio sources used by Tanaka and Dailleau are audio artifacts - perhaps there were samples of vinyl surface noise, alongside data artifacts, and short noises. For the visuals, Babiole uses a matrix of rectangular white pixels. Early in the piece when articulated throwing or tossing gestures from the BioMuse sprinkle the audible field with small crackles, Babiole’s pixels appear transiently like digital snow, quickly blown away by the wind. As Dailleau enters with scuttling aerosol swells, the pixels form into an organized matrix, establishing the canvas surface. The piece moves into less ad hoc, more mechanical repetitions, by which point groups of pixels, subdivisions of the canvas in vertical bands,
DISCUSSION

checkered groupings of pixels begin to break apart in the z-axis, popping out of the screen towards the viewer. At the climax of the piece when low frequency noise impulses almost set a rhythm, these panels of pixels are shifting and dancing rigidly in the depth plane, pixellating the surface in large low-res pixels which themselves are composed of the smaller elementary pixels. The near coordination of events ultimately dissolves as the piece reverts back to the lighter spatial character of the beginning, closing on wisps of pops and wheezes, pixels blown off the canvas in one last breath.

Noise is a piece often used either as the climactic moment in an S-S-S set, else as a strong finale. It uses the principles from the last sections of Overbow and Rail, of infinitely stretched materials creating a wall of sound and image. This follows noise artist Masami Akita (aka Merzbow’s) original inspiration to delve into the essence of rock music by entering into the drum solo, remove the song around it, and make a whole song, album, or career out of the energy in the solo. Tanaka this time lays out the sonic carpet with a series of oppressive low frequency blips punctuated by repetition of distant implosions. Babiole’s Open GL line graphics quickly take shape as wireframe representation of a shifting surface. She cycles through subdued green, blue, orange tones while rotating 3D perspective, creating a three dimensional fly over effect, as Daileau enters with tortured squeals. The composition is a classical single upward Boléro-ian arch, building toward a peak of a seemingly inescapable crescendo. The upper harmonic make up of the instrument sound is fixed and do not transpose with the fundamental. This means that as the main tone plays a melody, certain synthesis parameters are held constant. It is as if some aspect of the sound source is rendered immobile, pulled out of the source and stuck to the background surface in a constant location. While the metaphor is not so attractive, it is as if one walked on some chewing gum, and lifting most of it off the ground while the sole of the shoe (the melody) while some parts remain stuck to the pavement (the surface).

Kubovy's source/surface distinction becomes more ambiguous as the sounds in Overbow lose their note and phrase-based objecthood to become infinitely stretched washes. Meanwhile the visual canvas takes on life with dynamic image mapping that deform surface depth. The stretching of individual musical note into sustained tone then noise make it not so much a surface, but make its acoustic force in the concert space take on volumetric thickness. Likewise, the bulging out into space of the visual canvas make the image/surface object itself volumetric but short of becoming a "source". Working in acoustic volumes and implied visual volumes creates a kind of meeting point between sonic sources and light reflecting surfaces where sound objects and visual objects undergo a rapprochement not through association, but by losing constraints specific to their original mediums.

Kubovy and Schutz evoke causality as a relationship stronger than simple association. If a visual event, such as an impact, were seen to cause an audio phenomena, in their example, a percussive sound (or vice versa if some event in the sound were perceived to cause some change in image), the objecthood of the audiovisual pair is seen to be stronger. In the performance works described here, there is a third element in addition to the sound and the image - there is the performer's gesture that is captured by the interactive system. In simplest terms, the gesture, as "controller" is the common cause of perturbations in both sound and image. However, interaction, it is said in HCI research, should not be seen as a one way street, and rich expressive musical interaction, according to research in the field of NIME, should be systems that give back to the performer as much as they take from the performer. The feedback section towards the end of Overbow is a classic situation from electric guitar and amplifier feedback where performer gestural feedback is not just volitional, but reactive. In the case of the EMG based performance, shaping gestures "tunes" the feedback and keeps the audiovisual system from going out of bounds. Far from being just a controller, the performer just responds to the behavior of the media he is producing within the very space he inhabits. The feedback, then, is not just sound output feeding back into sound input, but is a systemic process where unstable audiovisual projection instigates the performer to adjust posture and gesture, which in turn tunes audiovisual output. The audience is caught inside this large feedback loop, and from its multilateral causality, begins to construct a high level gestural-audio visual cross modal object.

This recalls Gibson's ecological view of causality where causality is not just a question of what causes what, but helps us to understand one thing in the perceptual absence of the other, or of one element without the other. This helps us infer situations in environments where we have a priori knowledge. Experimental media performances are situations where the audience has little a priori knowledge, or where a priori assumptions maybe usurped. Instead of a known environment, each piece or composition creates a new environment, or ecology, and within the duration of its performance, must establish the environment, and familiarize the spectator sufficiently with it for them to make Gibsonian distinctions of presence, absence, linkage and causality.

Rail takes the environmental approach to causality as a means to extend traditional musical notions of objecthood. The base musical object is no longer the pitched note, phrase, or melodic unit, but the ways in which certain gestures are generated. Even though the performer is a part of the environment, the audience is not aware of the performer's actions. The visualization-like effect of white band tracking audio-visual cross modal object.
dio pulse width is a form of direct association. Its articulation by performer gesture adds a common single cause to the two media, and with it a cause in their association. If we consider this tripartite relationship as a cross-modal environment, in Gibson’s terms, the spectator could perceive incomplete subsets of the complete environment - for example only sound and image, or only gesture and sound, the situation still makes sense to the spectator. The association is not the key relationship, but a by-product of the causality, and the causality is robust to absence. Together, gesture, visual bands, and pure tone frequencies take on a kind of objecthood, a cross modal object that lacks traditional bounds of acoustic impact or visual event described by Kubovy, but that take on a palpable, visceral, near material quality.

S_S_S explodes the notion of gestural-audiovisual object into a multi-user ensemble context. Rather than exploiting the Theory of Indispensable Attributes to distinguish amongst multiple objects that may be generated by multiple players, the three members of the trio constitute together through coordinated ensemble performance, large scale multi-faceted cross modal objects. These are complex objects that may be made up of multiple voices, layers, or masses of particles. At the same time as there is a multifarious complexity, the actual primitives used are highly elemental. Short snippets of sound, the howling of one object into a multi-user ensemble context. Rather described by Kubovy, but that take on a palpable, viscerality, near material quality.

We have applied a concept of audio-visual object proposed by Kubovy and Schutz as a framework for analyzing several early live sound/image performance works. Kubovy’s framework itself is built upon principles of environmental psychology established by J. J. Gibson, one where linkages between different perceptual modes is based not just on association, but on causality, as well as the possibility of different incomplete views where absence still allows a sense of the perceptual whole. The extension of association by way of causality and absence is a useful model to enrich relationships between sound and image in audiovisual artworks to go beyond simple effects of synaesthetic visualization and sonification.

The experimental media practice in Overbow, Rail, and the group S_S_S allow us to push the boundaries of objecthood from the classical impact/percussive event model described by Kubovy. We use traditional musical elements such as notes and melodies as a point of departure to stretch the limits of what might constitute aspects of a cross-modal object. While Kubovy takes time as a given, it is in the temporal nature of gesture, and corresponding evolution in what is seen and what is heard that elemental characteristics of sound such as frequency and image such as deformation coalesce to comprise a form of objecthood that draws upon audition and vision. In this way, we do not seek to identify indispensable attributes that distinguish multiple objects, but discover minimal characteristics that may not establish objecthood in an isolated mode, but contribute to object formation when modes of movement, sound, and image come together.

CONCLUSION
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The gestural, performative nature of the works analyzed provides an opportunity to push Kubovy’s framework beyond a binary relationship between sound and image. With their two-way relationship, the visual component of the audiovisual object also becomes the virtual gesture (mallet hit) causing the heard event. In the three-way cross-modality of the pieces presented here, gesture can be cause of sound, image, or both, freeing the sound/image relationship from direct causality. The tripartite audiovisual object uses Kubovy and Schutz’s framework and extends it to describe performer-driven live audiovisual works.

Images for Overbow were created by Kerstin Weiberg and Enno Hyttrek. Rail was produced using an early pre-cursor to Eric Wenger’s software, Videodelic. Sensors_Sonics_Sights was a trio comprised of the author, Cécile Babiole, and Laurent Dailleau.
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