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Abstract

This thesis proposes a theory of cartographic abstraction as a framework for investigating cartographic viewing, and does so through engaging with a series of contemporary artworks concerned with cartographic ‘ways of seeing’ (Berger 1972). Cartographic abstraction is a material modality of thought and experience that is produced through cartographic techniques of depiction. It is the more-than-visual register that posits and produces the ‘cartographic world’, or what John Pickles has called the ‘geo-coded world’ (2006). By this I mean the naturalized apprehension of the earth as a homogeneous space that is naturally, even necessarily, understood as regular, consistent and objective. I argue for identifying cartographic techniques of depiction as themselves abstract, and cartographic abstraction as such as the modality of thought and experience that these techniques produce. Abstraction within capitalism comes to be socially real and material, taking place outside thought.

I propose a series of viewpoints, that are posited by the relations of viewing enacted by the selected artworks themselves. I analyse these viewpoints in relation to modes of cartographic viewing offered by theorists. Through close readings of cartographic artworks, I expand the current possibilities for understanding cartographic abstraction and its effects, through proposing a range of viewpoints that are both deployed in, and themselves problematize, cartographic viewing. I connect cartographic abstraction to debates about abstraction in Marxist and materialist approaches to philosophy, arguing for interpreting cartographic viewing as an abstract practice through which subjects are positioned and structured in relation to the ‘viewed’. This study discerns ‘real abstraction’ functioning in a particular area of ‘the operations of capitalism’; that is, modes of visual, and epistemological, abstraction that we can identify by exploring artworks concerned with cartographic depiction and conceptualisation. This approach to abstraction explores how cartographic knowledge can be theorized through recognising cartographic abstraction as a material
modality of thought and experience.
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Introduction

Cartographic Abstraction: Mapping Practices in Contemporary Art

In this study, I bring together close readings of contemporary artworks with materialist approaches to abstraction. This is an interdisciplinary investigation concerned with enlarging the current possibilities for critically understanding viewing and subjectivity in the area of cartographic imagery. I aim to push beyond the highly productive framework of critical cartography, to articulate a new approach to understanding cartography’s effects in the world. In order to do this, the new theoretical proposal that I put forward and use throughout this study is 'cartographic abstraction'.

Cartographic abstraction is a material modality of thought and experience that is produced through techniques of cartographic depiction. It is the more-than-visual register that both posits and produces the ‘cartographic world’, or what John Pickles has called the ‘geo-coded world’ (2006). By this I mean the naturalized apprehension of the earth as a homogeneous space that is naturally, even necessarily, understood as regular, consistent and objective. I argue for identifying cartographic techniques of depiction as themselves abstract, and cartographic abstraction as such as the modality of thought and experience that these techniques produce.

While many critics have noted and discussed abstract processes as central to the making of cartographic imagery, particularly projection, symbolisation, scale, and generalisation (Monmonier 1996, Jacob 2006, Wood 2008, 2010), I build on these insights to put forward a theory of cartographic abstraction, particularly concerned with cartographic viewing. By cartographic viewing, I mean the encultured practice of apprehending the world through the reading, viewing, and interpreting of cartographic imagery; principally ‘the map’, but also images, and especially artistic
images, that use or engage with cartographic techniques. I therefore refer to ‘the cartographic image’ throughout this study, in preference to ‘the map’, in order to engage with imagery addressing ‘cartographic techniques’ through which the world is rendered as an image. Cartographic abstraction, then, is the central critical term that is proposed, theorized and explored across the seven chapters that follow.

The group or constellation of visualization practices that I consider in this study all share, I argue, processes and capacities that may usefully be identified as ‘cartographic’. In the context of the capacity of toponymy to order the space of the map, to effect the “spatialisation of knowledge” (Jacob, p.201), Jacob articulates a description of cartography that encompasses the field of theoretical concern to which I contribute the framework of cartographic abstraction:

The inscription of toponymy on the map is one reason the earth cannot resemble its maps. Never will the earth appear to the eye of a satellite or the aerial observer as something covered with toponyms. The mimetic process stops where writing begins […] The cartographer creates a world: not the natural world, but a cultural world, invested by one language among other possible ones, attesting to an organized space, punctuated with meaningful and constructed places, invaded by a reticulation of proper names that bear witness to the appropriation of space through chains of metaphors, fields of knowledge, components of individual or collective mythology, and the declension of lexical variations (Jacob, p.206).

Following Jacob, the cartographic image is concerned with ‘spatializing knowledge’, with ‘creating a cultural world’, with ‘attesting to an organized space, with constructing meaningful places, and with the ‘appropriation of space’. Toponyms, as one cartographic practice, “result from a point of view on space, a particular position of the body and the gaze, a selection
from among many possible correlations.” (Jacob, p.204) I argue that cartographic imagery at large may also be characterized this way, as always a selection, and a categorization, always an active process of producing visual conceptions – visualizations – that posit and structure a ‘point of view on space’ that is complex, constructed, and abstract. Therefore, cartographic abstraction is not exhausted by considering viewing; rather, I argue that a sustained attention to the ways in which cartographic viewing, and visualization, posit the viewing subject enriches an area that has not yet been fully explored, and may contribute to further work on the role of abstraction in cartographic ‘ways of seeing’.

Viewpoints as abstractions, and reflexivity in cartographic viewing

I argue for identifying a series of abstract ‘viewpoints’ – ‘a point of view on space, a particular position of the body and the gaze’ – as themselves cartographic abstractions (as nouns, or entities), and as processes of the modality of thought and experience that I term cartographic abstraction. Some of the viewpoints I consider are already in existence in popular discourse – the god’s eye view, the drone’s eye view, and to some extent, the antipodes; some have arisen in critical and theoretical discourse – the zenithal gaze (Söderström, 1996), panoptic viewing (Foucault, 1977), the Apollonian gaze (Cosgrove, 2001); while the synoptic view has been touched on by others (Wood, 2008, p.195, and Cosgrove, 2001, p.27) and I expand on it further. I also consider a particular case of cartographic viewing in an art installation, to explore beyond the trope of the viewpoint-as-abstraction in terms of cartographic viewing. I introduce these viewpoints in more detail below, paying particular attention to the ways in which they posit and structure their viewing subject, how they effect cartographic visualization, and what effects they have on each other and the ‘cultural world’ of which they are a part.

The critical concerns of the research are reflected in the methodology I use to explore and analyse both the cartographic viewpoints and the artworks that open out these theoretical concerns. This research occupies a
thoroughly interdisciplinary position at the intersection of critical cartography, art theory, critiques of visuality, and debates in Marxian approaches to epistemology. I draw on existing critical approaches to the problem of cartographic ‘power’ to forward my approach which foregrounds power in terms of the constitution and re-constitution of modes of viewing that are formative of the viewer as well as the viewed. I therefore draw on existing critiques of cartographic viewing that arise not only in work that positions itself as concerned with cartography, but also in work concerned with visuality more broadly, representation and visualization, relations of power and domination between viewer and viewed, and methods of remote visualization. Abstraction has so far received limited theoretical treatment in terms of critical cartographic discourse, and I use the interdisciplinary situation of this research to expand and extend this cartographic interest in abstraction into a more fully developed theoretical framework.

A central critical concern of this research is with the reading and viewing of cartographic imagery, in contrast to the concern, foremost in critical cartographic work, with the making, or production, of such imagery. I therefore focus on the experience of the subject who interprets, reads, views and experiences cartographic imagery, and artistic imagery. With this critical concern in mind, in each chapter I offer a subjective and experimental account of the viewing encounter with the cartographic, and artistic, image. I emphasize the viewing experience in order to consider the interested character of cartographic imagery, in fostering particular viewing positions through which the viewed is rendered legible and intelligible to the viewer with a range of purposes in viewing such images.

I have developed an approach that embraces political, artistic and geographic practices and approaches; this innovative approach is called for by the complexity of the cartographic image, and artistic images that address cartographic ways of seeing and knowing. The object of study is not concerned with having its effects in one specific disciplinary area, or rather, one area of living, seeing, knowing, and theorizing, and accordingly
the critical approach must be able to embrace this multiplicity. As part of this endeavour I am concerned with what it means for me to view, attempting to consider my viewing experiences theoretically, as a viewing subject, and considering how this sheds light on how the viewer more broadly is posited through cartographic ways of seeing and knowing.

My concern with cartography began through encountering artistic appropriations of recognisably cartographic imagery, which led me to consider cartography’s effects through the register of hegemony. Following the Gramscian interpretation, I understood cartographic production as hegemonic in the sense that popular assent is secured for the knowledge claims made by institutional and state-led forms of mapping. Cartographic art, in this context, could be positioned as offering a site of resistance to cartography’s hegemonic domination of consciousness and to its wider role in the dynamics of colonialism and imperialism. This resistance may be framed in terms of developing ‘contrapuntal cartographies’. This idea takes up Matthew Sparke’s (1998a) adaptation of Edward Said’s (1994) concept of contrapuntal readings in order to emphasize the subtlety of submerged discourses in understandings of imperialism. Some of the nuance in this framing of the critique of cartography’s hegemonic tendencies is lost in the recent emergence of ‘counter mapping’. While very usefully anchored in social and political critique, this mode of resistant practice tends to focus on the production of cartography (for example mapping ‘from below’) rather than addressing the much more commonplace and widely shared practice of cartographic viewing.

Through engaging in theoretically and critically interpreting artistic cartographic imagery, I increasingly found the need to engage reflexively with my own viewing. I recognized the positioning of my own viewing, both physically and critically, as central to the interpretations I was formulating. By ‘physically and critically’ I mean to encapsulate a range of experiences: viewing artworks online, remotely, via a screen, as well as being physically
present to other artworks; viewing as someone with trained habits of thought, interpretation and valuing (with a background in art history and fine art, as well as critical theory); viewing repeatedly; re-considering some artworks long after first encountering them; ‘actively’ viewing in the sense of reading, looking up place names online and in gazetteers, and with duration as reading leads the eye around the cartographic image in a non-linear manner; and viewing interestedly, seeking to generate ‘knowledge’ through the encounter with the image.

Alongside these more individualized concerns, which to a certain extent take the viewing experience to be something that goes on while one is alone, I was concerned to explore the relationship between individualized reading and interpreting, on the one hand, and the larger social level, on the other. That is, where critical cartography – at the risk of oversimplifying an increasingly diverse field – opens out very important critiques of, for example, the nation as a socially constituted and spatialized political form, I became interested in understanding more deeply the ‘person’ who exists within such a formation.

In exploring how it might be possible to attend to both the ‘social scale’ through which subjectivity is mediated, and the personal level at which perception and interpretation are experienced, I turned to a slow, detailed approach to writing about artworks, as well as to the theoretical framework of real abstraction. This affords the recognition that consciousness, thought and perception are at once mediated, constituted and delimited through the social reality of commodity exchange relations (Sohn-Rethel, 1978), but also that the ‘site’ at which these abstract relations are experienced and lived through is the particular, embodied person. While this problematic is, of course, very extensive and cannot be adequately addressed by a single thesis, it provides the motivating framework for this study. I pursue in depth the question of how the mediating power of capitalist abstraction operates in terms of the cartographic rendering of the
world as image, and how the viewer of such imagery may be, partially, theorized.

**The relevance of cartographic abstraction**

Where critical cartography has been very concerned to address issues at the higher level, then, such as Pickles’ important emphasis on attending to ‘the subjects we become’, I attempt to push this forward to start to take account of the particularity of viewing as a subject whose viewing is always also constituted in and through the map or the cartographic image. This provides a rich framework for critical inquiry into some of the visual aspects of social modalities of abstraction¹, treating the image as a site of inquiry into material processes of abstraction, processes of abstraction that are not confined to the visual, and so should not be studied using one disciplinary approach. In the context of a ‘perverted’ and ‘inverted’ reality (Loftus 2015) we need more innovative and multivalent approaches to visual images that are engaging with some of the methods through which this reality is formed and how it continues to be reproduced.

The artworks gathered here provide an opportunity to inquire into visual ways of knowledge-making, visual techniques and the resulting artifacts, working with the understanding of, or working with a theoretical commitment to, the recognition of social abstractions. What is needed now is work that explores ways of fleshing out and expanding on what we can do with the framework of social abstraction, to attempt to find ways of analysing how subjects are mediated by, and constituted through, particular modalities of abstraction. The framework of cartographic abstraction arises from consideration of the works, in conjunction with my emphasis on social abstraction, and also necessarily shapes the further

---

¹ In chapter seven I discuss a range of approaches that have been taken in terms of articulating abstraction as a feature of social life, that is, made between and among people rather than being a function of thought alone. In that context, I also briefly consider Henri Lefebvre’s (1991) central theoretical contribution to this area, the framework of space as a concrete abstraction.
theorizing that I engage in. The purpose of identifying distinct, or distinguishable, modalities of cartographic abstraction is to make it possible to consider their effects, whether, for example, enabling the visualization of persons living on the other side of the world, or underwriting visualizations of particular lands as empty of meaning and inhabitants, or making possible the visualization of the earth as a body in space, a coherent abstraction that goes on to authorize and support political understandings and uses of the iconic earth.

I have selected the artworks that I consider in the following chapters on the basis of the interest they seem to take in ways of seeing, viewing and knowing, treating visual ways of knowing as complex, intricate, and involved in taking up physical and conceptual positions in relation to what is seen, whether that is a photograph of a sky at sunset, or a map of the Thames that is not as it seems.

The series of viewpoints through which this study is organized, which I outline in more detail below, have emerged as a way for me to articulate some of what cartographic abstraction produces, and how it proceeds. I have not set out to develop a theory of, for example, Apollonian viewing as such; rather, the attempt to explore how cartographic viewing renders the world and co-constitutes the viewer has led me to engaging with existing work that approaches this question. Some of the formulations that I have concretized as viewpoints have been proposed by others, and I have taken up and extended them. This has in turn led to my being able to use the idea of the abstract viewpoint as such to examine situations of viewing that are not already understood in this way.

*The thesis chapters*

I begin with a thematic literature review that contextualizes my formulation of cartographic abstraction in relationship to existing critical approaches, most particularly in critical cartography. Chapters two through six engage in close readings of the selected artworks, leading to theoretical proposals
relating to the cartographic viewpoints. Chapter seven then takes an overview of the theoretical proposals regarding cartographic abstraction emerging from the five preceding chapters, and outlines relationships between cartographic abstraction and the Marxian problematic of real abstraction.

1 - Cartographic viewing as cartographic abstraction: literature on mapping, visuality and abstraction

I contextualize my study of cartographic abstraction with reference to critical cartography initially, examining deconstruction and the critique of cartographic power which began in earnest in the 1980s. The question of how, and whether, to define the map gives the initial context for my wider proposal to engage with cartographic processes and the imagery they produce in terms of practices of abstraction. Selection, distortion and loss of particularity are seen as necessary, but not neutral, factors in the possibility and efficacy of cartographic visualization, and are examined in the context of the existing critique of cartographic power. ‘Contrapuntal cartographies’ have developed from these theoretical critiques, including practices and modes of depiction that seek to undo some of the power relationships that are now seen as embodied in cartography. These contrapuntal approaches engage primarily with cartography as a practice of, and a means of access to, power. I both draw and diverge from these ‘activist’ approaches to situate my theory of cartographic abstraction as an alternative approach to addressing and contesting the violences engendered by cartographic visualization.

2 - Apollonian Viewing: turning the planetary view inside out in Targets by Joyce Kozloff

Targets problematizes and critiques the cartographic synoptic view through a critical redeployment of the panoptic view. I offer a reading of Targets as combining aspects of the cartographic synoptic view, and the tension
surrounding the idea of embodiment in the debate over panoptic and ‘synoptic’ viewing. I explore the question of how Targets may be 'read' as an approach to encountering and responding to the work as a viewer-reader. I draw on ideas of encountering installation art as an embodied experience, to interpret and begin to theorize my own ‘remote viewing’ of this artwork.

I offer a close reading of a selected area of Targets to open out questions of map interpretation in light of the recognition of maps as irreducibly both graphic images and texts. Closely linked to this subjective approach to interpretation, I explore the new, 'deconstructive', collaged cartography of the globe as performed by Targets; attention is also given to the cartographic silences created through the active de-selection of cartographic imagery. The viewing position formed in the artwork offers an identification with an imagined viewing position of the United States, conspicuous by its non-depiction in this re-worked 'world map'.

Focussing on Targets as enacting a panoptic viewing position, it is through reading the work’s imaginative geographies that critical reading of the power in the panoptic and synoptic viewpoint is both forwarded and nuanced. I argue for reading both panoptic viewing and Apollonian viewing as cartographic abstractions that are both undermined and reconfigured in the abstract viewing position staged by Targets.

I deploy ‘panoptic viewing’ here as a mode of viewing that is ‘layered over’ more properly cartographic modes, as an approach to artistically critiquing the synoptic view. I do not, therefore, propose it as a mode that is itself cartographic. The panoptic view renders the viewed subject legible to its coercive and disciplinary gaze. Arising from Foucault’s (1977) analysis of Jeremy Bentham’s eighteenth century proposal of the Panopticon as a form of prison, the idea of ‘the panoptic’ has been widely used and extended, not unproblematically, to areas of ‘disciplinary’ viewing more broadly. The expanded form, ‘panopticism’ has been described as “the universal imposition of technologies of control”, whereby “[t]he power to
see, the power to make visible, is the power to control” (Levin, 1993, p.7). Panoptic viewing enacts a disciplinary power on the viewed subject, such that the subject comes to internalize the function of the apparatus and use ‘their own’ agency to shape their conduct in conformity with the requirements of the ‘guard’, or the apparatus itself. In relation to cartographic viewing, panoptic viewing theorizes the directedness of power from the position of the viewer toward the viewed initially. The internalisation of this power in the viewed subject perpetuates the dynamic of domination and submission. The directionality of the panoptic view is lateral, or horizontal, in contrast to the more typically cartographic approach of viewing from above.

Panoptic viewing also turns on the notion of inhabitation, in the form of the figure of the guard who is thought to inhabit the position of control at the centre of the apparatus; however, the unknowability of the embodied status of the central position (from the point of view of the subject consciousness) is the source of the panoptic view’s disciplinary efficacy. The panoptic view’s mobility is very limited; while the question of the presence or non-presence of a viewer could be seen in terms of the viewer’s mobility into and out of the viewing position, it largely works through forming a viewing position from which all that is relevant may be surveyed and surveilled from one static position. Panoptic viewing is not generally applied to geographic or cartographic questions, and is discursively produced in other disciplinary formations (including critical theory at large, and surveillance studies more particularly) and ‘imported’ here for the purposes of critical analysis.

In contrast, the ‘Apollonian gaze’, or ‘Apollo’s eye’ (Cosgrove, 2001) describes a viewpoint that is positioned outside the earth, at the level of a ‘god’, from which the earth is viewed as a unitary whole. The NASA images of the ‘Blue Marble’ are one of most resonant instances of this viewpoint being used to visualize the earth in space (Kurgan, 2013, Cosgrove, 2001). The effectivity of this viewpoint, for Cosgrove, is to enrol practices of viewing and conceptualising the earth, from a point outside it, in discourses
of globalisation. The directionality of the Apollonian gaze is from outside the earth, ‘downwards’ or ‘inwards’, apprehending the planet as an object in space. Like the zenithal gaze, this viewpoint is also conceptually inhabitable; while its name draws on the idea of the (or a) god’s eye view, the Apollonian gaze has also fostered the development of technological means to enable viewing of the earth from space, and in this sense its own inhabitation by technological bodies and viewing apparatuses.

The Apollonian gaze is conceptually positioned at the height of a body in orbit around the earth, and this also endows it with a high degree of mobility. The earth may be conceptually, and physically, viewed from ‘above’ any area of its surface. In this way, the extreme ‘height’ of the Apollonian gaze gives way to being ‘outside’ the coordinates of ‘above’ and ‘below’, although this viewpoint frequently produces images that perpetuate the cartographic conceit of identifying north with the top of the image. The technological character of this viewpoint is initially cartographic, and subsequently actualized through the development of space flight and satellite photography.

In terms of legibility, the Apollonian gaze renders visible the earth as a whole, as an entity in space. It is a substantially cartographic viewpoint, then, constituted also through technological and particularly photographic practices. The temporality of this viewpoint is nuanced; from its position external to the earth, multiple time zones may be viewed simultaneously. However, in visualizing the earth as an object in space, at least half of the earth’s surface remains obscured from visibility. In this way, the Apollonian gaze performs both an enhanced visibilising and a necessary obscuring simultaneously. In contrast to the cartographic synoptic view, panopticism and the Apollonian gaze both invoke notions of embodied viewing, while the synoptic view remains more abstract, uninhabitable and unrealizable through technological development.

3 - Re-visualizing the drone’s eye view: networked vision and visibility in works by James Bridle and Trevor Paglen
In this chapter I take three critical artworks as the starting point for my theorisation of the drone’s eye view as a cartographic abstraction. I offer a subjective and exploratory reading of each work, and consider the modes of visualization that are at stake in terms of their making a critical response to the phenomenon of drone viewing.

The ‘drone’s eye view’ is configured rather differently to the other, more clearly cartographic, abstract viewpoints considered here. This viewpoint denotes the contemporary and historical phenomenon of remote viewing, through a range of technological practices, carried out by means of remotely piloted military aircraft. I use the term ‘drone’s eye view’ to denote and include the range of practices that are enrolled in, and constitutive of, the remote viewing capacities performed through the use of drones, as well as the abstract viewpoint thus created, and the capacities that are frequently attributed to these remote viewing practices. While ‘drone’s eye view’ is already in use in popular and critical discourse, I specify it here in terms of its capacities to visualize landscape, territory and abstract space, and to construct persons and places as targets. I take up the idea of the drone’s eye view, like the god’s eye view, from popular usage, and attempt to expand – potentially to over-stretch – its conception of what and how a drone ‘sees’. Gregoire Chamayou argues that military drones perform a ‘networked’ view (Gregory, 2015, p.2) and Derek Gregory (2011) pays close attention to the material practices through which drone viewing is produced, based on the live interaction that takes place among operators, other pilots in the battle-space, analysts, and particularly the video feeds which are analysed and used to inform operational decisions immediately. I build from their analyses to conceptualize the drone’s eye view as being far from an isolated position of weaponized agency, but rather a dispersed, networked and fully material mode of viewing and acting at a distance.

The drone’s eye view is a viewing ‘position’ of lethal power, as well as a certain kind of intimacy on the part of the viewer. Autonomy is increasingly
a central feature of media and critical discussion of drone capacities; more than a fantasy of the removal of the human viewer / operator from danger, drones are increasingly seen as a means of both enhancing human capacities and compensating for human deficiencies. In this register, autonomy is represented as an inevitable, and beneficial, technological development.

I argue that the god’s eye view confers on the drone’s eye view a tendency to work towards greater autonomy and more totalizing power. The embodied status, and the role of habitability, is a nuanced question in this viewpoint. While the human ‘inhabitant’ of the operator’s position is removed from directly inhabiting the viewpoint, and so is removed from direct danger, the viewpoint is also produced through the embodied, and positional, labour of many workers. A materialist reading of the drone’s eye view emphasizes the necessarily embodied, and distributed, character of its production. The height of the drone’s eye view is variable, and while emerging forms of weaponized and non-weaponized drones are increasingly able to operate at human height, critical discussion has so far focussed mainly on the higher altitude mode of drone viewing, which is also characteristic of contemporary military practices.

In relationship to the drone’s eye view, I articulate a conception of the cartographic god’s eye view as it becomes imbricated with technological modes of viewing that perform varying levels of embodiment in their practices. I argue for interpreting the god’s eye view in the particular register of cartographic abstraction, and examine the role of cartographic abstraction in producing and reproducing the wider imaginaries that facilitate the present expansion of ‘unmanned’ aerial violence.

The god's eye view, I argue, is a 'high level' abstraction that functions to organize, produce and delimit a range of other abstractions that are themselves both more particular, and distinctively cartographic. The god's eye view imagines the capacity to view from 'nowhere in particular' (Gregory, 2014), similarly to the synoptic view, to be outside of both time
and space, and to confer authority and power on the viewing position thus constructed.

The god's eye view is an idea that has arisen from and in a range of discourses, and I consider it here in terms of its construction through, and manifestation in, the cartographic register. John Pickles asserts that "[t]he cartographic gaze is dominated by a commitment to modelling a God's-eye view" (2006, p.80); here the idea of the god’s eye view is identified with ‘the cartographic gaze’, with cartographic viewing as such. Trevor Paglen similarly generalizes the god’s eye view as “the cartographic viewpoint” (Paglen in Bhagat and Mogel, 2008, pp.44-45). I want to be more specific, and identify the god’s eye view as ‘authorising’ or underwriting, in other more particular cartographic abstractions and forms of viewing (including the Apollonian gaze and the zenithal gaze), the investment of the ideas of omnipotence and omniscience into viewing from a conceptual height. The height of the god's eye view is conceptual rather than physical, and it lays claim to functioning at any and all heights above the viewed subject. It is non-mobile, as everything may be viewed and known from its position of 'nowhere in particular'.

The god's eye view performs a totalising viewing position, that constructs the viewed as knowable and legible. The viewpoint is constructed as invulnerable; to time, to subjectivity, to positionality and to the viewed. It connotes an apparently non-human, or extra-human, position of agency, purporting to be fully objective. In cartographic depiction, this often takes the form of viewing from above the viewed subject. The viewing dynamic is of a 'god' objectively viewing the subject from above and outside. In terms of embodiment and positionality, the god's eye view is de-embodied, while still figuring the 'position' of a consciousness. That is, it is not conceptually inhabitable by a body, but still maintains the notion of being a consciousness, in that it is able to cognize, to view, and to know (the viewed subject).
The god’s eye view is situated as both a colloquial cultural shorthand for a view that is all-seeing and all-knowing, and as a trope in philosophy of mind that addresses the question of objectivity. The god’s eye view is further theorized as an abstraction that is produced and reproduced partly through cartographic abstraction. Cast in this light, the god’s eye view emerges as a complex, enduring and adaptive cultural construction, that supports the contemporary emergence of the drone’s eye view.

4 - Remote viewing and cartographic abstraction: the antipodes in three artworks by Layla Curtis

In this chapter, I elaborate a conception of the cartographic and cultural figure of the antipodes as a cartographic abstraction. I ground my argument in close discussions of three artworks by Layla Curtis concerned with visually presenting antipodal, or diametrically opposite, relations between places. From these readings I draw out a series of visual and conceptual themes: the anticipatory conceptualisation of antipodean inhabitants; non-production of knowledge about viewed places; and relationships between artistic production methods and cartographic production methods and technological character. These critical themes are then re-examined in the context of antipodal theory, which I interpret in support of the proposition of antipodal relations and ‘the antipodes’ as a cartographic abstraction.

As a cartographic abstraction, I theorize ‘the antipodes’ as a specification of the higher level cartographic mode of remote viewing. The term antipodes initially named both the inhabitants and land whose existence opposite the known world was theorized by ancient Greek philosophy (Hiatt, 2008, Goldie, 2010). Through the introduction or incorporation of the cartographic grid, the antipodes developed into a de-particularized geometric form able to construct ‘diametrically opposite’ locations on the earth’s surface as related. As with the god’s eye view and the panoptic view, ‘the antipodes’ is an abstraction that finds expression in multiple practices and forms, including literature (Blythe, 2014), and I focus here on
the cartographic aspects of the antipodes. Antipodal relations, or ‘the antipodes’ as a cartographic abstraction, becomes a productive, enabling factor in the formation of knowledge relating to antipodal locations, on the part of the viewer. The viewing position is posited and structured as one through which ‘knowledge’ is produced of abstractions and abstract relations in the conceptualisation of remote and unknown regions of the globe.

The cartographic abstraction of the antipodes constructs the image of the antipodean other, in terms of both persons and lands, and in contributing to the material production of practices of discovery and colonial domination (Hiatt, 2008, Williams, 1988). The abstraction of the antipodes has also had an epistemological and a historical role in the production of knowledge of the West’s global others. The viewpoint’s directionality is from the known (world) toward the unknown (world) traditionally, and following the antipodes’ alteration by the cartographic grid, this directionality is de-particularized to any opposite points on the earth’s surface without the hierarchical power structure of the traditional formulation.

The antipodes is engaged in the implication of bodies and places through having theorized their existence prior to ‘discovery’, that is, encounter with the West. In this sense the cartographic spatial relationship between the viewer and the viewed combines both horizontality, in terms of living on the earth’s continuous surface, and elements of the god’s eye view, particularly in terms of the influence of theology on geographic thought. The antipodes figures the viewed as necessarily remote from the viewer. In so doing, it historically formed one of the conditions of possibility for the West’s subsequent ‘mobilisation’ (in the form of colonialism and imperialism) into the location of the antipodes. It is the only cartographic abstraction analysed here that understands itself to be concerned with theorizing as opposed to reflecting that which already exists.

5 - Cartographic signification and soundscape: Bill Fontana’s River Sounding
In this chapter I focus on a sound sculpture by sound artist Bill Fontana, and advance an interpretation of the formation of a ‘viewpoint’ of the visitor within this work in terms of cartographic abstraction, in its construction of a mode of viewing that is positioned within the cartographic rendering rather than above and outside it. Again, focussing on critical themes that emerge from this close reading, I argue that the presentational rhetoric associated with the artwork, of ‘returning the river to the building’, deploys a particular history of human management as the desired interpretative framework for the visitor to bring to bear in engaging with the artwork. This framework is put forward rhetorically, while in terms of cartographic abstraction functioning in the work, what is evoked is a temporally and spatially delimited imaginary of the Thames, drawn from ‘surveying’ key locations of mechanical and architectural intervention along the tidal length of the river.

I further interpret ‘sonic symbolism’ as an operative mode of representation in River Sounding, and argue for reading the sonic register of the installation as continuing an indexical relationship with the source locations of the audio recordings. Building on this analysis of sonic symbolism, I read River Sounding in terms of its presentation of a ‘soundscape’ of the River Thames. This soundscape itself has a complex and shifting relationship with the visual register of representation in the work. Through both registers, the visitor is positioned as ‘immersed’ within a situated viewpoint with a complex relationship to the geographical object of the artwork, the River Thames. Where the cartographic synoptic view has been theorized as totalising, appropriative, and de-embodied, the installation view is here theorized as situated, particularized, and positioned within the cartographic abstraction of the imaginary of the Thames, as opposed to adopting a conceptual position that is ‘above’ all cartographically viewed areas simultaneously.

Without positing an abstract cartographic viewpoint with which the work engages, I bring forward instead a particular setting in which cartographic viewing is staged, in the form of a multimedia installation, as an opportunity
to consider the possibilities, and limits, of engaging with cartographic abstraction in the register of viewing. With this particular analysis, I aim to push beyond the (productive) trope of the viewpoint-as-abstraction and consider some ways in which viewing can be mediated cartographically as well as in the sonic register of an installation work.

6 - From the zenithal gaze to cartographic synoptic viewing: Layla Curtis’s cartographic collage *The Thames*

Beginning from a close reading of a cartographic collage, *The Thames*, this chapter unfolds a critical reading of the cartographic synoptic view. I explore this central mode of cartographic abstraction through a close exploration of a cartographic series of artworks by contemporary British artist Layla Curtis, in whose work mapping and collage are persistent themes. I take this approach to exploring the cartographic synoptic view as it enables a focussed reflection on the formation and possibilities for deconstruction, and critical deformation, of the viewing possibilities that this mode of cartographic abstraction performs.

The synoptic view is the signature viewpoint of cartography. It is distinctive as a viewpoint effectively ‘from nowhere’, in that it compiles, or synopsizes, a planimetric view from directly above all viewed points. The visual anamorphosis arising from the curvature of the Earth is removed and all points are viewed vertically and simultaneously. This is the viewpoint perhaps most familiar from topographic map sheets such as those produced by the Ordnance Survey and other national mapping agencies. The ‘uniform vertical gaze over all points of the miniaturized territory’ (Jacob, 2006, p.28) is what I am calling here the ‘synoptic view’, a mode that synthesizes in one image a viewing position imaginatively located directly above all parts of the mapped area simultaneously.

As a cartographic abstraction, the synoptic view is a de-embodied, or de-positioned, viewpoint, one that may not be conceptually or imaginatively inhabited by an embodied viewer or ‘observer’ (Crary, 1990) – one who
might inhabit a particular location and from there see the same view as that presented in the image. It does not use perspectival depiction techniques to centre the image on the viewer's implied eye; indeed, as Svetlana Alpers argues, “the Ptolemaic grid, indeed cartographic grids in general, must be distinguished from, not confused with, the perspectival grid. The projection is, one might say, viewed from nowhere”. Rather, the synoptic view forms a de-particularized viewing position that positions the viewer as being conceptually above all parts of the viewed simultaneously, without anamorphosis, as though viewing ‘from nowhere’.

I elaborate my analysis of Curtis' artworks using the cartographic abstraction of the zenithal gaze, and collage as the visual mode through which Curtis engages with deconstructing the synoptic view. The ‘zenithal gaze’ is a term put forward by Ola Söderström (1996) to describe an abstract viewpoint that emerges from the 'bird's eye view' as it was deployed particularly in fifteenth and sixteenth century depictions of cities from above. From the conceptual position of the bird’s eye view, the view of the city from above 'rose' to ‘an abstract level' (Söderström, 1996, p.260). The zenithal gaze initially functioned in the civic and professional registers of urban planning, rendering the city as an entity that could be subjected to rationalist procedures of planning, that is, city-scale intentional action. In this way, its usefulness or efficacy was initially a form of bourgeois ordering gaze, closely connected with notions of improvement and efficiency. I suggest that its terminology should be taken seriously, in that it denotes the highest point of an arc. This characteristic is closely connected to the zenithal gaze's embodied status, which I argue it does possess, despite operating at the 'level of the abstract'; in positing a physical viewing position, the zenithal gaze conceptually proposes a viewpoint that is physically inhabitable, and as I argue, it has come to be 'inhabited' through the development of technological modes of viewing from the zenithal position.

---

The difference I draw between the zenithal gaze and the synoptic view is a difference in emphasis; I aim to specify Söderström’s formulation and draw out its implication of spatial height above the viewed subject. In the synoptic view, the notion is not present of the highest point of the arc, with a corollary body that may trace such an arc through its movement. The zenithal gaze has developed in the twentieth and twenty-first centuries through technological advances, particularly satellite photography (Parks, 2005). It is marked by a tension between the atemporal character of the god’s eye view, and the temporal limits of its positional character. That is, it purports to offer a totalising view, yet from its elevated position (at the highest point of an arc) it is logically able to view only part of the earth’s surface at a time.

7 - Towards cartographic abstraction: a material modality of thought and experience

In this chapter I outline some proposed relationships between the modes of cartographic abstraction at work in the formation of a nuanced range of viewpoints that are both deployed in, and themselves problematize, modes of cartographic viewing. Through the readings of critical cartographic artworks in the preceding chapters, a range of critical issues in cartographic viewing have been identified, including remote viewing, embodiment, artistic and cartographic selection, cartographic imaginaries, knowledge production, and relationships among cartographic abstractions.

I develop the theoretical aspect of cartographic abstraction further, connecting it with the debates about abstraction in relation to Marxist and materialist approaches to philosophy. I indicate the methodological possibilities that come from approaching the problematic of real abstraction in the way that I have throughout this study; that is, as a central modality of the reproduction of capitalist social relations, that may be critically explored through investigating its relationship to visual modes of abstraction, focussing particularly on cartography. I demonstrate the relevance of critically approaching the Marxian-informed concerns with ‘visualities’ and
the production of appearances in connection with commodity fetishism and the exchange abstraction.

An exploration of cartographic abstraction that is grounded in interpreting artworks gives access to a more detailed account of the functioning of real abstraction in the contemporary social formation. This approach to abstraction seeks to make visible some of the ways in which cartographic visualization can be theoretically interrogated through drawing on the theoretical problematic of real abstraction.

In this light, I develop some of the theoretical concerns arising from critical cartography, then rearticulate my theoretical proposals in the more particular area of the abstract viewpoints that cartographic depiction instantiates and enacts. I then address a series of issues arising from more philosophically, and particularly materialist, accounts of abstraction. Here I articulate a trajectory of thought engaged in theorizing the materiality of abstraction, and interpret cartographic abstraction in terms of real abstraction, or 'materialism without matter'.

**Concluding comments**

Throughout the seven chapters of the thesis, then, I examine cartographic abstraction through a close engagement with artworks that engage in critical confrontations with particular modes of cartographic abstraction. This examination enables me to propose a developed theory of cartographic abstraction, that opens out the current concerns of critical cartography into the philosophical terrain of materialism. Cartographic abstraction emerges as a valuable critical framework through which to examine cartographic modes of the production of visualizations that enable complex, and violent, material processes. This framework has significant implications for practices seeking to address, and redress, some of the forms of domination that are presently enacted (whether in whole or in part) through cartographic means. In contrast to the existing paradigm of resistant, or contrapuntal, cartographies, this research identifies
cartographic abstraction as a material modality of thought and experience through which resistant practices may seek to intervene in the ongoing production and reproduction of forms of viewing that both foster and constitute abstract relations among persons, things and places.
Chapter One

Cartographic viewing as cartographic abstraction: literature on mapping, visuality and abstraction

The literature that I gather under the rubric of ‘critical cartography’ has broadly developed from the 1980s onwards, and shares a concern with critiquing a prevailing positivistic and objectivist epistemology in cartographic practice and theory. This development has taken place in parallel with an emergence of geographical interest in western Marxism, and vice versa, from the 1970s, particularly in the French context (Soja, 1989). Work in critical cartography has more often found its inspiration in the work of Foucault and Derrida rather than Marx, with Denis Wood and J.B. Harley notable initiators of the critical cartographic tradition, both of whom drew on Foucault and Derrida, and power-knowledge and deconstructivist approaches more broadly (Jacob, 2006, p.xvi), to frame their influential critiques of cartography’s effects, its conventional interpretation, and its discursive frameworks. Although the figures of Harley and Wood offer a convenient chronological starting point for a survey of the critical cartographic field at large, instead I take a non-chronological approach, and offer a more focussed consideration of issues and debates in critical cartography that lay the groundwork for my subsequent discussion of the abstract viewpoints produced through cartographic imagery.

I begin by outlining some of the most prominent areas of concern for critical cartography, before moving on to consider the more particular critical and theoretical discussions from which I draw, and to which I respond, in establishing my own analyses of cartographic viewing.

Cartographic power, the limits of cartography, and the making of worlds

A historian of cartography, J.B. Harley may be credited, alongside Denis Wood, as one of the key early theorists of critical cartography, applying a
Derridean approach to deconstruction and a Foucauldian emphasis on power-knowledge relations to the analysis of cartographic imagery and its history. Harley’s position on the question of how to define the map acknowledges that “locating human actions in space remains the greatest intellectual achievement of the map as a form of knowledge” (2001, p.35) while insisting on the necessity of moving away from notions of the map as mirror of reality or ideologically neutral view of “some aspect of the real world” (2001, p.35).

Harley introduces a concern with the social aspects and implications of cartography, asserting that

For historians an equally appropriate definition of a map is ‘a social construction of the world expressed through the medium of cartography.’ Far from holding up a simple mirror of nature that is true or false, maps redescribe the world – like any other document – in terms of relations of power and of cultural practices, preferences, and priorities (2001, p.35).

Although this approach to a definition of the map displaces the need for definition onto a secondary term, cartography, Harley’s emphasis on interpreting maps in their socio-historical contexts and reading them as bound up with social and epistemic power has been highly influential⁴.

Harley also argued for recognising the importance of both the textual aspects of maps and interpreting maps as texts: “Within the frame of one map there may be several texts – ‘an intertextuality’ – that has to be uncovered in the interpretative process” (2001, p.38). Identifying the map as a “signifying system” (2001, p.45) opens it to processes of interpretation developed through structuralism, an approach also pioneered by Denis Wood. Harley draws on the work of Raymond Williams, writing in ‘The

Sociology of Culture’, to claim the map as part of a signifying system “through which ‘a social order is communicated, reproduced, experienced, and explored.’ Maps do not simply reproduce a topographical reality; they also interpret it” (2001, p.45).

Many authors writing in this field contribute to an unfolding debate as to how we may usefully define ‘the map’. Some approaches emphasize the map as a graphic representation first and foremost, troubling the inclusion of ‘maps’ that also display three-dimensionality, or that rely on gesture and ephemeral materials, or what Fredric Jameson has influentially termed cognitive mapping⁵; other definitions foreground the map’s common function as a navigational device, causing difficulty with how to classify images commonly regarded as maps but without a navigational function, such as mappaemundi; many definitions anchor the map to the discipline of geography, positioning it as the geographic image, depicting part of the Earth’s surface in two-dimensional form. In this vein, Jeremy Crampton has interpreted mapping as primarily an approach to “making sense of the geographical world” (2010, p.12), acknowledging this as a very loose working definition. For Crampton, we cannot define the map but that is not seen as a critical obstacle. Rather than ‘seeing through’ maps to a posited underlying reality, instead maps are means of constructing knowledge and “making a world” (2010, p.44). Crampton draws a distinction between institutionalized mapping, and “a parallel series of mappings that were not scientific” (2010, p.21) or claimed as a site of the production of scientific knowledge. Cartography as a discipline is in ‘disarray’ but the actual making of maps is thriving.

⁵ See Fredric Jameson, The Geopolitical Aesthetic: Cinema and Space in the World System (1992), in which Jameson elaborates the notion of ‘cognitive mapping’. As Alberto Toscano and Jeff Kinkle write, “[s]uch an aesthetic called for the imperative elaboration of a cultural and representational practice adequate to the highly ambitious (and, Jameson suggests, ultimately impossible) task of depicting social space and class relations in our epoch of late capitalism or postmodernity” (2015, p.7).
Christian Jacob has also taken a more open-ended approach to the question of definition, seeing the study of maps as a thoroughly interdisciplinary endeavour (2006, p.3) that must necessarily be approached without dogmatic attitudes and definitional boundaries. Thus, “as a product of technology, a cultural artifact whose materialization and uses cannot be reduced to a unique and tranhistorical model, the map is now seen as a complex object that can be submitted to a theoretical approach” (ibid, p.6). As Svetlana Alpers has also remarked, of the sixteenth century Dutch context, “[t]he reach of mapping was extended along with the role of pictures, and time and again the distinctions between measuring, recording, and picturing were blurred” (1987, p.68). Jacob identifies his methodological approach as empirical, offering theoretical responses and directions in response to “the documents themselves” (ibid, p.7). While this approach seems to offer more scope for making theoretical responses to a wide range of ‘cultural artifacts’ identified with mapping, it remains problematic. Jacob’s empirical method still depends upon a pre-existing concept of which objects should be subjected to such an approach; again the question of definition is deferred. That said, I draw on another of Jacob’s definitional remarks, that the map may be “essentially envisaged as a symbolic mediation between humans and their spatial environment, but also between individuals who can communicate through this visual medium” (ibid, p.8). Though still not yet definitive, this formulation emphasizes the central role of symbolic processes in mapping, as well as drawing in the notion of mediation, describing the human as crucially divided or separated from their spatial environment, and requiring an intermediate entity or process to enable interaction and interpretation.

One question arising from the idea of the map as symbolic mediation is the debate as to whether practices associated with mapping, as well as maps themselves, may be understood as tranhistorical or as more culturally particular. Jacob’s view admits the broadest possible range of cultural artifacts into the category of maps, while Wood takes a more delimited view, seeing cartographic theorists, in particular Harley and David
Woodward, as being guilty of “conflating maps and mapmaking with such universal human, even such animal abilities as orientation, wayfinding, and other aspects of spatial intelligence, even though these are not what maps and mapmaking are most often used for” (2010, p.19, emphasis in original). He argues persuasively that the fifteenth century should be seen as a key turning point in the history of maps, marking the beginning of an exponential increase in their production, circulation, use and cultural and political impacts. Rather than a form of expression of a universal human cognitive function, Wood interprets map production as arising in particular cultures in response to developing needs for communication and depiction. For Wood, to transhistoricize map production and use is to mistake the map for the spatial cognitive abilities that it extends and develops.

Central to Wood’s approach to the analysis of maps, and particularly the question of their periodization, is “the map’s origin in the rise of the state” (ibid, p.19). Using a definition that emphasizes a continuous tradition of European mapping from the early modern period to the present day, he argues that to define the map as primarily a representation is to comply with the map’s presentation of itself as a politically and socially neutral form of imagery; the ‘representation view’ is “a projection, as it were, of the map itself, the map as it would like to be understood” (ibid, p.18, emphasis in original). The map projects or provides not only its content but also guidance as to how that content should be interpreted.

The role of cartographic production in the formation and consolidation of the nation-state has been widely discussed, in particular by Geoff King: “Maps might be needed for the defence or administration of the nation, but they play an equally important role in the creation and sustenance of the very idea of the nation state” (1996, p.23).

An important body of contemporary theoretical and visual work in geography centres around the banner of ‘experimental geographies’. This idea has been championed by artist and geographer Trevor Paglen. Paglen positions experimental geography as a call for the importance of
thinking in terms of the production of space, in a Marxian lineage via Henri Lefebvre’s theories on the production of abstract space (Lefebvre, 1991 and Stanek, 2008), and going beyond critique to real politics and transformative action. This work draws on earlier, and ongoing, work in critical geography, notably championed by David Harvey, particularly in his 2001 work, *Spaces of Capital: Towards a Critical Geography*, as well as Marxian accounts of postmodernity such as Edward Soja’s influential assertion of the centrality of space to geography and critical theory in his 1989 work *Postmodern Geographies: The Reassertion of Space in Critical Social Theory*. The distinct lineages of critical cartography and critical geography are clearly marked in Paglen’s frequent insistence on the very distinct concerns of cartography and geography:

Contemporary geography has little more than a cursory relationship to all varieties of cartography. In fact, most critical geographers have a healthy skepticism for the “God’s-Eye” vantage points implicit in much cartographic practice. As useful as maps can be, they can only provide very rough guides to what constitutes a particular space (Paglen in Thompson, 2009, p.28).

While Paglen does not regard the map as a privileged object of contemporary geographical inquiry, his work takes up David Harvey’s commitment to the political necessity for critical approaches to geography, to address “the role of geographical knowledges in the perpetuation of political-economic power structures and in transforming by opposition the political-economic order” (Harvey, 2001, p.x). In this light, Paglen’s experimental approach to critiquing space and geographical knowledge production is, I argue, complementary to critical cartography’s account of power and the role of the map in the production of such ‘geographical
knowledges. Paglen’s approach to cartography as being limited is also seen in critical cartographic work that foregrounds cartographic principles and techniques as necessarily involving distortion and loss.

As Mark Monmonier dramatically frames the issue, “[n]ot only is it easy to lie with maps, it’s essential. To portray meaningful relationships for a complex, three-dimensional world on a flat sheet of paper or a video screen, a map must distort reality.” (1996, p.1) Attending to the technical procedures used in cartographic production, Monmonier’s provocatively titled How to Lie with Maps (1996) has provided an influential account of the multiple processes of selection and ‘distortion’ that are at the heart of the mapping process. Monmonier identifies three ‘basic attributes’ (ibid, p.5) of all maps - “scale, projection, and symbolisation. Each element is a source of distortion” (ibid). The necessary selectivity of the map-making process is no longer, at least in the critical literature, regarded as unproblematic or apolitical. Selection functions at every stage of production, from the choice to survey and produce a map in the first place, as opposed to another form of account, depiction or record, to the choice as to what will appear, what will not, and in what forms those appearances and non-appearances will be manifested. While Monmonier’s framing of the issue is perhaps the most forthright, the issue of deception in cartography has also been extensively critiqued by Wood (1992, 2010).

Denis Cosgrove has also noted compilation as an aspect of selection, the process of compiling survey data into appropriate forms to be drawn onto the map. He notes that the story of cartography as a progressive development from ‘art to science’ (2008, p.161), or from subjectivity to objectivity, is a story that in part functions to allay ‘cartographic anxiety’.

---

9 The relationships between Foucault’s work on spatiality and geography, knowledge, and the critique of power have been elaborated by Stuart Elden and Jeremy Crampton (2007).

7 As Pickles has shown, the term ‘cartographic anxiety’ is borrowed from Derek Gregory (1994), “to refer to the foundational and objectivist epistemologies of modern cartography that assume the separation of subject and object, knower and world. This ‘observer epistemology’ leads to deep anxiety about how we
about the potential distortions and problems in compilation. Cosgrove argues that many of the decisions that go into this process are cast as 'scientific' when they are arbitrary and shaped by needs that are more cultural and ideological. “Even the remote sensed image is a product of colouring choices applied by the map-maker to pixels received by the cartographic studio in numerical, digitized form, as is apparent when one moves across the virtual surfaces of Google Earth.” (ibid, p.162)

Selectivity is now acknowledged as motivated by the more ‘local’ circumstances of map production, as well as broader interests and contexts, particularly imperialism and state formation. Building from critical cartography’s assertion of the hegemonic effects of cartography, debates in this area have moved away from notions of representation and towards discussion of the productiveness and creative capacities of mapping. Cosgrove describes these shifts in “cartographic historiography” (2008, p.155), including “detailed exposure of the normalizing and often ideological authority of maps” (ibid), the question of cartography’s scientific claims to making objective representations having been challenged “with recognition of the inescapable imaginative and artistic character of cartographic process and products” (ibid), and mapping having come to be recognized as a “complex cultural process” (p.155) that needs to be understood in relation to its contexts of production. He emphasizes the map as an outcome of processes and as the ‘generator’ of further processes that flow from its circulation and reception in the world.

We have noted Crampton’s assertion of cartography’s ‘world-making’ capacity (2010, p.44), and King has described this creativity in terms of imposition: “All maps impose their own particular realities onto the world” (1996, p.36). Harriet Hawkins has also contributed to this broader recognition of the creative and constructive ‘power’ of geographical discourse, including cartography, in For Creative Geographies: Geography, know and represent the world, how we know it to be true, and how we decide what to do in the face of such ‘objective knowledge’. “ (Pickles, 2006, p.195) The phrase is also used by Crampton (2010, p.177).
Visual Arts and the Making of Worlds (2014). Returning to Jacob’s notion of the map as a ‘symbolic mediation’, I see the shift towards ‘creativity’ more broadly as also a shift away from the idea implicit in mediation, of a discrete, ‘sovereign’ individual, distinct from the world ‘outside’, who requires a third entity to facilitate communication or access between these divided realms. Without rejecting this framework or denying its value, I identify a move towards theorizing the relationship between cartography and the subject as allowing more agency for the subject and a two-way interaction, rather than the older model of reflection and representation, in which the subject is allotted less agency. I will elaborate on the theme of creativity and the ‘making of worlds’ throughout the chapters to follow. In this light, I now turn to more focussed themes in the production of cartographic viewing, as a central mode of cartographic abstraction.

**Synoptic viewing: synopsizing the earth beyond the zenithal and Apollonian gazes**

The development of the cartographic ‘synoptic view’ has been intertwined with a trajectory of technological development related to aerial viewing. The synoptic view is distinctive as a viewpoint effectively ‘from nowhere’, which compiles, or synopsizes, a planimetric view from directly above all viewed points. This compilation or synopsis is one way in which the cartographic image is able to generate ‘legibility’ of its subject. The visual anamorphosis arising from the curvature of the Earth is removed and all points are viewed vertically and simultaneously. This is the viewpoint perhaps most familiar from topographic map sheets such as those produced by the Ordnance Survey and other national mapping agencies. Cosgrove has characterized “synoptic vision” as a “cartographic illusion” (2008, p.167), highlighting its character as a constructed viewpoint.

Jacob argues that “graphic technique permits the symbolization of the cartographic content: lines, forms, signs. The manifestation of these artificial traits is even indispensable to the identification of the object as a map and to its deciphering as such” (2006, p.28) and he draws on P.D.A.
Harvey to note that the map displays a whole range of information that is not contained in the aerial image, particularly property boundaries and toponyms. Jacob concludes on this point that Harvey’s main argument is that the key difference is in the point of view, which in the aerial photograph is “oblique, with its slight deformation of scale and forms” (ibid) as against the point of view of the map, which is a “uniformly vertical point of view” (ibid). Jacob reiterates the point here about the map reconstructing the space that it takes as its subject and the map being more legible than the space itself. The ‘uniform vertical gaze over all points of the miniaturized territory’ (ibid) is what I am calling here the ‘synoptic view’; a mode that synthesizes in one image a viewing position imaginatively located directly above all parts of the mapped area simultaneously.

The term ‘synoptic’ has been used by a range of thinkers in connection with visuality and particularly cartography. Some of these uses carry with them the sense that the author is attempting to find an appropriate vocabulary rather than using the term in a specific and delimited way, such as Lisa Parks’ mention of “synoptic relations” in the context of militarized aerial viewing (2005, p.97). Denis Cosgrove uses “the synoptic vision” (2001, p.27) in reference to views of the whole earth, picking up the sense of ‘forming a synopsis’, or overview, but without a determinate meaning. Denis Wood’s usage of the term is closest to my own, when, in framing the relationship between collage and cartographic presentation, he refers to “the usual inert, synoptic view” (2008, p.195). While I argue that the synoptic view is far from ‘inert’, Wood nonetheless uses ‘synoptic view’ here to designate an image that is the result of processes of compilation and collage, material practices of image production.

In considering the material practices that have contributed to the ‘elevation of the self into the sky’ (Adey et al., p.4), I want to simultaneously argue for keeping in view cartography’s production of the conceptual view from above. Early images that can be understood as maps, may be those
thought to manifest enough features of the contemporary map to be considered as part of the same category of visual production. In briefly considering a significant early image that proffers an aerial or plan view, my focus is less on the debates as to whether this image may usefully be interpreted as a map or not, but rather on its capacity as a visual technology that produces a view from above the viewed subject.

A complication in the idea of the ‘viewed’ subject, then, may be found in discussion of the ‘Bedolina map’, a rock carving whose earliest layers of inscription date from c.1200 BC (Barber, 2005, p.10), and whose subject, Peter Barber argues, is a rendering of an imagined spatial disposition of a community for the purpose of prayer (ibid). He interprets the palimpsest as a symbolic response to social anxieties associated with the transition from a hunter-gatherer to an agricultural mode of production, anxieties which gave rise to a need for symbolic depiction of the potential symbolic extent of a physical community:

It is extremely unlikely that the fields, springs and interconnecting paths thus represented ever ‘mapped’ an actual landscape (there is no archaeological evidence for any matching settlement). Instead, we should see the petroglyphic markings as representing the community’s cultivated plots and as an expression of acute anxiety and insecurity (ibid).

The mapped subject here cannot quite be understood to be the land and its human geography itself, but rather a symbolic evocation of community that is expressed in the form of a spatialized graphic representation. This interpretation makes use of the definition of the map offered by Brian Harley and David Woodward in their influential ‘History of Cartography’, cited by Barber in his interpretation of the Bedolina carvings: “Maps are graphic representations that facilitate a spatial understanding of things, concepts, conditions, processes, or events in the human world” (Barber, 2005, p.6).
Jacob offers an alternate interpretation of the carving as “a map of [...] land organization, a cadastral survey in its originary form” (1992, p.23). This response links the function of the carved image with the social relation of private property, while Barber’s emphasizes land use as a way for the community to imaginatively engage with change and the idea of the future.

In both accounts, an elevated viewing position is seen to offer an ideal practical opportunity to establish a synoptic view, shifting the view imaginatively from the hill to a position that may overlook all relevant areas simultaneously. As Jacob describes,

To look at the valley from the Bedolina rock means having an overview, however oblique, from a precise vantage point. There is a limitation inherent in viewing what is close and what is far away [...]. The ‘map’ on the rock, in contrast, is admirably suited to a uniform vertical gaze over all points of the miniaturized territory. No longer is there a relativizing perspective; instead, an absolute gaze englobes the space represented in a single field of vision. Right where the panoramic view of the landscape allows an infinite amount of information, color, vegetation, and anecdotal events to be grasped, in one glance a look at the map provides what is essential: a schematic structure, contours and limits, and a division and network of relationships (1992, p.23).

The importance of the symbolic concerns of the Bedolina map carving, in Barber’s reading, may function in my broader argument as a marker of the central importance of what Derek Gregory has termed the ‘geographical imagination’ (Gregory, 1994) in the development of cartography’s synthesising form of viewing from above. Viewing from above has historically been associated with imagination as well as power, and the capacity to project knowledge onto geographical areas that have not been encountered empirically by the viewer.
Jacob emphasizes this vertical form of seeing as a fantasy that is subsequently realized:

Seeing the world from above is a timeless fantasy that geographical maps make actual by way of metaphor. This dream pervades literature and science, from the utopia of Gulliver’s Travels to the frenetic scenes of contemporary science fiction, from the eye of Icarus to the lenses of satellites that send a reflection of the earth back to us (1992, p.1).

Seeing from above is actualized both by cartography, as Jacob asserts here, and by a history of technologies that have afforded bodily aerial viewing and ‘remote’ viewing via photography.

The synoptic viewpoint, then, is both a condition of possibility for aerial viewing, and one of its outcomes. The synoptic view is altered through the long historical process of being manifested in technologies of aerial viewing, emerging as the ‘view from nowhere’, a composite cultural production that obscures the processes through which it has been constituted (Kaiser and Wood, 2001, p.70). We have noted Cosgrove’s description of the central role of compilation in the production of the cartographic image, and this function extends from compiling survey data about features that are individualized, differentiated and classified to facilitate their symbolization in the image, to the compilation of the theoretical or fictional viewing position vertically above the viewed subject. The image produced is a conceptual image of a viewpoint that does not have a physical correlate, that may only be ‘inhabited’ and viewed ‘from’ conceptually. I read the synoptic view as an abstraction capable of ‘producing’ places, through synthesising and multiplying the ‘view from above’ into a synoptic view. It is important to distinguish the synoptic view from other formulations of modes of cartographic viewing, and I turn next to an important formulation theorized by John Pickles.
In his discussion of ‘technologies of the social body’, Pickles counts the ‘zenithal gaze’ among the technologies of visualization and display that have contributed to the formation of the modern subject. His emphasis is on the processes themselves and how they are constituted, but his inquiry is rooted in an interest, that I share, in the paradoxes that we feel we encounter in using maps and in enjoying them or celebrating them, particularly in a context of critically studying them:

Many of these admissions [of British and American geographers who lived through the Second World War] have a confessional form and, I suspect, this is because each of these individuals was well aware of the complexity of an aesthetic that conjoins weekend pleasures with journeys of exploration, local pathways with the exploration and colonization of new territories and peoples, pictorial pleasures with the greater glory of the nation state, and the joys of owning a map with the private property claims of its leading citizens (2006, p.126).

His interest here is in subject formation and the associated processes in which maps and practices of mapping play a leading role. Pickles draws on the earlier work of Söderström (1996) to set up a series of moments of inquiry into the broader processes of the reorganization of social forms of looking and visualizing, intimately connected as they were, and are in the present, with the influence of the commodity form.

Pickles’ attention to the ‘zenithal gaze’, then, comes in his discussion of the development of the urban master plan, a visualizing form of technology that emerged through the ichnographic plan, first formalized by Leonardo da Vinci in his 1503 plan of Imola (Pickles, 2006, p.128). This drew on the principles of rational division and representation of the city space put forward so influentially by Leon Battista Alberti, which enabled a shift away from the idea of conceptual aerial viewing as rendering a visualization of
an individual viewpoint, identified with the position of a single observer placed high above the viewed subject, regarding it obliquely.

Bird’s-eye views were still a popular and widely disseminated form of visualization of cities; there was not a simple transition from an aerial oblique view to a rationalized, geometrical, planimetric viewpoint, but perhaps rather a bifurcation in practices of visual production, with the development of the ‘zenithal gaze’. Based on measurements and data generated about the mapped subject, rather than a conceptualisation of a habitable viewpoint, the development of the zenithal gaze marked “a shift in the gaze of the observer from horizontal-oblique views to the more unusual vertical. This required a general retraining of the scopic regimes of naturalized perspective and descriptive representations, naturalizing geometrical plans and God’s-eye views of the city (the zenithal gaze)” (Pickles, 2006, p.129).

Söderström characterizes this gaze as having ‘agency’, to assimilate the area under consideration into a coded form that is legible and therefore knowable. The urban ‘zone’ is not yet a zone until it is made into one, rendered as a zone. Both Söderström and Pickles emphasize the constitutive, creative dynamic at work through the conceptual technology of the production of the abstract, zenithal viewpoint.

Named for an idea of the highest point, an optimal viewing position, the formulation of the zenithal gaze retains an emphasis on positionality. Developing particularly from the bird’s eye view form of visualizing urban spaces, in invoking the zenith as a spatial position, the zenithal gaze remains conceptually inhabitable by a viewing body. In this respect it presents an important point of convergence and overlap with the historical trajectory of the development of aerial viewing technologies, progressively more capable of actualizing aerial viewing positions that were purely conceptual at their inception. As distinct from the zenithal gaze, then, we see the synoptic view as synthesising, compiling, and conceptualising a legible view of the mapped area, establishing a viewing position that exists
as an abstraction, and that is not possible to potentially realize through techniques of, in Peter Adey’s phrase, ‘elevating the self into the air’ (2013). I turn now to some of the debates that have informed ideas of conceptual viewing at a distance, particularly the persistent notion of the ‘god’s eye view’.

Panopticism, synopticism, embodied viewing and the ‘god trick’

I explore some of the valences of this mode of cartographic viewing through two usually distinct areas of debate, Cosgrove’s notion of the ‘Apollonian eye’ or Apollonian gaze, and the discussion that emerges from surveillance studies of the tension between panoptic and synoptic viewing. The Apollonian gaze is closely identified with the position of god, or a god, in the figure of Apollo, and in this way is embodied figuratively and fictionally but not corporeally, or humanly. The panopticon is a figure for a form of viewing that also holds a tension between being embodied or not, between habitability and absence, relying as it does in Jeremy Bentham’s original formulation on those who are subject to viewing not having certain knowledge as to whether they are being viewed at any given moment or not. I do not seek to artificially conjoin these two distinctive modalities, but to place them in conjunction as two forms of viewing that play on a tension between inhabitation and non-inhabitation of their constitutive viewing positions. The panopticon form relies on uncertainty (Lyon, 2006, p.44) regarding the presence or absence of the viewing figure, while the Apollonian form relies on figuration but of a mythological, non-human figure, simultaneously real and non-real, present and absent.

Cosgrove’s concept of the ‘Apollonian perspective’ (2001, p.106) describes a cultural and historical development of the conceptualisation of “how the earth might look from space” (ibid). The focus of his analysis of the Apollonian perspective is the question “what have been the historical implications for the West of conceiving and representing the earth as a
unitary, regular body of spherical form?” (ibid, p.ix) He frames the Apollonian perspective in relation to how practices of visualizing the earth as a globe have contributed to the contemporary discourse of globalisation: “whether pictured as a networked sphere of accelerating circulation or as an abused and overexploited body, it is from images of the spherical earth that ideas of globalisation draw their expressive and political force” (ibid).

Differing from aerial viewing, the Apollonian perspective or Apollonian eye (ibid, p.x) is a viewpoint “above the earth, proclaiming disinterested and rationally objective consideration across its surface” (ibid). This position is an individualized location ‘from’ which it is possible to conceptualize the earth as a unified form, viewed from above and outside. Cosgrove argues for a reading of this perspective as “at once empowering and visionary” (ibid, p.xi), invoking and synthesizing the classical tradition of Apollo as sun god with the Christian tradition of Christ as both human and divine. The viewpoint is identified with celestial and terrestrial harmony as well as divine authority, and has provided a unifying perspective of the earth which has subsequently been realized through satellite photography in the twentieth century.

The Apollonian perspective emerges as a cultural form that has fed into conceptualisations of human unity, constructed through the agency of the perspective itself; this perspective is a ‘god’s eye view’ in terms of its relationship with the cosmographic tradition and the construction of a viewpoint that was conceptual before it was actualized in space flight and satellite photography. As Cosgrove writes, “to achieve the global view is to loose the bonds of the earth, to escape the shackles of time, and to dissolve the contingencies of daily life for a universal moment of reverie and harmony” (ibid, p.3). The question of scale is central to the Apollonian rendering of the earth in a miniaturized image, which Cosgrove suggests fosters the tendency to visualize the earth in terms of both ordering and controlling it.
I read Cosgrove’s Apollonian perspective as a god’s eye view that is able to be productive of both knowledge, and a nuanced sense of agency for the viewing subject. With the twentieth-century advent of space flight, Cosgrove argues, the long-standing dream or fantasy of viewing the whole earth was realized through technological development. Prior to this development, the concept of a distanced viewing position from which to see the whole earth had been constituted through cartographic visualization from the medieval period through the Renaissance and into the sixteenth century. Closely bound up with colonial exploration and the Renaissance project of humanism, the production of the idea of the earth as a geometrical form in the early modern period drew on rediscovery of and re-engagement with classical geographical and mathematical knowledge. Ptolemy’s ‘Geography’ provided a cornerstone of this re-activation of classical approaches to map projection and the plotting of positions accurately within an abstract, geometric pictorial space.

The Apollonian perspective is co-constituted by a range of visual practices, but the cartographic aspect of its production is of most interest here. Drawing on classical and Christian thought, Cosgrove traces the emergence of a distanced view of the earth through mathematical, geographical and theological discourses. Emphasising also a poetics of global vision, Cosgrove identifies the subtle interconnections between ways of constituting knowledge and conceptualisation of the earth in visual terms, and theological conceptions of knowledge as a divine characteristic:

Together with a Stoic recognition of human insignificance in the vastness of creation, the implications of cosmic transcendence include the synoptic vision of the earthly globe and the preternatural, possibly magical capacity to know and intervene in the harmonies between celestial and elemental worlds (ibid, p.27).

The Apollonian perspective’s particularly cartographic lineage is traced in Cosgrove’s proposition of a ‘cartographic genealogy of the Earth in the
Western imagination’ (the subtitle of his text), in which he takes a non-linear approach to investigation and elaboration of the cartographic in changing conceptions of the earth.

This viewpoint is more figurative than the idea of the god’s eye view may suggest. It proposes a distanced, planetary perspective, which is not the same as a viewpoint of absolute knowledge or full legibility. From space, the Earth becomes iconic rather than legible. Highlighting this iconicity, Pickles writes, “[t]he globe has long served as an icon for expansive capitalism and nationalism, and its iconic function continues to inform representations of geographical reach, speed and power” (2006, p.8). By contrast, panoptic viewing constitutes a more grounded, embodied form of viewing that is institutional and, importantly, a mode through which social control is enacted in the viewing position, to which I now turn in more detail.

In his well known analysis of Jeremy Bentham’s plan for an idealized prison, Foucault characterizes one of its ‘major effects’ as being “to induce in the inmate a state of conscious and permanent visibility that assures the automatic functioning of power […] this architectural apparatus should be a machine for creating and sustaining a power relation independent of the person who exercises it” (1977, p.201). Rather than constraining its usefulness to architecture, or even institutional forms, Foucault insists that “it is the diagram of a mechanism of power reduced to its ideal form […] it is in fact a figure of political technology that may and must be detached from any specific use” (ibid, p.205). These remarks are important to frame the use that I wish to make of this familiar figure, as both a ‘diagram’ and a resonant structural form. David Murakami Wood also addresses the issue of the simplification or over-use of the panopticon, cautioning that “one should not forget that the Panopticon is put forward as a diagram rather than either material object or summative theory” (2007, p.250).

Writing from the disciplinary perspective of surveillance studies, for which the panopticon has been a powerful organizing metaphor, David Lyon has
argued for a “prevalence of synopticism” (2006, p.28), the inverse of the form of panopticism, in which the many view the few:

Synopticism is a function of the contemporary mass media that publicize the detailed actions of specific individuals, especially politicians and entertainment celebrities. The key dynamic is that the many are able to watch and judge the powerful few as seen through the eyes of television (ibid).

Lyon discusses the productive, and much debated, tension between panopticism and synopticism (Mathiesen, 1997) in terms of scopophilia, which designates “a love of looking that is characteristic of a particular stage of childhood development vital to our ability to see ourselves as others see us. The current appeal of surveillance technologies is related to the scopophiliac viewer gaze” (ibid). Scopophilia may begin as the 'love of looking', but it is “all too easily perverted into an obsessive and controlling gaze that objectifies the image under observation” (ibid, p.51).

Lyon notes that “the panoptic urge is to make everything visible; it is the desire and the drive towards a total gaze, to fix the body through technique and to generate regimes of self-discipline through uncertainty” (2006, p.44). While arguments have been made for the synopticon’s supplanting the panopticon, Lyon wants to retain both concepts, so that “[t]he panoptic operates alongside the assemblage and the synopticon, without being displaced by them” (ibid, p.46). Lyon argues that the two modes of viewing, or the relationship between them, is “reciprocal and mutually reinforcing” (ibid, p.51). In terms of the panopticon as a diagram of power, the figure of the guard is of particular interest, who has the capacity to see without being observed in return: “in the peripheric ring, one is totally seen, without ever seeing; in the central tower, one sees everything without ever being seen” (Foucault, 1977, p.202).
A position of absolute vision and absolute knowledge is often characterized as a ‘god’s eye view’. There is no single account of the god’s eye view\(^8\) that may be easily positioned as having influenced most subsequent conceptions. Rather, scholars dealing with visuality, scopic regimes and particularly cartography have offered their own accounts and attributed the god’s eye view varying degrees of importance in their own arguments. See for instance Pickles (2006), who addresses the ‘God’s-eye view’ in terms of its influence over ‘the cartographic gaze’: “The cartographic gaze is dominated by a commitment to modelling a God’s-eye view, what Donna Harraway (1991) called the ‘God-trick’. This transcendental positioning is both the view from above, an elevated two-point perspective bird’s-eye-view, and an all seeing eye that views everywhere at the same time” (2006, p.80).

A degree of indistinctness characterizes critical formulations of cartographic forms of viewing ‘from above’. Trevor Paglen regards the god’s eye view as limiting, and helpfully emphasizes its importance for the production of power, particularly colonial power:

The ‘God’s eye’ view implicit in much cartography is usually not helpful in terms of describing everyday life, nor in describing the qualities of the relationships that

---

\(^8\) A case in point is the OED definition of ‘god’s eye view’: “[after bird’s-eye view […] a view as might be seen by God; a view from a very exalted, or high and remote, position.

1865 J. G. Holland Plain Talks 257 A great city is a huge living creature... If we could be lifted above it, and obtain, not a bird's-eye view, but a God's-eye view of it, we should see its arteries throbbing with the majestic currents of life.

1920 A. Huxley Limbo 137 He prided himself on being able to see the thing as a whole, on taking an historical, God's-eye view of it all.

1936 A. Huxley Eyeless in Gaza vii. 85 One has made a habit of not feeling anything very strongly; it's easy, therefore, to take the God's-eye view of things.

1970 Guardian 14 May 9/6 Frank Tuohy's...short stories...are mostly studies in suburban isolation,...the God's-eye-view with God on the stage.

2006 Time Out N.Y. 26 Oct. 111/4 We see underwater swarms of sea anemones, early biplanes taking off and landing with a cute bounce, God's eye views from the Space Shuttle.” The cited examples pick up, variously, being able to see the whole, being more than a bird’s eye view, being dispassionate, and viewing from a spacecraft. In this connection, Pickles also refers to “the view from space, the God’s-eye view” (2006, p.13).
cartography depicts. Because of what cartography cannot represent [...] it becomes pretty clear why it, and the forms of power that the cartographic viewpoint suggests, have traditionally been such powerful instruments of both colonialism and the contemporary geopolitical ordering of the world. (Paglen in Bhagat and Mogel, 2008, pp.44-45)

Pickles has characterized the ‘god-trick’ – “the ability to see everything from nowhere in particular” (Gregory, 2014) – as an illusion of universal knowledge, power and control, perpetrated by “the rationalizing [...] universal gaze” (2006, p.185). This notion offers a point of conjunction and tension between the figures of the Apollonian gaze and the panopticon. Both viewing forms turn on the construction of a set of viewing relations that claim control and a position of agency for the viewer. The notion of absolute control is often labelled as a capacity that only one in the position of god would have, and as such it is both fictional and agentic. In the context of the overhead, vertical view in film, Toscano and Kinkle note the capacity of the “modern scientia dei, or God’s eye-view” to depict “knowledge as an overview” (2015, p.4), and Chad Harris conjoins Haraway’s God-trick and Cosgrove’s Apollonian Eye as modes of the ‘omniscient eye’. The god’s eye view is also omnipotent and productive; Pickles cites Harley to claim that “cartographers manufacture power. They create a spatial panopticon” (2006, p.12). The god-trick is therefore an elusive figure of the abstracting capacity of cartographic viewing to establish viewpoints that are structured through fantasies of power and knowledge conceived from a god-position, disembodied, and non-inhabitable by a physical viewer. In chapter three the tension in terms of embodiment between the panoptic and the Apollonian is explored in more depth.

In contrast to the cartographic synoptic view, then, panopticism and the Apollonian gaze both invoke notions of embodied viewing, while the synoptic view remains more abstract, uninhabitable and unrealizable
through technological development. Inasmuch as god operates as a figure for ideas of knowledge and power that are unconstrained by embodiment and the limitations it imposes on viewing from a body, the idea of the god’s eye view relies on ideas of both removal of figural limitations, and figuration itself, to construct a viewpoint of agency that remains theoretically unrealizable, yet is also the viewpoint that can be, and has been, most closely realized through viewing technologies. By contrast, the synoptic view, cartography’s signature viewpoint, remains unassimilable by human embodied experience and may only be ‘inhabited’ conceptually. I now turn to a further viewing modality, operationalized, again, by multiple viewing techniques but particularly through cartographic visualization – the shift from the conceptual god’s eye view to the technologically embodied form of what I will call the ‘drone’s eye view’.

**Aerial viewing: the god’s eye view, the drone’s eye view and derealization**

In order to position cartography’s ‘synoptic viewpoint’ as both a development and a condition of possibility of aerial viewing, I here consider a technological trajectory of instantiations of viewing from above. Here the god’s eye view is re-inhabited, re-materialized, instantiated, by the militarized figure of the drone. In the shift from the god’s eye view to the drone’s eye view, ‘god’ is substituted for drone-eyes, computers, cameras – a networked, technological and distributed vision. Benjamin Noys has suggested a convergence between the figure of god and the capacity of mechanical flight to act into the god-position, in quoting Mary Butts’ short story ‘Speed the Plough’: “great aeroplanes dipping and swerving, or holding on their steady flight like a travelling eye of God” (Noys, 2014, p.1). He highlights the intimate conceptual link between god-seeing, from the god’s eye view, and technological seeing, embodied yet elevated, human yet always-already mediated. Militarized technologies have played an
important role in the development of the technological capacities, facilitating and constructing human viewing, of viewing from above⁹.

Aerial modes of bombing and surveillance have been co-constituted primarily in the context of military reconnaissance. As Allan Sekula has noted, in his influential 1975 article, ‘The Instrumental Image: Steichen at War’,

[t]he First World War was the first occasion for the intensive use of aerial photography for ‘intelligence’ purposes. The previous half-century had yielded combinations of balloons and draftsmen, balloons and cameras, rockets and cameras, and, absurdly enough, pigeons and cameras. With airplane photography, however, two globalizing mediums, one of transportation and the other of communication, were united in the increasingly rationalized practice of warfare. (1975, p.27)

Likewise, in her discussion of the emergence of ‘militarised aeromobility’ (Adey et al, 2013), Caren Kaplan characterizes aerial viewing as intricately bound up with military needs and the technological developments driven by them¹⁰. Militarisation, she argues, is an intrinsic process of the nation state and has motivated the emergence of a series of technologies designed to instantiate an aerial view of the earth’s surface. Introducing the volume in which Kaplan writes, Peter Adey, Mark Whitehead and Alison J. Williams position the development of aerial viewing technologies strongly in their militarized context:

Height and verticality are values that are commonly associated with dominance and the projection of force.

The USAF motto ['Above All.'] implies a totalising position

---

⁹ See also Dorrian and Pousin (2013) for a consideration of the influence of flight on visuality.

¹⁰ See also Derek Gregory, ‘Lines of Descent’ in Adey et al, 2013.
difficult to equal. [...] Violence, security and a whole terrain of movements, technologies, practices and representations – like those portrayed on the hour and every minute during the war in Iraq – rely on height and the vertical. This book asks difficult questions of this view, as for all its spectacle and beauty, we must be careful not to celebrate it (Adey et al, 2013, p.2).

Jeanne Haffner also argues for the capacity of the aerial photographic view to produce coherence through abstraction: “Abstracting the outline or form of different sections of the front was especially important” so that “[t]he result [...] was a ‘holistic’ view of the battlefield – a ‘vue d’ensemble’ such as could not have been obtained from ground-level excursions alone.” (2013, p.12) As Monmonier has shown, the aerial photograph, and by extension the satellite photograph, remains a perspectival view, whereas the distinctively cartographic view is planimetric (1996, p.33). Planimetric distance is horizontal distance measured on a plane; consequently, this view suppresses vertical distance in the two-dimensional map image, whereas a ‘vertical aerial photograph is a perspectival view’ “with points displaced radially from their planimetric positions” (ibid). Monmonier describes this as ‘radial displacement due to relief’ or ‘relief displacement’ (ibid, p.34). “An exception is the orthophoto, an air-photo image electronically stretched to remove relief displacement. An orthophotomap, produced from orthophotos, is a planimetrically accurate photo-image map” (ibid, p.34, emphasis in original). This is also a description of the reconstruction of the cartographic synoptic view, via the newer visualizing technique of photography, whereby the orthophotomap is the photographic parallel of the synoptic map view. Aerial viewing is therefore deeply entwined with cartographic planimetric, or synoptic, viewing, and the two modalities converge in drone vision as a particular form of militarized aerial vision. Drone viewing may be seen as an extension or production of the cartographic abstraction of synoptic viewing.
Considering militarization as a productive force in the development of aerial viewing allows us to keep in view the economic and social factors that contribute to the reproduction and development of the cartographic mode of viewing from above. The tension between embodiment and disembodiment is dramatized in the contemporary icon of the drone, and may be elaborated through Michael J. Shapiro’s concept of ‘derealization’ (Shapiro, 1997). Shapiro positions ‘geographic imaginaries’ (1997, p.ix, Gregory 1994), and cartographic imaginaries, as underwriting the discursive objects of international relations and security studies, while holding away from analysis the production of these discursive objects. He is concerned with “the cartographic dimensions of representational violence” (1997, p.xiii) and its relations to the production of nation-states and their contemporary discourses of war.

In the context of the first Gulf War, Shapiro identifies a transition in the practice of war toward “remote forms of enactment” (ibid, p.74), in which “each technological development produces a more prosthetically mediated warring body and an increasingly virtual geography” (ibid). In this increasing distancing and mediation, Shapiro identifies an effacement of the victim of war:

the technologies that permitted killing in the absence of seeing had removed specific, suffering bodies in a way similar to the way they are effaced in the theoretical language of war, as war discourse has increasingly moved from images of flesh to images of weapons and logistics (ibid, p.75).

The first Gulf War is seen here as an exemplary scenario (ibid, p.80) in the advancing of technological mediation of war, and Shapiro argues for the importance of media in this context: “the targets of lethal violence were glimpsed primarily on video devices and were rarely available to direct vision” (ibid). However, this is not seen as a completely new situation, but a nuancing of the existing character of large-scale combat:
Although it has always been the case to some extent that during large-scale hostilities the enemy/object of violence is familiar neither to the antagonistic populations nor to the combatants, in modern warfare, the visioning and weapons technologies render the antagonists even less familiar by derealizing or dematerializing them – by apprehending and targeting them primarily through remote visioning devices (ibid).

The concept of ‘derealization’ is “the process by which increasingly abstract and distancing modes of symbolic representation mediate the relationships through which persons and places acquire meanings” (ibid, p.88). In Shapiro’s argument, this process begins with the First World War and culminates in its contemporary phase, inaugurated with the Vietnam War and the extensive use of aerial reconnaissance techniques, producing an extended ‘kill chain’ (Gregory, 2011). Shapiro identifies ‘derealization’ with a loss of contact and presence, as increasing technological mediation combines with an increasing role or presence of “reigning abstractions” (1997, p.89), such that, in the context of military targeting, the body of the victim comes to be effaced and unseen.

Drawing on Karl Marx’s analysis of the money form, Shapiro deploys a narrative of increasing distance and separation in the development of the money form as the universal equivalent to support his narrative of increasing abstraction in militarized human relations. He sees a comparable trend toward derealization in Marx’s account of the money form, which progresses from “the development of extended equivalents in which, to employ his metaphor, one commodity serves as the ‘mirror’ of another” (ibid, p.90), such that the value of each commodity is ‘expressed’ in the bodies of all other commodities. From this extended relation of equivalence, the universal equivalent develops, facilitating the commensuration of radically different forms of human labour and forms of exchangeable objects. Shapiro sees Marx as having “lamented […] the
obscuring of the human involvement immanent in the production of value when commodities are read only on the basis of exchange value” (ibid, p.91). Exchange takes place among ‘abstract individuals’ (ibid) whose relations to one another are depersonalized and distanced by the mediation of the money form.

The concept of derealization is useful, despite being somewhat one-sided, directed toward an idea of continual increase of loss or effacement. The intimate yet remote view in which the drone pilot encounters a target person runs counter to Shapiro’s emphasis on derealisation as somehow yielding ‘less’ seeing, in the effacement of the victim. In drone viewing, the victim is newly, and vividly, visible to the grounded-yet-virtually-aerial drone operative. The construction of this form of remote viewing is reflected in contemporary attitudes, and state discourses, that foreground a removal of the body of the pilot from ‘harm’s way’, while tacitly or overtly endorsing the extensive and increasing deployment of armed drones against civilian targets.

Derealization must be understood to operate in productive tension with the embodied and networked character of drone viewing, reliant as it is on ‘operatives’ (pilots), military personnel in distributed locations, and traditional pilots (Gregory, 2014). Gregory’s emphasis on the importance of understanding the drone as embedded within networks of geographically dispersed actors and technologies chimes with a broader theoretical nuancing of the Baudrillardian position of a progressive loss of the real in the postmodern transition to the hyperreal. As King remarks on Baudrillard’s discussion of the Gulf War, “bomber crews saw not the actual target but its image on screen” (1996, p.7), yet this deepening of the degree of abstraction present in ‘militarized aeromobility’ should not be uncritically interpreted as a progressive or increasing loss of the real (King, 1996).

The removal, or separation of the body of the drone pilot and the body of the drone aircraft, also has implications for the understanding of
subjectivity and agency in the drone’s eye view, and in other forms of abstract, cartographically structured viewing. Thrift and Pile draw attention to the relationship between subjectivity and the body: “Nowadays, the subject and subjectivity are more likely to be conceived of as rooted in the spatial home of the body, and therefore situated, as composed of and by a ‘federation’ of different discourses/persona, united and orchestrated to a greater or lesser extent by narrative, and as registered through a whole series of senses” (1995, p.10). This is “a subject which is in some ways detachable, reversible and changeable; in other ways fixed, solid and dependable; located in, with and by power, knowledge and social relationships” (ibid, p.11). In the context of cartographic abstraction, I read the tension between the displacement of the subject, derealization and an increasingly networked, materialized drone vision as being mediated through a further abstraction developed from the cartographic god’s eye view. The remote-yet-networked character of drone viewing is also produced through a further mode of cartographic abstraction, the production of virtual remote viewing enabled by the encoding of geographical space in the form of the Euclidean grid, to which I now turn.

Remote viewing: spatial extension and the cartographic grid

The cartographic grid is a central mode of cartographic abstraction. As Geoff King writes of the reality-creating capacity of the grid,

> [a]t the cultural level […] maps are invariably used to impose meaning on the world. Otherwise bewilderingly complex and unwieldy masses of phenomena are carved up into manageable portions through the imposition of various grids like those used to map a territory, grids that can create the reality they often appear merely to represent (King, 1996, p.41).

As Jacob describes, Claudius Ptolemy’s Geography of c.150 BCE introduces the mechanism of the grid into cartography. Listing the
positions of named places in terms of their latitudinal and longitudinal
coordinates, the text did not use graphic depiction, but “lists of positions
accompanied an abstract geometrical grid, was an intelligent way of
stabilizing the map and protecting it from the distortions that could alter it in
the course of manuscript transmission” (Jacob, 1992, p.120). Further, “[t]he
grid generates a specific geometry based on the recurrence of the same
units, on a strict horizontal and vertical alignment ruled by right angles”
(ibid, p.121). The grid organizes the cartographic image on principles of
coherence, homogeneity, logical extension and uniformity (ibid) and
“stresses its own logic of expansion, its task of covering the entire space”
(ibid). Through this extensive logic, the grid “betrays a will to master and
control” (ibid), and, as “geographical discovery revealed a pattern of lands
and seas, environments and peoples quite different from that predicted by
the theoretical geometries of astronomical geography” some Europeans
“quite ruthlessly imposed their visions of spatial order across conquered
territories through the applied geometry of geodesy, survey and
cartography, while others imagined ever more esoteric symmetries hidden
beyond the earth’s surface geography” (Cosgrove, 2008, p.21).

The importance of gridded space is that it figures a ”mathematical relation
with reality” (Farinelli cited in Jacob, 1992, p.121), an abstract form of
space, and because it is completely regular and consistent it allowed for
the conceptualisation of the earth as a sphere, which could then have all
the properties of a sphere, including an axis, a circumference (the
equator), and a radius and diameter. I explore the role of the grid in terms
of its production of the cultural and mathematical concept of the antipodes,
initially a conception of cultural difference and opposition, which became
subsumed by the mathematical abstraction of the diameter of the globe as
a sphere; the diameter of the sphere came to be expressed in the
formulation of the antipodes as points diametrically opposed on the surface
of the globe. As King argues, above, the imposition of the cartographic
grid onto the abstraction of the Earth-as-globe is also a way of imposing
meaning onto the world. The grid enables the mapping, and constitution,
of territory, and creates the reality that it appears, misleadingly, to represent.

As King further argues, “all classificatory grids are arbitrary. They have no necessary or absolute status. It does not matter what kind of grid is used on the map. Any system of lines and points of reference can be imposed to provide orientation, although different mappings may serve very different interests” (1996, p.43). The cartographic grid, that structures an abstract conception of global space, enables the development, in the cultural form of the antipodes, from a conceptual and theological understanding of global space, to a mathematical, geometric subsumption of the antipodal form.

Jacob cites Harley to argue that, “both in the selectivity of their content and in their signs and styles of representation, maps are a way of conceiving, articulating, and structuring the human world through the visual expression of specific sets of social relations (Harley, 1988a, 278)” (Jacob, 1992, p.24). I argue that the concept of the antipodes was, initially, an expression of an imagined social relation between the West, or what was later Europe, and its ‘antipodean other’. As Olsson (2007) discusses, in ancient Greek geography an outer sea was thought of as the limit of the oikumene, the known world, and continental forms often depicted in a more or less symmetrical arrangement. Hiatt contextualizes the development of the concept of antipodes as a “particular version of terra incognita” (2008, p.3, emphasis in original), the areas marked on maps as ‘unknown’.

The term 'antipodes' initially referred to people dwelling opposite to - literally with feet against - the known world. The concept was the product of classical Greek geometry, which calculated the size and shape of the earth with a remarkable degree of accuracy, and argued that unknown lands and peoples were likely to exist in parts of the world beyond the land mass constituted by Europe, Asia, and Africa (Hiatt, 2008, p.3).
This approach locates the concept of ‘antipodes’ in its historical context as part of a project of particularly European exploration and cartography; the theorized southern landmass was a crucial part of the European geographical imaginary, both in terms of the global disposition of land and of relationship to persons thought to inhabit such distant lands.

With the progression of European exploration through the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, ‘Terra Australis’ became perhaps the most resonant instance of terra incognita, as more areas were appropriated as objects of cartographic knowledge and therefore fewer designated as unknown. It was from a European perspective that terra australis came to be widely known as ‘the antipodes’, which combines the sense of oppositeness which has carried forward in the term, and the particular relationship of the imagined ‘other’ of the ocean-going powers. As Hiatt writes,

*Terra Australis* was a cartographic fiction, the product of cosmological theory and the confusing welter of travel narratives that flooded into Europe during the sixteenth century [...] Stitched together, the traces of disparate explorations added verisimilitude to the thesis, in existence since classical times, of a vast Antarctic continent (2008, p.1, emphasis in original).

The ‘cartographic fiction’, or what I term cartographic abstraction, of *Terra Australis* persists in the common use of the ‘Antipodes’ to denote New Zealand and Australia, a formulation that exists alongside the geometric conception of an infinite number of antipodal, diametrically opposed points on the Earth’s surface.

In *Rethinking the Power of Maps*, Denis Wood titles one section, ‘Maps Give Us a Reality beyond Our Reach’, and elaborates, “a reality that exceeds our reach, our vision, the span of our days, a reality we achieve in no other way” (2010, p.15). The cartographic grid facilitates the abstract extension of cartographic space to encompass and appropriate the globe
conceptually. As Monmonier argues in the national context, and as is equally relevant in the global context,

*in partitioning an entire country among a largely arbitrary grid of rectangular areas called quadrangles, the national mapping organization willingly sacrifices political, ethnic, and physical boundaries to the convenience of uniformly spaced meridians and parallels - a divide-and-conquer strategy that makes complete coverage seem both doable and essential* (1996, p.124).

Monmonier here identifies the cartographic grid in terms of its negative consequences - as ‘sacrifice’ for the sake of ‘convenience’. The assimilative, appropriative role of the grid is also registered here. The cartographic grid continues to structure newer technological forms of remote viewing, which I discuss in more depth in chapter five. As a cartographic abstraction, the grid structures both the form of remote viewing instantiated in networked drone vision, as we saw above, and remote cartographic viewing, instantiated here in the cartographic abstraction of the antipodes.

I turn now to a ‘negative’ conception of cartographic abstraction, ‘cartographic silence’ (Harley, 2001), to extend the formulation of counter-mapping discussed above. An important counterpoint to the prevalence of visual modalities in critical discourse on cartography, I discuss in depth in chapter six a case study of soundscape. This form of contemporary cartographic ‘enactment’ (Hawkins, 2014, p.40) finds a theoretical underpinning in the notion of cartographic silence, and opens the succession of cartographic viewpoints unfolded here to a consideration of non-viewing, or visual ‘silence’.

The other side of selection as a central abstracting procedure of cartography is de-selection, or what Harley terms ‘cartographic silence’ (2001, p.84). This theory “is concerned with the dialogue that arises from
the intentional or unintentional suppression of knowledge in maps” (ibid), and structures the ‘rules of absence’ (ibid, p.46). Harley is primarily concerned, in this context, with ‘political silences’ (ibid, p.85) rather than those arising from “geographical ignorance, lack of data, error, the limitations of scale, deliberate design or other aspects of specification and technical limitation” (ibid). Following his positioning of maps as texts, he reads silence in terms of the exclusion of elements that could be depicted cartographically, or of elements that are de-selected for depiction at the level of the map as a visual, graphic form. He argues for attending to the role of silence in cartography not simply as the corollary or opposite of what is depicted:

I am deliberately insisting on the term silences in the context of maps, rather than the somewhat negative blank spaces of the older literature, for the reason that silence should be seen as an ‘active human performance’. Silence can reveal as much as it conceals and, from acting as independent and intentional statements, silences on maps may sometimes become the determinate part of the cartographic message. So, just as in verbal communication the silence is more than the mere correlate of what is sounded, in the case of a map the silence is not merely the opposite of what is depicted. The white spaces which abound on the maps of early modern Europe, for example, cannot be explained simply by positing ‘fact’ against ‘no fact’. Silence and utterance are not alternatives but constituent parts of map language, each necessary for the understanding of the other (ibid, p.86).

I take up Harley’s prompt to identify silence – non-selection, non-depiction – as an active, productive feature of cartographic language, and a necessary mode of cartographic abstraction entailed by the ‘distortion’ (Monmonier, 1996, p.1) involved in any approach to depicting the four-
dimensional world in two-dimensional form. Silence, emptiness, and blankness are also seen as produced in relation to the cartographic grid:

That geometric armature [...] in itself inscribes something that we cannot readily identify on the medieval mappamundi, an empty space that is entirely distinct from a "blank spot". That space is not merely the blankness produced by an ignorance of an undiscovered geographical or hydrographical feature - a "negative emptiness" - but the abstract space into which geographies and hydrographies are plotted - a "positive" emptiness. It subtends the entire surface of the map, but its 'positive emptiness' - its substantial independence from the objects and locations it serves to plot - only becomes visible when we realize that it logically extends far beyond the borders of the image. (Padrón, 2014, p.212)

A ‘strong’ interpretation of cartographic silence also functions in relation to a polarisation of interpretations in the critical cartographic literature around ‘positive’ as against ‘negative’ conceptions of cartography’s processes and effects. ‘Silence’ appears alongside ‘distortion’, ‘reduction’ (Pickles, 2006, p.57), ‘suppression’ and ‘nonselection’ (Monmonier, 1996, p.25), in accounts of the map’s relation to its posited ‘real’. This vocabulary mirrors the tension between abstraction as a positive or negative process, identified by Peter Osborne in his essay ‘The Reproach of Abstraction’. I return to this in more detail below.

In common with the wider literature in critical cartography, Harley does not treat cartographic abstraction as a distinct modality in cartographic analysis, but does include it in his discussion of cartographic silence: “The map image itself was becoming increasingly subject to concealment, censorship, sometimes to abstraction or falsification. It is these deliberate manipulations, willed by individuals, groups, or institutions, that give rise to our category of intentional silences” (2001, p.88). Harley does not pursue
a description or analysis of abstraction in this context, focussing instead on issues of strategic and commercial secrecy in the context of state-sponsored cartography. However, he is clear in placing ‘abstraction’ in the category of intentional silence, as a ‘negative’ method of ‘deliberate manipulation’ of map content.

Although Harley’s analysis is grounded in maps of the early modern period, his method more broadly is as relevant to analysis of modern and contemporary mapping. This is also the case with respect to his further category of ‘toponymic silence’ (ibid, p.99) which he articulates particularly in the context of colonial mapping. “Conquering states impose a silence on minority or subject populations through their manipulation of place-names. Whole strata of ethnic identity are swept from the map in what amounts to acts of cultural genocide” (ibid). This argument is nuanced by King, in describing the co-option of Irish place names on colonial maps, as well as their Anglicization, “as part of the enterprise of colonial reinscription and domination” (1996, p.30); naming can function as a dynamic of appropriation (ibid, p.28), and the appearance of ‘indigenous’ toponyms should not uncritically be interpreted as a straightforward good, as cartographic silences may also be produced through selective, coercive or incomplete visibilisation.

Citing Monmonier, Harley argues that “the map that is not made…warrants as much attention as the map that is made” (2001, p.106), and suggesting that ‘epistemological silences’ may be identified as functioning in the same way as blank graphic space in maps. These silences denote “‘unthought’ elements in discourse” (ibid); Harley here draws on Foucault to suggest that a discourse of silence is as productive of cartographic knowledge as is a ‘positive’ discourse of visibility and inclusion in map depiction.

In making this argument, Harley posits, but does not explore, a notion of ‘the cartographic unconscious’, in tandem with its ‘social foundations’ (2001, p.106). This concept initially recalls Marx’s formulation of an economic base with its socio-cultural superstructure, so that we may read
the cartographic unconscious as arising from, being produced by, its social foundations, although Harley does not theorize further from these suggestive terms. In chapter six I explore in more depth the question of artistic ‘sonic mapping’ as a mode of cartographic enactment.

**Contrapuntal cartographies: counter-mapping, radical cartography and map art**

Emerging in part out of this recognition of the necessary selectivity of maps, and that particular interests are necessarily forwarded through this process while others are suppressed, counter-mapping draws much of its political and imaginative force from the seemingly simple gesture of mapping subject matter not traditionally appearing in maps. Such mappings are usually associated with motivations of social justice, and have become particularly associated with assertions of land rights in postcolonial contexts (see in particular Sparke, 1998). As Alexis Bhagat and Lize Mogel describe their 2008 work, *An Atlas of Radical Cartography*, published with ten fold-out maps, “[t]he object of critique in *An Atlas* [...] is not cartography per se (as is generally meant by the overlapping term *critical cartography*), but rather social relations. Our criteria for selecting these ten maps emphasized radical inquiry and activist engagement” (2008, pp.6-7, emphasis in original).

Connecting the themes of power or power-knowledge, postcolonial theory, and the formation of the nation-state in the Canadian context, Matthew Sparke identifies Benedict Anderson (1991) as having contributed a critique of the hegemonic capacities of national mapping, and argues for the need to also take account of “the counterhegemonic effect of cartographic negotiations” (Sparke, 1998, p.464). In one of the signal essays on counter-mapping and its role in indigenous and postcolonial land rights struggles, Sparke also identifies “the recursive proleptic effects of mapping – the way maps contribute to the construction of spaces that
later they seem only to represent” (ibid, p.466). He introduces the idea, drawn from Edward Said, of ‘contrapuntal reading’ and ‘contrapuntal voicing’, forming what he calls the “concept-metaphor” (ibid, p.467) of ‘contrapuntal cartographies’. The emphasis is on countering dominant discourses of power, particularly in the context of the nation-state, and particularly through the medium of cartography, turning its capacities against the hegemonic effects of nation-formation and unfolding ‘contrapuntal cartographies’ as a liberatory political practice. The discourse of counter-mapping has drawn from critiques of cartography’s practical role in furthering hegemonic interests, as succinctly articulated by Denis Wood: “[c]artographers played a significant role in making the world safe for colonizers, mining conglomerates, and the military” (2010, p.7). Postcolonial – and contrapuntal – contemporary approaches in visual culture are also concerned with the production and dissemination of images and the understandings they authorize\(^\text{11}\). In the specific context of cartographic production of the god’s eye view, ”[a]s historians of cartography who write under the influence of J. B. Harley's pioneering scholarship have documented, this god-trick frequently preceded the messy fact of empire, as maps were first used to claim lands and resources on parchment and paper for European powers well before they were effectively occupied by colonizing bodies and presences” (Jay and Ramaswamy, 2014, p.33). As Sparke asserts of colonial mapping in Newfoundland, “the abstracting and disembodying effect of the Cartesian cartography simultaneously presented the interior as known as empty as uninhabited. Less than a century later, the anticipatory aspect of this

\(^{11}\) As J.B. Harley argues, “[c]olonialism is first signposted in the map margins. Titles make increasing reference to empire and to the possession and bounding of territory; dedications define the social rank of colonial governors; and cartouches, with a parade of national flags, coats of arms, or crowns set above subservient Indians, define the power relations in colonial life. But the contours of colonial society can also be read between the lines of the maps. Cartography has become preeminently a record of colonial self-interest. It is an unconscious portrait of how successfully a European colonial society had reproduced itself in the New World, and the maps grant reassurance to settlers by reproducing the symbolic authority and place-names of the Old World” (Harley, 2001, p.46, emphasis added).
colonial enframing effect became disembodied reality. By 1830 there were no living native bodies left.” (Sparke, 1998, p.308) Cartographic visualization is identified as anticipatory, and active in the production of a ‘disembodied reality’; “the gridded colony” (Rajaram, 2006, p.476) is anticipated and produced, in part, cartographically. Further, as Martin Jay argues, “[f]rom mapping new territories and representing sovereign power to the photographic and cinematic presentation of imperial relations, from the most popular mass culture to the most esoteric avant-garde art, the role of visuality in creating, sustaining, justifying, and undermining imperial power is impossible to deny” (Jay in Jay and Ramaswamy, 2014, p.613).

Concern with the visual, geographic and historical dynamics of imperialism informs my consideration of cartographic abstraction. Mapping, and particularly in the context of European mapping of Australia, “could impose a visual regime based on calibration, disembodiment, and symbolization that obliterated the vivid imagery and embodied viewpoints of the Aboriginals, preparing the way for the actual violence that abetted their near obliteration in reality” (ibid, p.614). Here, the critical theme of disembodiment is linked to the social and material efficacy of cartography, in its capacity to foster lived violence in the bodies of those persons within the map’s sphere of efficacy. My consideration of viewpoints as cartographic abstractions, and the nuances of the subject and the body’s implication in differing viewpoints, takes up this concern with the disemboding effects of cartography, as well as its constitutive capacities.

To start to focus these discussions towards my following analysis of a series of artworks engaging with cartographic abstraction, and often with themes of empire and colonisation, I turn briefly to approaches to contemporary art concerned with the perspective of critical cartography and geography. Denis Wood offered one of the earliest accounts of ‘map art’ in his chapter ‘Map Art: Stripping the Mask from the Map’, in *Rethinking the Power of Maps* (2010). Wood offers a broad definition of this growing body of artworks, including works of Dada, Surrealism, Letterism and
Situationism, Conceptual and Earth Art, and gives a detailed survey of exhibitions and contemporary artists using mapping. However, his consideration of map art and counter-mapping remains their capacity to critique hegemonic cartographic practice: “after being shaken and cut up and stuffed and pinned and embroidered and set on fire, no map can ever again wield the authority it claims” (Wood, 2010, p.218). Creative and artistic approaches to map-making have also been influential anthologized in Katharine Harmon’s 2004 work, You are Here: Personal Geographies and Other Maps of the Imagination.

The emerging interdisciplinary area of the geohumanities also takes a critical interest in creative methodologies in relation to space and spatial understandings. In a recent edited volume on this issue, ‘geohumanities’ is defined as referring to “the rapidly growing zone of creative interaction between geography and the humanities” (Dear et al, 2011, p.3). In a similar vein, Harriet Hawkins’ (2014) theoretical approach to ‘geography-art relations’ investigates “questions of creative geographies, and specifically […] points of overlap and spaces of co-operation between geography and art” (2014, p.1). She suggests that the productive engagements between geography and art have become so rich, diverse and prolific that they warrant a stepping-back “in order to extend and deepen reflection on productive differences as well as shared areas of enquiry, and common themes and practices” (ibid). Rather than a theory of what Hawkins terms ‘geography-art relations’, or more broadly ‘creative geographies’, she proposes a series of reflections, case studies, building on “a suite of rich, thematically driven geography-art explorations” (ibid, p.2) but with a focus on exploring the “nature of geography-art relations themselves” (ibid) rather than enrolling them in a top-down theoretical framework. The focus is kept at the level of interdisciplinary interaction between practices and practitioners usually positioned under the rubric of either art or geography.

Hawkins credits geographers with taking an increasing interest in artistic practices, not confined to what might seem the conventional areas of
concern for geography, such as landscape and place. As Hawkins asserts, “whether enrolled in the service of geographical disciplinary interests, or as a critique of the same, arts practices take up a disciplinary place as a generative and transformatory force in the making and shaping of subjects, imaginaries, and disciplinary knowledge and worlds” (ibid, p.2).

Hawkins argues that artistic work gathered under the rubric of ‘critical cartographies’ addresses “traditional practices of cartography” (ibid, p.40) through a critique of positivism in cartography’s scientifically-oriented disciplinary self-understanding, and through the ongoing development of new practices of mapping, by artists and put in context by theorists and critics. For Hawkins, these trends “[define] critical cartography’s project” (ibid) and offer a way to “assess the critical force of the cartographic imaginary” (ibid). I take up and build on some of these developing interdisciplinary approaches to interpretation of artworks in the following chapters, exploring close readings of cartographic artworks as a methodological approach to elaborating the functioning of cartographic abstraction in relation to real abstraction.

Towards a critical approach to cartographic abstraction

In articulating this series of cartographic viewpoints, and positioning them as cartographic abstractions, I am attempting to articulate a case for bringing the theoretical framework of real abstraction into dialogue with the current literature engaging with critical cartography. I see this as a contribution towards a broader project to unfold the implications of real abstraction for visual culture and the ways that we may start to theorize the disruption, non-reproduction of, or progressive intervention into, the contemporary dynamic of real abstraction. This echoes the commitment expressed by Alex Loftus, “to consider the geographies produced through abstraction and the development of a philosophy of praxis that might be adequate to critique and challenge that abstract reality” (Loftus, 2015,
Maps are about relationships (Wood, 2010), and so part of what they are is a technology of the visualization of social relations, and the propositions that are made about those relations, particularly connected with their framing as non-constructed or immutable. In articulating this range of modes of cartographic abstraction particularly associated with viewing, I aim to open out the different modes of cartographically constructing a view from above, and what relations those views may propose and entail.

I therefore engage with literature not only under the rubric of critical cartography, but more widely, work addressing: abstraction in cartographic production; ways of understanding the status of cartography as representation, text, historical artefact, discourse, and site of knowledge production, interrogating the cartographic claim to objectivity and epistemological access to a posited ‘real’; cartography in circulation, including contexts of use and readership; and cartographic viewing. I examine a series of practices through which a range of modalities of cartographic viewing are produced, and discuss them with emphasis on the differing viewpoints that come to be constituted through cartographic practice.

The theory of real abstraction through which I seek to articulate my critique of cartographic abstraction was first articulated by Alfred Sohn-Rethel (1978), which he proposed as the critique of epistemology that would function as extension of and corollary to Marx’s critique of political economy in Capital. He proposes real abstraction as a description of the process enacted in commodity exchange, as the constant moment in which all socially necessary labour time is commensurated as abstract labour, and this fundamentally abstract action plays a constitutive role in the formation of the exchanging subject’s consciousness, and, by extension, the consciousness of all subjects living within the social formation of capital. My critique of cartographic abstraction draws on Sohn-Rethel’s
articulation of real abstraction, and I take up his recognition of real abstraction as an abstract yet socially constitutive process. I attempt to bring real abstraction to bear on my analysis of cartographic abstraction, to open a potentially fruitful new direction in critical cartographic debates.

My emphasis throughout is on cartography as a matrix of practices that is productive of further processes of abstraction in an ongoing process. In this respect I take a view that departs from the related conclusions put forward by, in particular, Wood and Pickles, who conceive of the development of cartographic practice in terms of an expansion of forms of mapping, as well as those understood to be the makers of maps, in an implicit narrative of development towards greater democratization and participation. In contrast, in this research I position cartography as a material, open-ended form of discourse, that is always-already historical and as such must be understood as subject to ongoing transformation.

Rather than engaging with cartography as productive of finite, distinguishable understandings, objects, or knowledges, I investigate it in its conceptual process of continuous ‘becoming’, as opposed to examining those processes involved in its technical production. I focus more particularly on the cartographic production of conceptual viewpoints as abstractions, and explore in more detail in the following chapters some approaches to working with real abstraction as a theoretical framework for re-interpretations of cartographic processes and cartographic art.

In this light, I have outlined what I see as the broad concerns that have animated critical approaches to cartography before now. A first broad area of discussion has identified processes of abstraction as intrinsic to the process of making any sort of map image, including selection, projection, miniaturisation and symbolisation. A second area, engaging more wide-ranging debates, has addressed cartography in terms of new critical frameworks emerging and gaining interpretive currency through the second half of the twentieth century, taking account of deconstruction, semiotics, structuralism and post-structuralism, power-knowledge, historical analysis.
and counter-histories, discourse and phenomenology (Jacob). The ‘objectivity claims’ made by cartography have receded in importance in light of these critical movements, for academic commentators at least; the complex relationships between maps and a, or the, ‘real’ still animate most map use and production. A third area of discussion has positioned the map less as an object of scrutiny and more as an object in motion, with distinctive contexts of use and readership, access, and the dissemination and circulation of cartographic knowledges.

Abstraction: real, geographical and cartographic

I want to briefly consider Sohn-Rethel’s theory of real abstraction in conjunction with Keenan’s reading of commodity fetishism. This enables me to look more closely at the exchange abstraction itself, which Sohn-Rethel posits as the origin of real abstraction and its social efficacy; and which gives rise to Marx’s theory of commodity fetishism. Commenting on the opening declaration of Capital (Volume One), “The wealth of societies in which the capitalist mode of production prevails appears as an ‘immense collection of commodities’ [ungeheuere Warensammlung]; the individual commodity appears as its elementary form” (Marx, 1867/1990, p.125), Keenan identifies the question of appearance as the central problematic of commodity fetishism. He translates ungeheuere as ‘monstrous’ rather than ‘immense’, stressing the connotations of horror and unnaturalness in wealth’s appearance as a collection of commodities. As he further argues, “[t]he matter at issue is the appearance or self-announcement of something as something else, the rhetorical structure of simile or metaphor (als, comme): semblance, shine, simulation or dissimulation. In those societies where the capitalist mode of production prevails, something (economic) shows itself by hiding itself, by announcing itself as something else or in another form” (1993, p.157). This is the central movement of value as an abstraction, the displacement of value from one thing into another thing, from the labourer into the commodity, and from the commodity into the money form necessitated by the logic of exchange.
As Keenan describes, “[w]hen things are exchanged as commodities, they are related to each other not as use values but as exchange values, in terms of something else. This shared third term, the axis of similarity, enables a comparison, makes the different uses or things commensurable, relatable as quantities of the same thing rather than different uses or qualities” (ibid, p.162, emphasis mine). It is in this sense that Sohn-Rethel’s characterisation of exchange as a ‘thoroughly abstract action’ resonates; the action of commodity exchange is thoroughly abstract because the buyer in the exchange engages with the commodity as a use value, while the seller engages with the commodity as an exchange value, and in so doing the posited abstract labour within the commodity is realized. The abstract action of commodity exchange organizes social relations by means of a ‘third term’, value, the ‘axis of similarity’ between and among things. In this theoretical context, real abstraction constitutes the moment, or event, and the process of social synthesis, in Sohn-Rethel’s terminology.

Alberto Toscano offers a formulation of real abstraction as ‘materialism without matter’. I draw on Toscano’s more philosophically oriented writings on real abstraction (2008a, 2008b, 2014a, 2014b) to anchor my approach to real abstraction in terms of visual modalities of abstraction, and specifically in connection with concerns found in cartography and cartographic art. In light of renewed theoretical interest in ‘new materialisms’, and associated interest in ‘matter and materiality’, Toscano “revisits the heterodox Marxian thesis” (2014, p.1221) of a materialism that has “nothing to do with a reference to matter” (ibid) and expands it into the proposition of a ‘materialism without matter’.

The emphasis is on positioning “materialism as the critical analysis of real, social abstractions” (ibid). Toscano poses this analysis of materialism without matter as a ‘recovery’ (ibid) of an existing understanding of materialism as concerned with abstractions. Central to this formulation is an overturning of the assumption of ‘matter’s anteriority to thought’. 
Toscano identifies a continuing influence from the “echoes of philosophical combats” (ibid, p.1222) into the field of theory, whereby “there is still a certain aura, in the field of theory, which attaches to the declaration, be it in thought or discourse, of the primacy of matter” (ibid). In terms of materialism, and what sort of materialism, Toscano sets up the terms for this inquiry as being the relationship between idealism and materialism. More specifically, materialism is identified as performing “a specular inversion of idealism” (ibid). Etienne Balibar’s claim (as interpreted here by Toscano) is that Marx ‘displaced’ the whole distinction between idealism and materialism, in the sense of casting it into terms that are productive of new understandings of the distinction.

The question of ‘opposing tendencies’ in the problematic of abstraction is discussed by Peter Osborne as both a loss of particularity and at once a condition of possibility of thinking the object (2004). These apparently opposing tendencies may also be framed as a problem of ‘reversibility’:

Abstraction, as a concept, is reversible, ‘equally applicable to our attempts to achieve intellectual understanding and our involvement in activities and practices that prevent us from doing so’. Its reversibility derives from Marx’s critique of commodity fetishism as a form of real abstraction, located not in consciousness, but in actions, in exchanges that presuppose and reinforce the valuation of labour for its relational properties. At the same time, these actions extend to consciousness, for abstraction, especially in the work of Alfred Sohn-Rethel, equally ‘refers to both intellectual labour and manual labour’. Consciousness is at once enabled and limited by abstraction, which serves as its condition of possibility and impossibility (Colesworthy, 2014, p.1175).

The ‘reversibility’ of abstraction is also seen here as a question of enabling and limiting simultaneously. The very attempt to bring into or make
available to conscious thought something that is not a part of conscious thought entails abstraction, in order to render in thought something that is itself not thought. ‘Ascending’ and ‘descending’ are another way of describing the ‘opposing tendencies’ at work in abstraction, whereby Marx identifies an ‘ascent’ from the most abstract forms to the most concrete forms.

Colesworthy’s emphasis on ‘thinking beyond a familiar dynamic of concealment and revelation’ (ibid, p.1174) also motivates the emphasis in this study as a whole on problematising the notion of revelation as an end in itself. The epistemological project, or task, is frequently marked off as being visibilisation as such. However, critiques of social abstraction must go beyond ‘revelation’, in the context where, as Alex Loftus argues, “[t]he mediating role of the exchange abstraction […] has produced a perverted reality in which things – money, socially necessary labour time, buildings, wages, and infrastructures – dominate people” (Loftus, 2015, p.366). In the context of this ‘perverted reality’, “[i]t is difficult to overestimate the violence generated by processes of abstraction” (ibid, p.366, and Sayer 1987). Loftus advocates the need for a renewed ‘historical-geographical materialism’ (2015, p.378) in order to engage with disrupting and overcoming “the violence of geographical abstractions” (ibid, p.373).

In the chapters that follow, I draw on the themes discussed here in the context of close readings of selected cartographic artworks, and the relationships and interpretive challenges they evoke, through the theoretical framework of cartographic abstraction.
Chapter Two

Apollonian Viewing: turning the planetary view inside out in _Targets_ by Joyce Kozloff

In this chapter I will develop the preceding analysis of the cartographic synoptic view, by turning to an artwork that nuances and extends the synoptic view. I offer a reading of _Targets_, by Joyce Kozloff, as disrupting aspects of the cartographic synoptic view, discussed in chapter two, through deploying elements of panoptic viewing and Apollonian viewing.

Having broadly introduced these concepts and their relevant literatures in chapter one, in this chapter I elaborate on this conceptual apparatus through a detailed discussion of a single artwork.

I first introduce _Targets_, and place it initially in the interpretative context of the rest of Kozloff’s significant cartographic oeuvre. I then explore the question of how the artwork may be ‘read’ as an approach to encountering and responding to the work as a ‘remote viewer’. I draw to some extent on Harriet Hawkins’ approach to encountering installation art as an embodied experience, an approach that I look to both take up and to complicate, in that my ‘encounter’ with _Targets_ is formed through images printed in books and made available online. I offer a close reading of selected areas of _Targets_ to open out questions of map interpretation in light of the recognition of maps as irreducibly both graphic images and texts; this irreducible character is part of what lends cartography and cartographic art to an interdisciplinary critical approach. In this reading I also aim not to lose sight of the point that _Targets_ is fully an artwork in its own right, and so to avoid interpreting it reductively as either a series of linked maps or as merely an illustration of a political point.

Closely linked to the exploratory and somewhat experimental approach to written interpretation that I take here, I explore the newly juxtaposed cartography of the globe as performed by _Targets_. Attention is also given
to the cartographic silences created through the active de-selection of cartographic imagery. In this section, I suggest that the viewing position formed in the artwork fosters, or offers, an identification with an imagined viewing position of the United States, conspicuous by its non-depiction in this re-worked 'world map'.

From the foundation of these explorations of the interpretative encounter with the cartographic artwork, I then move to a more focussed discussion of my reading of Targets as enacting a panoptic viewing position. Inhabiting the centre of the walk-in globe (see figs. 7 - 10), the viewer is closely surrounded by painted maps of target countries, and it is through reading Targets' imaginative geographies that critical reading of the power in this viewpoint is both forwarded and nuanced. My focus on the effect that viewing in this relation may have on the viewer comes directly from my reading of the artwork itself; I draw on the panoptic and the Apollonian as modes of abstract viewing that enable a productive analysis of the complex form of viewing that is staged in Targets. To develop this account of Targets' form of cartographic viewing, I take panoptic viewing and Apollonian viewing as conventional cartographic abstractions that are both undermined and reconfigured in the abstract viewing position staged by Targets.

In chapter one, panoptic viewing was considered in terms of the theoretical relationship that has emerged between panopticism and synopticism, and here I aim to draw on some of the theoretical insights produced by this debate to argue that Targets stages a viewing position incorporating the physicality implied in the panopticon as a proposed architectural form\(^\text{23}\), as

\(^{23}\) I discuss Targets in relation to the diagram of the panopticon and, briefly, its architectural sources of inspiration, later in this chapter. Drawing on the spectacular paradigm of the panorama would be a further approach that would be very worthwhile, as spatialized forms of painting, particularly as Kozloff herself notes the influence of the panorama as a habitable structure on her thinking: “I’d seen pictures of the big walk-in globe at the World’s International Exposition in London (1851)” (Interview in Princenthal and Earenfight, 2008, p.53). On the panorama and associated “technologies of vision” (Benjamin, R. 2014, p.122) as projects of colonial visuality, see Benjamin, R. (2014).
well as the cartographic facility to project an authoritative, totalising view. In this context, I discuss the artwork as also presenting a viewing position that alters and disrupts the Apollonian mode of viewing the whole Earth cartographically.

Finally, I draw together these strands of the analysis to suggest that it is worthwhile to read Targets as both nuancing and extending the cartographic synoptic view as a cartographic abstraction, into an inhabitable viewing position combining elements of both panoptic and Apollonian viewing. Interpreted in this way, I suggest that Targets affords an opportunity to reorient and extend critical cartography's traditional concern with a Foucauldian critique of power and the techniques and technologies of social domination, towards a critical awareness of the role of abstraction in cartography and its efficacy in the world. I see this in effect in Targets’ staging of a viewing position that opens out relations of engagement and complicity against control and domination of the viewed.

Targets as cartographic artwork

Targets by Joyce Kozloff is a nine-foot walk-in globe, on whose exterior can be seen the ribs and sections of the wood construction, and whose interior is painted with maps depicting all the countries that have undergone aerial bombardment by the US between 1945 and the work’s making in 2000\(^24\). The globe form is deployed in this work to

Fig. 1. *Targets* (2000), interior view

Fig. 2. *Targets* on display at the Venice Arsenale, exterior view

produce a claustrophobic ‘world’ of the mapped and fragmented geographies of these targeted places. Viewers are able to stand inside the curved structure, and pull closed a door formed of one of the painted sections. The painted panels are formed of canvas stretched on wooden frames, and while the external supports resemble lines of latitude and longitude, they also suggest “a bathysphere or an early spaceship or perhaps a giant hand grenade” (Princenthal and Earenfight, 2008, p.14).

Visual associations with weaponry and with technology are present, then, from the beginning of the visual encounter with Targets, and its careful, skilled and deliberate creation by human makers. From the outside of the structure, the interior map paintings are visible, perhaps not unlike commercial wallpaper featuring maps that has become popular in the period since the making of this work in 2000. The initial view is of shapes
of bright colour, varied and butting up close together, the characteristic play of the maps’ lines and symbols visible at a distance before any detail can be ascertained (see fig. 9). A hemispherical dividing line corresponding to the equator, and top-to-bottom linear divisions corresponding to meridians, but fewer than we might find on a globe, such that curved trapezoidal panels are formed, curtailed at the base where they meet the floor – the area in which the viewer stands – and at the top where they meet around an oculus above which is mounted a bright light, giving a uniform illumination into the viewing space. Access for the viewer is afforded by one of the trapezoidal panels forming a door, mounted on castors, which the viewer is able to close on themselves once inside.

Fig. 4. Targets, interior view with door

The subject matter of Targets’ painted maps is considered in more detail below. Prior to exploring the detail of the work more closely, I want to
pause on its form, and place the viewing encounter of this multi-coloured, self-contained cartographic structure alongside a significant moment in Denis Cosgrove’s discussion of the globe as conceived by the ‘Apollonian eye’ or Apollonian perspective. The relationship between Apollonian viewing and panoptic viewing is also considered more closely later in this chapter, but it is the visual impact of Targets, as a globe, as a rendering of something like the earth, that I want to pause over first.

In Apollo’s Eye, Cosgrove contextualizes the globe form as a powerful imaginary that has, though not straightforwardly, contributed to fostering ideas of unity and harmony in association with viewing the whole earth. Cosgrove draws on a description given by Plato of an imagined Apollonian view of the earth, which is worth quoting at length for its striking resonance with the visual appearance of Targets:

> The figure of Apollo [...] prompts the conception of a unified world, a sphere of perfect beauty and immeasurable vitality, bathed in a beatific gaze. Plato describes such a perspective in Phaedo:

> First of all the true earth, if one views it from above, it is said to look like those twelve piece leather balls, variegated, a patchwork of colours of which our colours here are, as it were, samples that painters use. The whole earth is of such colours, indeed of colours far brighter still and purer than those: one portion is purple, marvellous for its beauty, another is golden, and all that is white is whiter than chalk or snow; and all the earth is composed of other colours likewise, indeed of colours more numerous and beautiful than any we have seen. Even its very hollows, full as they are of water and air, give an appearance of colour, gleaming among the
variety of other colours, so that its general appearance is one of continuous multi-coloured surface.

The idea of seeing the globe seems also to induce desires of ordering and controlling the object of vision. At the opening of his earthly ministry the Christ-Apollo was removed to a desert vantage point to be offered dominion over the terrestrial globe. Emperors, kings, states, and corporations have yielded to similar temptations, picturing globes and global panoramas to proclaim territorial authority. Harsh realities of rule have been softened into apparent harmony by the peaceful coherence of the synoptic vision. (Cosgrove, 2001, pp.4-5)

Plato’s description of the whole earth imaginatively viewed from above emphasizes colour at the same time as portions and segments. The colours that will be visible on the earth are ‘brighter still’, ‘numerous and beautiful’, ‘gleaming’. Colour is the element that here comes to constitute the ‘variegated patchwork’ of the ‘continuous surface’. Cosgrove aptly stresses the implications of unity in Plato’s comments, the portions and segments brought together into a unified patchwork, a newly united entity.

For me, the resonance of Targets with this description of the imagined globe is strong. Bright saffron yellows and patches of rich greens are immediately visible, mauves and pinks, with traces of bright blues. The space of the artwork opens into an oculus at the top, which admits an even, artificial light into the viewing space. It is this artificial light that leads me to see the colours of Targets as, perhaps, ‘brighter still’, ‘gleaming’.

Where Plato describes a viewing experience enabled by the light of the (imagined) sun, the viewing experience of Targets is made possible by means of artificial light, whose angle does not alter with the time of day. In this way, no shadows fall on the painted map surfaces, and the notion of time implicit in the physical relation between the sun and the earth is
suppressed, or not selected for depiction, in the composite map that *Targets* presents.

I will return to Cosgrove’s use of Plato’s description, and its further implications in terms of opening out readings of the new geographies embodied in *Targets*. For now, I want to keep in view the implication of visual unity as creating associations between the idea of visual order and harmony in a more social and geopolitical sense; the initial view of a contained, coherent whole in *Targets* gives way to the detailed geographies of the fractured selections from the world that may be read from a closer viewing position, that available within the installation. To that end, I return to a closer reading of *Targets* below, but turn first to the large body of cartographic artworks that Joyce Kozloff has produced to re-contextualize *Targets* as part of an ongoing artistic production concerned with cartography’s abstract modalities.

**Joyce Kozloff’s cartographic art**

Working frequently with maps, Joyce Kozloff (b.1942) has made a number of individual cartographic artworks, as well as frequently working with ongoing series over periods of years. Kozloff is known for exploring feminist themes in her artwork, and was an original member of the Pattern and Decoration movement, prominent during the 1970s and 80s in the US particularly. The artists involved sought to challenge the minimization of pattern and decoration in visual art as concerns traditionally labelled ‘feminine’ and marginalized as being less important concerns than those championed by the abstract schools of painting and by conceptual art more broadly (Princenthal & Earenfight, 2008, p.29). In her earlier career, Kozloff worked on a number of public art projects and commissions emphasising abstract geometrical pattern, and particularly with an interest in unsettling the boundaries between pattern as decoration and pattern as a visual register with the capacity to shift the atmosphere of a built space. I suggest that a continuity can be seen from these early, and interestingly spatial, works, to the form seen in *Targets*, in which visual pattern takes
the form of map segments and comes to actively structure the viewing space and surface.

Without wishing to over-burden the notion of ‘pattern’, I see Kozloff’s early aesthetic interest in pattern as expanding and extending into cartography as a fundamentally aesthetic mode of creating meaningful geometrical patternings of space, and of the epistemologies that we bring to bear on that space and on those patternings. Cartography is noted for its dual character as both text and image simultaneously, never only about information or data, but always also centrally concerned with the aesthetic form that data takes. In Kozloff’s work, the aesthetic dimension of cartography is always to the fore. Cartography increasingly becomes a means of expanding the aesthetic and political concern with pattern into a conceptual concern with how ‘knowledge’ is ‘patterned’, or organized visually.

In *Celestial + Terrestrial* (2001-2) the concern with pattern giving onto the concern with the patterning of spatial understanding is prominent in the work’s form as a pair of installation paintings, each in the shape of twelve gores, or vertical segments of the globe, flattened and abutting one another in a row, in a reference to sixteenth-century world maps. *Dark and Light Continents* (2002) depicts a world map projection centred on Africa, a scattering of stars across the painted surfaces seeming to indicate a global night, concentrations of white paint suggesting the concentrations of light emissions frequently depicted on cartographic images depicting electricity or internet usage across the globe; the whiter areas appearing in the ‘global north’; Europe, North America, Russia and Japan, ‘unlit’ areas predominantly in South America, Africa, central Asia and Australia. The title irresistibly refers to the Western colonial-imperial conception of Africa as the ‘dark continent’, against which is posed the notion of ‘light continents’ by the title, those ‘lit up’ by the light of industrial development, the light of global capital, ‘light’ here potentially corresponding to imperialism as a global mode of the extraction of value from the ‘global
south’ and its accumulation in the ‘global north’. As a central technique of imperialism, cartography emerges here as a visual method for re-organizing the patterning, and more deeply the signification, of the world map; the physical geography of the world at night re-signifies industrial development and the expansion of global capital.

*Spheres of Influence* (2001), the companion piece of *Dark and Light Continents*, is harder to read as a rendering of a world map projection, despite the suggestion from the form of the work, in its twelve segments, of the image’s being a world map. Reading some of the text scattered across the segments of bright yellows, oranges, greens and the pale blue of seas, ‘TYRRHENUM / INFERUM MARE’, ‘AEOLIS’, and ‘ARABIA’, and seeing a line in the shape of (an) Israel over ‘IUDAEA’ suggests the ‘spheres of influence’ of historical geographies of a range of parts of the globe. An alternate, geopolitical patterning of the land reorganizes and reselects the areas of relevance for this cartographic image, and the play of colours across the composition both escapes a geometric patterning and offers an irregular sense of order across the pictorially discontinuous maps. ‘Order’ is understood here as both a visual and a geopolitical function, and cartography is again seen to have a powerfully ordering efficacy in the world.

A deeper reading of the imaginative geographies of these two works would be worthwhile, but for the purposes of the present discussion I wish only to note these works in the context of the development of Kozloff’s concern with the nuanced relationships of pattern in both colour and form to the underlying epistemological patterning that is fostered through cartographic depiction.

Other significant cartographic works in Kozloff’s oeuvre include *Boys’ Art* (2002-3), concerned with boys’ socialized relationship with war and violence, in the form of twenty-four drawings based on military maps dating from the Han dynasty through to the twentieth century onto which are

**Reading Targets: Remote Viewing**

Before turning more closely to *Targets* itself, I want to briefly contextualize my approach to *Targets* as a viewer, reader and interpreter. Having introduced Hawkins’ recognition of the creative and constructive ‘power’ of geographical discourse, including cartography, in chapter one, I want also to take forward some of her proposals regarding the interpretation of, and encounter with, the artwork: “the encounters staged by art contain within them the possibilities to challenge our typical ways of being in the world; disrupting our systems of knowledge, we are in effect, forced to (new) thought and actions” (Hawkins, 2014, p.11).

In this spirit of close attention to the importance of interpretation as a process (although in this context it is rather more critical than enthusiastic), I offer a ‘reading’ of *Targets* that both acknowledges my position as a ‘remote viewer’ of this work, encountering it through books and online images, but also the importance of the experience of reading the map as a text. To literally ‘read’ a map is to read in many directions non-continuously, as the eye encounters discreet instances of text, which do not individually form sentences or phrases, often, but collectively form a text, brought into (mental) being as a unique text in the mind of a unique reader. It is as a remote reader, then, that I suggest a partial reading of *Targets*, that remains one of many potential readings of the work (my

---

approach in this case draws on Hawkins discussion of the encounter with the artwork, but acknowledges that the nature of my encounter as a reader is not that of a spectator in the installation). Kozloff has said of Targets that

some of the sections are inverted, laid sideways or upside down, forcing the viewer to twist to read place names, reflecting the way airplanes swoop above the earth. There is a disorienting echo inside the globe, so that visitors’ voices are amplified if they speak to one another from within, creating a kind of claustrophobia.26

This embodied, very physical interaction with the artwork does not feature in my own reading, then, but remains important to my understanding of Targets as a work that stages a particular, embodied mode of engaging with cartographic abstraction as a viewer. As Princenthal and Earenfight describe Targets,

This world turned inside out captures something of the physical consequence of aerial warfare, in which buildings and bodies are ravaged and exposed. But that horror runs deep beneath the deliberately numbing tidiness and bloodlessness of Targets, emphasized by the light- and air-admitting oculus at its apex. Modeled [sic] […] on the Roman Pantheon, this opening conflates rational order with carnage in a way that only intensifies the viewer’s sense of being caught inside a world devoid of safety or escape (2008, p.15).

Critical cartographer Denis Wood picks up on these impressions of claustrophobia, danger, and feeling trapped in his description of his own encounter with Targets:

26 Available at joycekozloff.net, accessed 28.07.2014.
Standing inside the globe was devastating. It forced me to confront how much of the world the United States has bombed during my lifetime, with my tax dollars, and so with my tacit support. It made me feel like crawling out of it (Wood, 2010, p.190, emphasis in original).

Wood’s experience of this work supports Hawkins’ account of being forced to new thought or action; these combined accounts of the viewing experience emphasize negative feelings and reactions, claustrophobia, the disorienting echo, numbing tidiness, being caught, confronting. The prominence of negative reactions, these commentators finding the viewing experience difficult and emotionally challenging, is the particular aspects of Targets that I want to draw out in relation to the Apollonian modality of cartographic abstraction.

As we saw in chapter one, where Cosgrove has characterized the Apollonian view as “at once empowering and visionary” (2001, p.xi), I am struck in Targets by the inverted globe form appearing to produce effects in the viewer in quite a different register. Rather than coming to feel ‘empowered’ these accounts describe trappedness; against the expansive and anticipatory connotations of ‘visionary’, here we find confrontation and the closed-in sense of claustrophobia. In wanting to attend to the question of embodiment, and the possibility of conceptual inhabitation, in the cartographic abstraction of the Apollonian view, I position my own reading of Targets as a form of remote reading, embodied yet not present to the constructed space of the viewer in the artwork. It is with these accounts of embodied experiences and remote viewing in mind that I read a section of Targets.

**
Joyce Kozloff: Co+Ordinates is open to pages 70-71. A colourful spread of curved map segments fills the glossy double page. Yellow, greens, dark greens, reds or ochres, some blue. In the centre, my eye is first drawn to the slanted word ‘AFGHANISTAN’, written in block capitals, slanted downward across a field of dark yellow. A wavy, grey-green line traces across the field of yellow, other words nearby, some numbers, ‘WEST ADIZ’, ‘11’, and, smaller, ‘8’. ‘ADIZ’ means, to me, demilitarized zone, and having never been in one, it prompts me to imagine barbed wire, planes overhead, and armed guards in watchtowers, the Berlin Wall. Afghanistan, West ADIZ. Leaning in, the wavy grey-green line becomes a border – the words ‘AFGHANISTAN’ and ‘PAKISTAN’ snake alongside the line, upside-down as I read them. Because I want to put together a clearer sense of the locations that are depicted in the painted segments, I want to see names of
places that I might be able to find in a gazetteer and on a standard
reference map – Google Maps – as I won’t be looking up the same tactical
pilotage charts that Kozloff used in making this work.\textsuperscript{27} This map-painting
is oriented with north-east at the top – beside a bright blue lake at the top
of the segment I read ‘MATA KHAN’.

![Google Maps Screenshot](image)

Fig. 6. Screenview of
Google Maps, ‘Mata
Khan’, April 2015

Referring to Google Maps, the search term ‘Mata Khan’ produces this
image (fig. 12). The lake seems to match up, the border here a thin black
line, most of the landscape a shadowy blank. The words ‘Mata Khan’
disappear from the image, such that the only point of commonality I can
use to read between this cartographic image and Kozloff’s painting is the
lake.

\textsuperscript{27} See Princenthal & Earenfight, 2008, p.14: “Kozloff worked from maps produced
by the US Department of Commerce’s National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration, including Tactical Pilotage Charts and Operational Navigation
Charts. Both were created to assist civilian as well as military pilots”.
Looking more closely at the lake, changing to the satellite view, I find it named ‘Bande Sardeh’, a beautiful, delicate eau de nil and sapphire blues, unlike the bold, electric blue of Kozloff’s painting. I don’t see any sign of the ‘ruins’ that are indicated in Kozloff’s version, in the middle of the lake’s northern shore. In Google Maps I can zoom in and out, to get a sense of the context of the mapped area, while looking at the painting I am stuck, constrained, with no further information at hand. I know the region I’m looking at, but don’t have a sense of scale, how close this might be to the sea, or to the north, or to Pakistan.

Looking again at Targets, near the words ‘MATA KHAN’, and near the meeting point of what I take to be a line of latitude and a line of longitude, but which looks like crosshairs, I also read the word ‘SOLTANI’. Dotting the ‘I’ is a small white square, which I take to be the symbol for a town, or at least a named settlement. Asking Google Maps to ‘direct me’ between the two places produces a perplexing, impractical image:
Repeating the same search request some months later produces a slightly enhanced line; where the top of the first line (fig. 14) appears unconnected to either Mata Khan or Soltani, the second line (fig. 15) has, somewhat inadequately, connected two now-named locations. Zooming in produces a blurred, de-scaled image of indistinct desert land and blurred shapes for fields and buildings, which disappear from the cartographic image in the switch to map view, removing the visual information relating to agriculture, cultivation, labour and human inhabitation. It’s not possible by this method to learn very much at all about these places; my prevailing impression is that this place is unknowable from this perspective. I continue to look at a painted map of part of the Afghanistan-Pakistan border, mountains, a lake, the cartographic grid, and a warning:

---

28 In his discussion of Google Earth, Mark Dorrian relates the resolution of Google’s cartographic imagery to geopolitical hierarchies, Western interests and property value: “Areas that appear in great detail with a fast refresh rate are typically those with high real estate value. Disaster areas, conflict zones or places where state intelligence has been directed can also suddenly emerge with startling detail” (Dorrian, 2013, p.302).
“WARNING All FLT shall obtain clearance at least 15 min prior to entering PAKISTAN AIRSPACE.”

To the right-hand side of the painting, the wavy, grey-green border, the mountains and the lines of latitude and longitude butt up against a light grey-green land, letters and numbers upside-down as I look, areas of cream colour to the top and bottom of the green area. At the bottom of the segment, also upside-down, I read ‘CUBA’. I pick up the book and turn it upside-down, wondering, if I ever see Targets in person, if I will ‘twist to read place names, reflecting the way airplanes swoop above the earth’. As it is, viewing remotely, in print, ‘CUBA’ has become somewhat more legible, but nothing jumps out as a centre of the image. I read ‘VARDER’, ‘ABANDONED’, ‘Smokestack 585 (235)’. I read ‘PEDRO BETANCOURT’, ‘AGRAMONTE’, ‘mangrove’ and ‘numerous ditches’. Reading further down the painting, in almost vertically slanted red capital letters, on a cream-coloured bay, I see ‘BAHIA DE COCHINOS’, and ‘underneath’ these words, in smaller letters, and in brackets, I read ‘(Bay of Pigs)’. This, then, is the ‘centre’ of the painting that I was missing a few moments ago.

Fig. 10. Screenview of Google Maps, ‘Bay of Pigs’
Through Google Maps the bay appears as a beautiful sweep of dark blues, greens, grey and green patterns of the national park to the left, turquoise, an appealing line of digital disjuncture in the bottom left, and a similar stripe of error on the eastern shore of the bay. Whether the viewer is able to connect ‘Bay of Pigs’ to the organizing concept of Targets depends on knowledge gained, or not, outside of the artwork; the bay is the site of the failed 1961 US invasion of Cuba. A non-historian, this brings to my mind vague TV images of the Kennedys, black and white, the Cold War, men in thick-framed glasses and suits, in strong contrast with my image of the US that continues to bomb Afghanistan in the present. These associations and images are not readily understood in terms of ‘knowledge’ or ‘history’. This cartographic image of Cuba, evoked at the moment of 1961, stands alongside the Afghanistan of the beginnings of the War on Terror; first bombed by the US in 1998.

This 1961 Cuba also stands alongside, as I read, the Afghanistan of 2015, and its geographical and political relationships with the Cuba of 2015, and the undepicted place to the east, as it were, of this map painting. In the south-east of the island of Cuba is Guantánamo Bay, made famous as one of the destinations of illegal rendition flights conducted by the US, with the assistance of other nations, under the auspices of the War on Terror.

The detention centre at Guantánamo Bay occupies part of the US Naval Station Guantánamo Bay, in operation since the US leased the facility from Cuba as part of the Cuban-American Treaty of 1903, following the US invasion and occupation. The present stage of the shifting meanings of ‘Guantánamo Bay’ began after 9/11, in 2001, shortly after Targets was made; for me, as a remote viewer, ‘Bay of Pigs’ continues to have only one significant connotation; and Cuba and Afghanistan continue to stand

---

alongside one another as part of Targets as Cuba-US rapprochement is established in 2015.

The choice of aerial bombardment as the theme of this larger, collaged map, has also had the effect of de-selecting Guantanamo Bay detention centre from the cartographic depiction of violence that plays out in Targets. However, remembering Kozloff’s idea of claustrophobia, and Wood’s ‘devastating’ encounter, I read a form of control and limitation in the viewing experience of Targets’ disjointed maps as one is unable to scroll up or down, unfold the next section of the map, or turn the globe to read the adjoining area. The viewer is controlled, then, constrained to view only the selection, although the implied, or imagined, or inferred view may also accommodate the twenty-first century remote viewer’s association of a map depicting Cuba with the present political stand off, or settlement, of Guantanamo. The ‘devastating’ and ‘claustrophobic’ encounter that is staged within the structure may indeed amount to a punitive experience on the part of the viewer, with its physically controlling environment and harsh lighting referencing a kind of solitary confinement chamber. Thinking of the artificial light of Targets’ interior, in relation to Guantanamo, brings up images from news and TV dramas of sound torture and sleep deprivation with bright lights, musicians protesting the use of their songs for torture, and the idea of music transforms into another tangential association, of Pete Seeger singing ‘Guantanamera’31. I imagine standing inside Targets to hear the echo, ‘guajira Guantanamera’.

**Targets’ geographical imaginations**32

---

31 See Chomsky et al, 2003, pp.128-9. The poem ‘Yo soy un hombre sincero’ by José Martí was adapted into a popular song, ‘Guantanamera’, by José (Joseíto) Fernández Díaz. ‘Guantanamera’ has been popularized on the left by the folk singer and political activist Pete Seeger, who sang it all over the world and continues to do so online. For Martí’s role in nineteenth-century Cuba as ‘revolutionary activist’, political theorist, journalist and poet, see Gott, 2004.

32 I have taken up the title of Derek Gregory’s influential 1994 work, *Geographical Imaginations*. 
In the foregoing, I have tried to highlight, among other elements, the disjuncture in the reading, or viewing, experience brought on by the ‘border’ – in my brief exploratory discussion above, that between Afghanistan and Cuba. While borders as geopolitical demarcations do feature in the trapezoidal map paintings, for example, Afghanistan-Pakistan, Kuwait-Iraq, and Serbia-Macedonia, the border is also present physically and structurally in the work in the points at which the map segments are joined. As Earenfight notes,

> From the outside, one sees that the ‘painting’ is made of twenty-four wedge-shaped, curved plywood panels that come together to form a sphere, their joints forming the latitudes and longitudes. [...] The ‘equator’ formed by the joining of the upper and lower wedges provides an artificial horizon in an otherwise disorienting space (Princenthal and Earenfight, 2008, p.28, emphasis added).

The horizontal join is here characterized as both an ‘equator’ and, simultaneously, an ‘artificial horizon’; at once part of the cartographic grid and a visual device to enable orientation during flight. If we read the boundaries of the map segments as already lines of latitude and longitude, and the map segments as primarily an array, we may overlook the boundaries as also points at which each map is cut off, altered, and disrupted, and at which each map is newly conjoined to another. None of the neighbouring maps depicts contiguous areas as we know them to be placed on the globe. Therefore, new cartographic juxtapositions are created as we read and view Targets. I have already described Targets as an artwork ‘whose interior is painted with maps depicting all the countries that have undergone aerial bombardment by the US since 1945.’ In this vein, Earenfight also says that, “[a] quick tally of the maps reveals that each of the segments represents a country bombed by the United States since 1945” (ibid) (more specifically, as we have noted, between 1945 and 2000). However, the artist understands the map paintings to relate, not
strictly to countries, but to bombing campaigns carried out by the US\textsuperscript{33}, and on a closer reading, a neat correspondence cannot quite be drawn between Kozloff’s list of bombing campaigns, based on \textit{Killing Hope: U.S. Military and CIA Interventions Since World War II} by historian William Blum, and the depictions of the paintings.

Of the twenty-four map paintings that form \textit{Targets}, two depict Iraq – one painting centres on Baghdad, while the other shows the Kuwait-Iraq border – although Kozloff cites Iraq only once, as having been bombed from 1991-2000, and some of the bombing campaigns Kozloff cites are not depicted. What is presented, then, is not an encyclopaedic account of US aerial aggression, and in this the work undoes, or perhaps emphasizes, one of cartography’s most central procedures – the assertion of a total and cohesive depiction across both the space of the cartographic image and the space of the mapped area. Kozloff here functions in the role of cartographer, performing the selection, while the rationale and mode of selection remain obscure in the resulting compiled image, as in all cartographic images.

Rather than geographic contiguity, it is the mapped places’ status as having been targets that provides cohesion, and the rationale for selection.

In considering the issue of targeting in the context of a broader epistemological violence, Samuel Weber writes,

\begin{quote}
Targeting thus constitutes the condition of all execution, the execution of acts no less than that of judgments and sentences, such as the death-penalty. Every such execution, as targeting, is potentially and tendentially lethal, for by taking aim at its object, it isolates that object from its relation to its surroundings, removing everything that might distract its aim from the place it seeks to secure:
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{33} See note 26, p.127. Having been made shortly before 9/11, \textit{Targets} also functions as something of an epochal marker, ‘reflecting’ on the ‘post-war’ twentieth century.
that is, to occupy and to appropriate. Since, however, the place targeted is always enmeshed in a net of relations that is intrinsically inexhaustible and unlimited, or, as Freud would say, overdetermined, the act of targeting is an act of violence even before any shot is fired. It is this act of violence that registers as ‘guilt’ (2005, p.105, emphasis in original).

Such an ‘isolation’ of the ‘object’ – here, the mapped place – ‘from its relation to its surroundings’ is performed in Targets. By removing the individual cartographic segments from their geographic relationships, the visualized places are abstracted – in the sense of selected out, removed – and compiled into a new form.

While at one level this new form is a totalized image of US aerial bombardment, it also cites a history that can be indicated in a listing of dates and locations, such that the artwork could be interpreted as potentially simply a creative way of thinking about the histories in question. However, the viewer’s experience of Targets as a source of information and historical instruction depends on their prior knowledge. The specific histories of each ‘target status’, each bombing campaign, are not themselves depicted in the artwork. While it is beyond the scope of this study to address these histories in detail, I am also concerned to read the artwork in terms of what it is and does more than what it may be understood to stand in for. I see Targets as largely concerned with a middle ground between a fully abstract image of ‘war’ and a list of

34 As Joyce Kozloff has commented on responses to Targets, “In Venice, people were interested in Targets, period. They got it. In America, I need a wall label with the list of countries and the years they were bombed. The rest of Voyages, a more subtle and complex rumination on European colonialism, didn’t interest them” (Princenthal and Earenfight, 2008, p.55). Kozloff does not elaborate on how she comes to regard the viewers in Venice as ‘getting’ Targets while American audiences do not. Her remark is suggestive, though, for while she condemns American viewers as insufficiently interested, the perceived need for a wall label seems to indicate that when some historical information is explicitly presented, the viewers respond with greater interest and engagement.
geographically depicted histories; a view that is both specific and totalizing. I offer, then, one way of exploring some of the specificities of Targets’ totalizing view, in turning to a brief exploration of (some of) the ‘borders’ conjoining Targets’ disjunctive mappings. It is this question that opens out on to a closer, though still partial and necessarily incomplete, reading of the geographies of Targets.

Having proposed that it is not straightforwardly countries themselves that find depiction in Targets’ painted maps, in reading images of the work it is hard to overlook the point that many of the segments feature a country name fairly prominently, in larger lettering, such that they may be read across Targets’ surface like phrases or sentences lacking their grammar: Nicaragua-Korea-Peru-Afghanistan-Cuba, Nicaragua-Korea-Peru-Yugoslavia-Kuwait/Iraq, Nicaragua-Kosovo-Bosnia-Korea. These concatenations of place names rely on reading left to right, but in the map we are not bound so strictly to read in a particular way, and so we may also read Congo-El Salvador-Cuba, Sudan-Libya-Iraq-Kuwait/Iraq-Yugoslavia-Peru. We may even, perhaps with a view toward the twenty-first century present of this reading, in which the so-called War on Terror continues, read in a frustrated loop Afghanistan/Pakistan-Kuwait/Iraq-Iraq-Kuwait/Iraq-Pakistan/Afghanistan-Kuwait/Iraq-Iraq. Here an Afghanistan of 1998 borders a Kuwait/Iraq of 1991-2000, which borders another Iraq, one that does not correspond neatly to the list of bombing campaigns, but which cannot help but speak to me of the next war in Iraq that, from the vantage point of the work’s making in 2000, was yet to come. The spatiality of the installation de-particularizes the distinct historical moments at issue in the work; the apparent particularity of the historical references is subsumed within the broader historical referent of ‘since 1945’. In this way, the historical particularity is not actively occluded but is assimilated into the broader referentiality of the whole structure.

To the ‘north’, vertically above, this temporally uneasy Baghdad, the River Tigris meets the Florida Strait, which itself gives on to the northern, or
lowermost, shore of the island of Cuba. In this region, the bright yellow ground of Iraq forms an unwilling coastline of the beige, or cream, Florida Strait, which becomes a small inland sea, bordered by Cuba, Pakistan, Iraq and El Salvador. From the inland sea, to the west, rise sharply the mountains in the west of Pakistan, while to the north-east, beyond El Salvador’s small stretch of coastline we can make out the city of San Salvador in the distance, and further beyond it, Kinshasa. To the south-east of our position, Tripoli, seen here in conceptually either 1986 or 1998, faces eastward from a green Libya on to the Mediterranean.

In chapter one, we noted King’s assertion of the capacity of cartographic grids to ‘create the reality they often appear merely to represent’ (1996, p.41). Here, the grid organizes a new disposition of geographic and political space, establishing a radically altered cartography. Having noted the relationship of this grid to the abstract grid of lines of latitude and longitude which organize global space and world map projections, I want also to link the grid’s establishing of regular, delimited viewing areas to the panoptic organizing of its viewed subjects. The relationships between ‘panoptic viewing’ and the physical and conceptual structure of Targets is explored in more detail below – for the moment I wish to note the regularising and individuating capacity of the physical grid performed in the installation.

In the artwork, each ‘target’ appears in its own confined, delimited space, strictly allocated by the work’s form. However, as I have suggested, it is also possible to read relationships and new connections across and among these confined depictions. Appearing here side by side, it would be possible, but reductive I think, to propose a reading of this arrangement as a form of cartographic-political solidarity, as those places sharing the common experience of aerial attack by the US appear together, displaying their status as Targets. I read the viewing position constituted in the artwork as offering an identification with an imagined viewing position of the United States. The common link shared by the depicted places is their
having been attacked by the US in the latter fifty-five years of the twentieth century, and any cartographic depiction of the US itself is conspicuous by its non-depiction in this re-worked 'world map'.

As Laura Kurgan asserts, "[t]he spaces that maps try to describe can be ideal, psychological, virtual, immaterial, or imaginary – and they are never just physical" (2013, p.16, emphasis in original). I read one of the spaces that Targets' maps try to describe as the central floor space in which the viewer may stand, as a space of viewing. This is a space that appears to be cartographically 'silent', but, as I discussed in chapter one with reference to Brian Harley, cartographic 'silence' can itself become a powerful factor when we attempt to read maps critically. In thinking of another cartographic silence referred to above, that of Guantanamo Bay (to the eye of the viewer of the War on Terror35), I read another such silence in the implication of the US as the space of the viewer.

In relation to the idea of unity that we find in the Apollonian cartographic view, discussed in more detail in relation to Targets further below, the cartographic disjunctures presented here continue to persist while forming a newly cohesive whole. With this question of, perhaps, commensuration as against individuation in mind, I turn now to focus more closely on the interpretative possibilities of reading Targets as staging a panoptic cartographic viewpoint. This interpretation is then explored in the context of the disruption Targets stages to the Apollonian mode of cartographic viewing.

**Targets as an experience of panoptic viewing**

35 While Targets is not a map of the War on Terror, in terms of the time of its making, or its geographies, it nonetheless embodies the capacity of appropriative extension that is such a powerful characteristic of mapping, enabled particularly by the capacity of the grid to propose regular geometrical extension. This question is discussed in more depth in chapter five. In this context, I suggest that a general character of cartographic images as referential is the capacity to imply the presence of surrounding map areas that do not appear in the selection of a particular map.
As we saw in chapter one, ‘the panoptic’ has become an organizing metaphor for scholarly thinking on surveillance and incarceration, in particular, and has been responded to more recently with the notion of ‘the synoptic’ or ‘synopticism’, which draws attention to the range of modes through which ‘the many’ watch ‘the few’. I consider the panopticon in relation to Targets as a figure that enables its disruption to the Apollonian mode to be more clearly brought out. Where the panoptic mode of visuality is aimed at controlling and disciplining viewed subjects, the effect of engaging in Targets’ form of viewing appears to re-direct, significantly, toward the viewer. In this way, the effectivity of the viewing that is staged within the artwork appears to be reversed; it is this shift, staged by this particular cartographic viewing object, that I want to focus in on through discussion of the panoptic and the Apollonian.

The idea of the panopticon was originally put forward by the utilitarian philosopher and social reformer Jeremy Bentham, in 1787, as a model for an ideal prison (Kaschadt, 2002, p.114). At first, the form was considered suitable for all kinds of institutional settings, particularly “where the control of a large number of people or animals was an important priority” (ibid). In Bentham’s plan, individuals were held in single cells arranged around a central watchtower, such that all inmates could be viewed by the guard without themselves being able to view either the guard or one another. By 1791, Bentham had honed the concept such that it could produce “the absolute surveillance both of the inmates and their guards by a single, superior authority” (ibid).

It is the visuality inherent in the panopticon as a disciplinary apparatus that I want to draw out here. Bentham's panopticon itself was an unrealized plan, and not a built structure, and for Foucault the notion of the 'diagram' was central to his use of the panopticon. As Margo Huxley argues, the Panopticon is 'a diagram of a mechanism of power reduced to its ideal form... it is in fact a figure of a political technology' (Foucault 1979b, 205). Schemes and
'diagrams' such as the Panopticon, serve as models, tests and ongoing aims against which programmes of government are evaluated and adjusted [...] They are [...] distillations of underlying logics of multiple and dispersed practices for the conduct of conducts, and this is what gives such 'diagrams' their place in the thought of government (Huxley, 2007, 194).

The panopticon as a 'diagram' and a 'figure of a political technology' therefore should not be interpreted in the same way as a really existing space. As an 'ideal form' it has provided a rich set of concepts and possibilities for thinking about the exertion of control through visual and structural means, and for the potential for removing the direct use of force in the carceral situation. It is as a figure for coercion without direct violence that I initially link it to Targets, and further as a site in which the fantasy of vision-as-control is (extremely influentially) apotheosized.

Where Targets is a built structure, then, it is worth noting the architectural connections between Bentham's concept and Kozloff's artwork, particularly in what these connections may tell us about the role of a god-figure in the panoptic form. Kozloff has said that Targets was particularly influenced by the Tempietto and the Pantheon (Princenthal and Earenfight, 2008, p.53), both of which she spent time with in Rome, where the work was made. The Tempietto, designed by Bramante in 1508, and the Pantheon, completed in the second century CE, are noted for their closely symmetrical floorplans and for being essentially self-contained domes, and in the case of the Pantheon particularly, symmetricality and circularity were associated with being an appropriate symbolic form for a structure dedicated to all the gods, as is emphasized in the structure’s common name. The Tempietto is itself a Christian church. A broader imaginary may come into play here, in which churches are understood as places in which the presence of god or gods may be dwelt with, encountered, or perceived, and as places associated with strict modes of bodily conduct, in
which a certain degree of respectfulness is still expected to be performed. It is such a broader imaginary that I suggest is in play in the relationship between Targets and the Tempietto and Pantheon.

The panopticon is a disciplinary apparatus that operates through visuality, but also, I suggest, draws on the notion of power associated with god:

The basic principle of the panopticon, the ‘power of the gaze’, is reflected in its name (Greek: all-seeing). Through purely architectural means, Bentham made it possible for one single authority to carry out absolute surveillance of all activities, and allowed for the establishment of a system of rational order and efficiency. The architectural arrangement and its name evoke the thought of an ‘all-powerful,’ ‘God-like’ institution which, according to Bentham’s ideas, was to be constructed in an urban context as a ‘pantheon of punishment’. (Kaschadt, 2002, p.115)

The panopticon as a proposed structure, then, and as an extended figure for practices of social control particularly connected with viewing and visual representation, relies on an idea of seeing that remains closely associated with the god-figure. While the efficacy of the panopticon is usually located with those who are viewed and understood to be disciplined by the apparatus, I want to turn to the figure of the guard, occupying, or not, the central guard tower, to argue that this position is as subject to the apparatus as is the position of those who are viewed.

A Foucauldian reading of the panoptic proposes the individual (as the 'subject') as the location or the thing on which the social-disciplinary mode of the panoptic operatess; the mode is social in its efficacy but individualizing and isolating in its operation. In relation to Targets, I suggest that this directedness towards the viewed comes to ‘rebound’, so to speak, on to the viewer instead. I use 'rebound' cautiously as there is a
double movement here that is difficult to separate; the viewer's gaze is in some ways structurally rebutted, turned away, by the curvature of the map paintings, and at the same moment the effect of the gaze is also deflected, returning to the viewer and operating on them. While this mode of visuality is not 'disciplinary' in the senses associated with the panopticon, it is operative on the viewer; it is this idea of the effect on the viewer that I wish to mark. In my reading, Targets is importantly operative on the person at the centre – the position of the viewer in Targets becomes the position of 'guard' in the panoptic situation, broadly understood.

I think this emphasis speaks to the comments from Wood, Kozloff and Earenfight about reactions to the work, noted above: “the maps in Targets are coldly clinical, precise. In these maps one sees through the eyes of a pilot, miles above identifiable life. Despite the impulse to recoil, the all-encompassing sphere provides nowhere to retreat” (Earenfight, 2008, p.28, emphasis added). In considering these responses to the work, I am aiming not to offer an account of the subjectivity of the viewer as they inhabit this panoptic space, but rather of some of the effects that may flow from Targets’ staging of the panoptic-in-the-Apollonian (that is, the Apollonian view disrupted through the deployment of panoptic viewing).

In this context, I return to Wood’s encounter with Targets; ‘It forced me to confront how much of the world the United States has bombed during my lifetime, with my tax dollars, and so with my tacit support.’ It is the material emphasis in this remark that is particularly relevant. Wood, as a viewer, connects himself to the abstract violence evoked in Targets through the payment of taxes that he is compelled to make, by the state, as one of its subjects. In this way he recognizes his complicity in the very production and reproduction of the state’s military power, whose significance as an abstraction is highlighted by Kaplan: “After one hundred years of airpower, even in the face of evidence that today’s wars are also very much fought on the ground, the belief that force from the air is the core of a nation state’s military might remains pervasive.” (Kaplan in Adey et al, 2013, p.19)
Wood’s account of his experience of the work is strongly inflected by this awareness of his material role in making possible the violence whose implication he finds ‘devastating’. It is in this way that I see Targets as reconfiguring the panoptic away from a notion of a single direction of travel of coercion from those with the power to view towards those who are viewed; in this form, coercion is importantly turned back upon the viewer.

In his discussion of the idea of the ‘participant witness’, Frank Möller describes a situation in which ‘the right to look’ (Mirzoeff, 2011) is complicated by an ethical obligation to look at images of violence and suffering: “we not only have the right to look; we have the responsibility to look: not looking is not an option” (2013, p.50). In the case of Targets, while we might agree that ‘not looking is not an option’, a ‘right to look’ is troubled by the questions of what is being looked at, through what means, and with what effects. Möller cites Ariella Azoulay to the effect that “the right not to be a perpetrator” “should condition all other human rights today” (Möller, 2013, p.74). Without wishing to sidestep into a more detailed discussion of human rights, the notion of a right not to be a perpetrator is severely problematized in Targets; the viewer, in the position of the panopticon’s guard, and in the geopolitical position also of the US, is able to experience at the level of the individual the equally abstract reality of state power as manifested in aerial violence.

In this context, a right, anchored in the concept of the individual political subject, is effectively no match for the coercive capacities the state is able to deploy against that individual subject. Those viewers of Targets who ‘recoil’ and feel ‘devastated’ in a sense posit a right not to be a perpetrator, in their feeling that being led to feel this way is a violation. Wood explicitly takes a further interpretive step, in identifying his own material role in the relations of violence that he feels abhorrence towards. It is in the possibility of this insight that I locate Targets’ visual efficacy; the guard comes to recognize her own complicity in the relation of violence in which she is embedded and in whose reproduction she is thoroughly implicated.
As against the remoteness and distancing that are associated with the Apollonian perspective, the panoptic here reconfigures a god-like view of power and domination into one of complicity and embeddedness.

**Targets and the Apollonian view**

In *Close Up at a Distance*, Laura Kurgan asserts that maps “have become infrastructures and systems, and we are located, however insecurely, within them. […] We do not stand at a distance from these technologies, but are addressed by and embedded within them” (2013, p.14). I see this embeddedness, our locatedness within and among larger systems and discursive structures, as an important aspect of what is dramatized in *Targets* and the viewing position it constructs for the viewer to inhabit. Having considered some of the ways in which *Targets* both performs and scrutinizes the cartographic panoptic view, I want to keep in mind its embedded, complicit perspective in turning to consider the Apollonian view in relation to this artwork.

We saw earlier in the chapter Cosgrove’s use of Plato to draw out something of the abstracting force that seeing the earth from a distance may possess: ‘the true earth, if one views it from above, it is said to look like those twelve piece leather balls, variegated, a patchwork of colours’. *Targets* itself shows twelve vertical divisions, which are subdivided horizontally into twenty-four map segments. Its form and its variety of colours at once link it with the conventional globe form, but it cannot be successfully read and interpreted unless viewed from the inside. I have proposed the Apollonian perspective as having relevance to my interpretation of *Targets* due to its capacity to envision the globe as a whole. In *Targets*, however, this distance is turned inward, so that the depicted places are viewed from above, as well as from within, the globe form.
I discuss below some of the implications of the compiled, multiple synoptic viewing that Targets stages. In the context of Apollonian viewing, Targets offers a reversal of the distancing implicit in this view, while continuing to stage its synthesising, unifying capacity. A unified world of targets of aerial violence here supplants the harmonious vision of the world figured by Apollonian viewing. I suggest that this reversal positions the viewer of Targets in a viewing position that is assigned to the figure of a god in the Apollonian perspective.

Both the panoptic and the Apollonian depend on the notion of remoteness. The control exerted through panoptic visuality is realized by means of a scopic regime, its power relying on visual, though not necessarily physical, remoteness for its social efficacy, and the Apollonian requires its distanced viewpoint to present a conceptually remote view to a physically grounded viewer.

**Nuancing and reconfiguring the synoptic view**

In chapter two we saw the cartographic synoptic view, which simulates a viewing position directly above all points of the depicted place at once, reconfigured and disrupted through artistic methods of collage, distortion and repetition. In this chapter, I have traced a development and further abstraction of cartographic synoptic viewing, in Targets’ staging of aspects of both panoptic and Apollonian viewing.

In the complex interconnection of modes of viewing that I have argued are staged in this artwork, what emerges is a viewing situation that synthesizes multiple synoptic viewpoints in a newly Apollonian and panoptic form. Each mapped place is rendered in the synoptic view, and in this sense Targets’ composition could be understood as simply offering another, if more selective, synopsized view of the mapped area. The maps that form the source images for Targets’ painted panels have themselves been re-rendered from the flat, two-dimensional form of map sheets into the curved, three-dimensional walls of the enclosed cartographic structure.
Rather than ‘simply’ a different approach to selecting the area to be viewed, as I have argued, both the form and the content of the map paintings introduce the question of aerial violence – through their very selection, and the corresponding non-selection of places that have not been targeted for aerial bombardment by the US during the selected timeframe – and the question of power relations. The relation of military domination connects the unseen, yet central, US to each of the mapped places.

An element that is not depicted in this map is the complex political and geographical relations that exist, or have existed in different periods, between the mapped places themselves. This absence compounds the sense of domination in the viewing relations; depicted places appear only in their relation to their shared aggressor. In this move, all other relations, histories and understandings are left aside in favour of emphasis on the geography of military aggression. As we have seen in chapter one, this degree of selectivity is a necessary feature of cartographic depiction in any context. It is how the selections fall, and what effect they have, that we must ask about.

I have suggested that Targets is able to scrutinize some of the ways in which cartography establishes abstract viewing positions, which are then taken up, or inhabited, or occupied, by map viewers. Drawing on the panopticon and panoptic viewing in this context, as an almost mythical trope of social control and discipline, allows for the viewing position to be analysed as an apparatus that operates on the inhabiting viewer as much as those in the position of the viewed. As I have argued, this attention on the potential effect that viewing in this relation may have on the viewer does not come from the concept of the panopticon itself; this comes, rather, directly from the artwork.

I want to try to clarify the distinctive conceptual elements in play in this complex visual situation, by turning to the idea of embodiment. In the panoptic in its traditional sense, embodiment, or embodied presence, is
required on the part of the prisoner, while the possibility of presence is required on the part of the guard. There is a flickering play between presence and absence in the surveillant position (Lyon, 2006, p.44), such that the disciplinary effect of the panoptic form comes about through the possibility of the presence of this central entity, the guard/viewer, whose presence is implied but never confirmed to those who understand themselves to be the viewed. The panoptic viewing position turns on ambiguity; the panopticon provides a viewing position that always-already implies the potential absence of the embodied viewer, relying on undecidability to realize its disciplinary effect. The mode of social efficacy depicted in Targets is not quite the internalized disciplinary effect of the panopticon, but rather a direct relation of force, in the form of bombing. However, it is not in this aspect that I draw the connection between the artwork and the panopticon. I suggest that Targets stages the controlling, coercive capacity of the panopticon rather through its multiplication of the cartographic synoptic view. The mapped places are rendered uniformly in this abstract mode, each map painting indirectly referring to the violence, pain and terror that has been inflicted at each location, by the same central, dominant entity.

Both the panoptic and the Apollonian modes turn on this play of presence and absence, the presence and the absence of a body, a viewer, to inhabit the position. In the Apollonian view, the positing of the figural position has led to the technological ‘achievement’ of that figural position, through both satellite photography and photography by humans in space (Adey et al, 2013, p.15). The viewpoint is initially theoretical and imaginative, and is historically ‘acted into’ or inhabited by techno-physical subjects. The main scope of this development has been provided by satellite photography, but human-made photographs taken from space have had a very significant cultural impact\(^\text{36}\). The body has gradually become elevated into space, so

\(^{36}\) On the significance of the Apollo photographs of the earth from space, *Earthrise* and *The Blue Marble*, see Cosgrove (2001) and Kurgan (2013), and my discussion of Kurgan in chapter two.
‘realizing’ the Apollonian position. In this position, then, we see a passage from absence to presence, technologically mediated, a trajectory.

*Targets* significantly combines the militarized view from above, discussed in more depth in chapter five, with the spatialized, institutional form of panoptic viewing. Discussing the aerial view more broadly, and in a remark that could also be applied to *Targets*, Adey et al argue that “the aerial view performs a deep and penetrating look. And more often than not, it is not only through sight that it sees. Looking, or targeting or tracking, it is more than a visual register or the perpendicular lines of the cross-hair, but a manner of sensing visibilities and invisibilities” (2013, p.16). Such a ‘manner of sensing visibilities and invisibilities’ is activated, or facilitated, in the cartographic panoptic view that is performed, interrogated, and reconfigured by *Targets*, as some aspects of the – usually invisible – power relations that organize this cartographic viewing are rendered visible.

Möller has stated the political case for attending closely to the power relations involved in viewing, or inhabiting the role of the spectator:

seeing – being a spectator – is also indispensable for those who want to challenge existing power relations. Emancipation [...] requires the development of new ways of seeing so as to be able to challenge established forms of visual socialization which are always connected with, reflect, and serve established forms of domination. New ways of seeing potentially open up ‘a new topography of the possible’ (2013, pp.48-49).

I draw on this assertion (although I do not frame this analysis in terms of emancipation) in its insistence on the possibility of challenging existing visually-driven social relations. My aim in scrutinising the modes of viewing in play in *Targets* is to show that synoptic viewing can be intervened in, such that it no longer produces relations of domination, but
now a relation in which the viewing position produces relations of engagement and complicity.

**Conclusion**

In concluding, then, I return to the premise that began this analysis of *Targets* as an artwork in which a complex play of viewing modes may be discerned; namely, that in this work, the cartographic synoptic view is both nuanced and extended through the staging of aspects of both panoptic and Apollonian viewing. To this end, I have positioned *Targets* in the context of Joyce Kozloff’s large cartographic oeuvre, and suggested that Kozloff’s work displays a broader concern with how knowledge may be understood to be ‘patterned’ or organized visually. I have also investigated the artwork in a range of exploratory modes of reading, opening up, in so doing, some of the possibilities for creative encounter with cartographic artworks suggested by Harriet Hawkins’ work on creative geographies. I have emphasized in this the necessarily partial and provisional character of interpretation, and differentiated my approach, as a ‘remote viewer’, from Hawkins’ emphasis on direct, embodied encounter. I have used these exploratory readings as a way of considering the newly juxtaposed geographies that arise through the positioning of the map paintings – as we saw, for example, Afghanistan and Cuba bordering one another, and Guantanamo Bay de-selected by the organizing rationale of the work, functioning as a form of cartographic silence.

Asking about what we may understand *Targets* to be a map of, I have argued that at one level it does form a newly totalizing image of US targetting and aerial aggression, while simultaneously citing specific historical moment and relationships that remain undepicted in the work. In this way, *Targets* proffers a cartographic view that is simultaneously particularising and totalizing.
Reading *Targets* through both the Apollonian and panoptic modes, I have argued for the usefulness of the panoptic in discerning *Targets*’ singular disruption to the Apollonian mode. Here I have posed the potential for discerning the role of a god figure in the panoptic, partly through the work’s inspiration in two works of religious architecture. This approach enabled me to argue that *Targets* functions panoptically in terms of its address to the viewer, rather than the viewed, and that it returns the coercion of the panoptic back on to the viewer. The panoptic emerged as itself reconfiguring, in the artwork, a god-like view of power and domination into one of engaged, complicit, and embedded viewing. Where the Apollonian perspective provides a figure for a distanced viewing of the earth as a whole, in the artwork this distanced view is turned inwards, so that the view is simultaneously from above and from within. The unifying capacity of the Apollonian perspective was also shown to be present in *Targets*, though productive of an image of violence rather than of distanced harmony.

Pursuing the question of how the cartographic synoptic view may be understood to be reconfigured in *Targets*, as a further abstraction, I showed that a multiplied synoptic view is staged in the artwork. The form of this view, as itself a mode of cartographic selection, was shown to have an active role in determining the panoptic character of *Targets*. I showed the importance of embodiment as an organizing concept for both Apollonian and panoptic viewing, in the play of absence and presence in the latter, and the technological embodiment seen in the development of the former. Finally, *Targets* emerges as the site of a potentially transformative critical reading, suggesting that synoptic viewing can be creatively disrupted and reconfigured, to produce a viewing position, as a cartographic abstraction, that itself produces new viewing relations of engagement and complicity.

*Targets* operates at a level of abstraction one remove from that of cartography itself; its subject, I argue, is cartographic viewing, as against cartography’s subject, the ‘world’. *Targets* operates on cartographic
viewing. By facilitating the experience of cartographic panoptic viewing in the artwork, Targets stages an abstract, yet embodied, cartographic viewing position.

In this analysis, cartographic synoptic viewing is brought together with panoptic viewing, and Apollonian viewing, to show that Targets offers and performs a position from which it is possible to consider the panoptic character of all cartographic viewing, and the affinities between social understandings of the panopticon and the social role of cartographic abstraction.
Chapter Three

Re-visualizing the drone’s eye view: networked vision and visibility in works by James Bridle and Trevor Paglen

In this chapter I articulate my conception of the ‘drone’s eye view’ as a cartographic abstraction, in particular relationship to the ‘god’s eye view’. I draw relationships between these two modalities of cartographic abstraction, specifying and delineating both in terms of their relationships to cartography more broadly, and cartographic modes of abstraction in particular. This theoretical formulation arises from my close reading of two artworks, by James Bridle and Trevor Paglen, that engage in different ways with questions about the forms of viewing that militarized drones may be understood to enact. I attend first to a subjective and self-reflexive interpretation of these artworks, and then move to consider the questions that these works raise in terms of the ways in which they perform a critical response to what I am terming the ‘drone’s eye view’. As this theoretical formulation has arisen through my engagement with artworks concerned with the ways in which militarized drones visualize their objects, I here offer my readings of these works before articulating the theoretical insights I build from them.

James Bridle – Under the Shadow of the Drone

The green outline of an aircraft appears, painted across the pavement and road surface beside a shingle beach, not far from a calm blue sea, on a sunny day. No people or cars obscure the green shape, which I recognize with the generic tag ‘drone’. This image is one of many photographs that document Drone Shadow 003 (2011), a ‘drawing’ by British artist James Bridle, forming a series titled Under the Shadow of the Drone. The project as a whole places ‘drone shadows’ in a range of locations, including Brighton, UK (Drone Shadow 003), Washington DC, US (Drone Shadow 004), Istanbul, Turkey (Drone Shadow 001) and London, UK (Drone...
Shadows 006 and 007). The models of the depicted drones vary, and usually appear in white. Photographs documenting the work are taken from elevated positions affording a view from above the ground-level drawing. The scale of each drawing is one-to-one. To date Bridle has named seven ‘Drone Shadows’ as part of this artistic series; here I consider in particular two of the works, Drone Shadow 003 (2011) and Drone Shadow 005 (2013). The project, or format, is open-ended, and also includes a handbook for drawing drone shadows.

Fig. 11. Installation view of Drone Shadow 003 (2011)

The green outline of Drone Shadow 003 (2011) shows in actual size, a one to one scale depiction, something that does not appear here, in Brighton, the iconic British seaside, on the promenade. This is a commissioned piece, so the practical reason the work was made in Brighton is that one;

but for me as a viewer it does seem a very particular move, to position this ‘drone’, its would-be trace, on the seafront like this, where ‘we’ go to relax and enjoy ourselves, get some air when attending conferences, knowing that we needn’t scan the horizon for familiar winged outlines, needn’t keep an ear cocked for the insistent buzz. The shape intrudes into a place that I most strongly associate with the idea of ‘leisure’.

Invisibility and secrecy figure strongly in critical accounts of drones, and this is a double question. They are largely, effectively, invisible to ordinary people living in Britain, but not to those they fly over on a daily basis, and some make a very distinctive buzzing noise, which becomes horribly familiar to people living under the drones for real. Here, in Brighton, edging over the pavement, and the cycle path, onto the road, this shape doesn’t belong.

Bridle says, “We all live under the shadow of the drone”\(^{40}\), which suggests complicity to me, hyperbole, poetic justice, an idea of the population of the ‘aggressor’ state also being dominated and diminished by that which we are under, yet all the while we fund these means of domination.

The outline form of the drawing is immediately striking, recalling a hackneyed outline placed around a dead body on the street, which forms a residual crime scene after the victim has been taken away. The viewer of the artwork then comes upon the crime scene, all that’s left of an implied prior event. But my sense is less of a fiction that a drone was killed on this spot, or that one crashed here, but that one somehow was here, flying, being. It has come and gone covertly and left only this trace of its presence, an outline that distances this moment of visibility from a real event – a drone’s flying overhead would not, of course, result in this inscription appearing on the ground beneath.

There’s also a question about the depiction of time in this work, and how one moment is selected for depiction, one instant at which we can imagine the drone caused this particular outline in this particular position; not a line, say, depicting the aircraft’s route over the sea, judging from the direction it faces – onshore – which would imply a starting point in this flight, and a projected landing point or end of the route. The route has potentially already ended, or the route never existed, due to the ambiguity of the outline, the possible reading of it as denoting a crash site as well as the possibility of its recording a moment in a flight. I think of the shark that needs must continually swim; and this particular kind of drone is called ‘Reaper’, ‘a person who reaps’, ‘death personified’, but also ‘a mechanical device for cutting grain’\(^{41}\). A term, then, that combines the idea of a device that enhances or perhaps supplants the manual, human work of agricultural reaping, and the personification of death.

As a viewer, I struggle with the idea that this is a ‘campaigning’ sort of work whose purpose is to ‘raise awareness’, to produce particular sorts of reactions in its viewers. Dismay, perhaps; compassion? Complicity? Part of my experience of viewing this documentation of the artwork is a strong sense that I am being asked to take an actively disapproving and critical view of militarized drones, and then a further sense of frustration that as I already do take such a view, I am unsure how to ‘sit with’ or to direct this reaction. As I look, my thoughts turn away from this frustration toward ideas of the geographical place of the work.

The green outline attends the ‘British Seafront’; I bring up associations with ‘Britishness’ and nostalgia, about the Blitz in particular, wonder that perhaps there is a particular horror, in the British ‘experience’, so to speak, of aerial bombardment. It’s less horror than pride, though; in the British imagination, if such an unproven thing can be invoked, having suffered the Blitz and yet won the War is a great mark of character and endurance,

particularly resurgent with the onslaught of centenary-fever for the First World War and the ‘keep calm and carry on’ or modified, ‘keep calm and [insert altered phrase here]’ commodity phenomenon. That the centenary relates to the First War and the Blitz to the Second doesn’t seem to hinder the popularity of the ‘Keep Calm’ slogan for the public. We are re-told stories of our national character, of stoic endurance and modesty, humility, hard work. Victorious, beleaguered, honourable. In this photograph an image of a drone flies, not just anywhere, but onshore. It suggests a course over the sea from the south, from Europe – where the Luftwaffe came from.

The drone, then, has come to threaten us – the British – as an aerial aggressor. Why is it here? Why would it appear somewhere so British and so safe, so distant, somewhere that is not a target for drone strikes or aerial bombardment in any form?

British place-names are never, for me, those associated with bombing campaigns, aerial violence, military manoeuvring, ‘annexation’; this is the violation, then, that this shape should appear in this place. The work places the drone among ‘us’, in ‘our’ midst. One has been here. Could this suggest a rogue drone, whose operators have lost control, and suddenly it has turned on its ‘own’ country and sets a course over Sussex to – where? The outline seems to have the aircraft heading roughly north-north-west, maybe heading to London, or over to the west, towards GCHQ? Whose side is this drone on? To whom is it loyal? If the drone is a true ‘drone’ then it can do nothing spontaneously or autonomously, it is a function of other actions and decisions. Who, then, has operated it to appear here?

This consumer phenomenon is reflected and furthered in websites such as www.keepcalmandcarryon.com and www.keepcalm-o-matic.co.uk, a retail site and meme- and poster-generator respectively, accessed 4 April 2015. Keepcalm-o-matic claims to have generated over 11 million parodies of the ‘Keep Calm’ slogan, and the UK Government has characterized it as “one of the most recognisable slogans in British history” (available at https://history.blog.gov.uk/2014/06/27/keep-calm-and-carry-on-the-compromise-behind-the-slogan/, accessed 4 April 2015).
This outline works with and against the unassailable feeling of the drone aesthetic. The imagery widely available online is predominantly of militarized drones in flight, usually without a person or other object near them, such that their scale is difficult to gauge. In these images, the drones fly often at sunset and in clear skies, they are not dusty or caught at ungainly angles, and the iconic form has become the Reaper with its bulbous head full of cameras. Is the outline a threat that these technologies ‘we’ produce are not only capable of being directed at ‘the other’?

This ‘drawing’ is not primarily to be encountered from above, although this is where it is photographed from, like other drone shadows in the series. I wonder that the viewing position of the walker on the promenade may serve to emphasize the wide wing-span of the drone, like a glider – with what implication? Advanced leisure pursuits? Legibility is favoured less than scale, though a one-to-one map isn’t much practical use. Why then a one-to-one scale image of the drone? The viewpoint seems to become very complex now. The title of the series invokes the ‘shadow’ that we are under, yet this image isn’t like a shadow, it’s like an outline. The ‘shadow’ idea positions us, standing on the ground, as beneath something airborne, yet that this is a drawing rather insistently contradicts the ‘shadow’ claim. We view a drawing that invokes a plan view or/and a view from below. We are above while simultaneously standing on the ground. Perhaps simultaneously positioned in the god’s eye view, while physically grounded in the human’s eye view, with our fleshy vision.
Drone Shadow 005 (2013)

The fifth Drone Shadow was commissioned by the Brisbane Writers Festival for Brisbane, Australia. It was prevented from being installed by Arts Queensland, the arts board of the State Government. Read more:

Australia: Drone Shadows, Diagrams, and Political Systems at Booktwo.org
Statement on the Brisbane Drone Shadow at Booktwo.org

Fig. 12. Website view of Drone Shadow 005 (2013)⁴³

My ‘fleshy vision’⁴⁴ fails to reveal Drone Shadow 005 (2013) to me. It is a non-artwork, a project for another drone shadow in the series to be made in Brisbane, Australia, for which permission was withdrawn, for, in Bridle’s view, reasons of political suppression. Bridle discusses the process of the work’s non-realisation in two pieces of writing. But I want to encounter the artwork, even if it is ‘non’ – not writing about the artwork. I take my cues from the list of projects forming the menu of Bridle’s website, and take it that a hyperlinked title ‘Drone Shadow 005’ means, ‘this is an artistic project’. It is perhaps an unconventional choice, or a leap, on my part to


⁴⁴ Or, in Trevor Paglen’s phrase, “meat-eyes” (Paglen, 2014). Paglen uses this term in his discussion of Harun Farocki’s artistic work on ‘operational images’ – images produced by and for machines, that increasingly come to be unseen and unseeable by humans – to dramatize the limits of human biological vision in ‘accessing’ the scopic regime of contemporary operational images. Both of these phrases recall Denis Cosgrove’s remark that “the eye is always embedded in a fleshy body” (2008, p.5).
present a screengrab as an image of Drone Shadow 005; however, I want to take seriously the artist's own presentation of the work, which is an online presentation, small text on a white ground, available globally in ways that an in-person encounter with the installed drawing would not have been.

If a shadow is an index, in one sense, do I then ask myself whether this webpage may legitimately be considered an index of the non-work? The drone shadows are not shadows after all, but they purport to be, through their naming by the artist. They threaten the presence of a threatening shape; could I read the non-appearance of the Brisbane shape as in fact the most successful of Bridle's drone shadows? The webpage stands in place of the artwork, a placeholder for a non-appearance. In reacting to this non-work I attempt to self-reflexively hold together my responses that are more in the mode of 'researcher' with those that are more in the mode of 'viewer', and an online viewer more particularly, who may click on and off a particular image, return to it, glance very quickly and find myself uninterested, performing in different ways some of the same viewing choices that I make in a gallery setting. As viewer and researcher I react immediately; I am wary of artistic self-aggrandizement in the presentation of a politically thwarted artwork, while immediately according the project more significance for its apparent capacity to cause controversy. The potential drawing of the shape of a drone has had some political purchase in Brisbane, Australia, a place that is not a target of drone strikes. I carry away from my encounter with the non-artwork questions as to whether the 'making visible' that the drone shadows apparently perform is indeed offering any provocation to thought or criticism in the contexts in which they have been made.
Trevor Paglen – Untitled (Drones)

Trevor Paglen’s photographic series *Untitled (Drones)* (2010) is presented as an elegant grid of square thumbnail images on his website\(^{45}\). The images are un-numbered and ‘untitled’, although after each labelling of ‘untitled’ there follows the generic name of a model of UAV – ‘(Reaper Drone)’, ‘(Predator Drones)’. Each image is also labelled with the information ‘C-Print, 48 x 60 inches, 2010’. These online images, then, are in some ways secondary, referring back to physical prints. As with Bridle’s work, I want to attend to the ways in which I encounter these artworks, on-screen and online.

The first image in the series is called *Untitled (Reaper Drone)* (fig. 19) and shows a brilliant red, purple and blue sky, painterly, with the red concentrated at its most vivid toward the bottom edge of the image, and a swathe of purple and blue across the top. At the right hand side, almost at the very edge of the image, I see a black dot. No other physical elements appear in the image, whether persons, landforms, objects. As I look I feel fairly confident that I am viewing this picture ‘the right way up’, that is, that it has not been rotated by the artist, but I am simultaneously aware that I cannot pinpoint what it is in the image that I use to build this confidence.

The second image (fig. 20) is called *Untitled (Reaper Drone)* and shows a pale yellow and blue sky, the yellow in the lower third of the image deepening toward the lower right hand edge, the blue rising clear to the top of the image, and some pinkish grey clouds sweep from the right. Higher-level clouds, white, form two curving lines across the blue section, and recede into the yellow distance. Below them, in the yellow part of the sky, I see a black dot; again I see no other contextualising elements.

Fig. 13. Untitled (Reaper Drone) (2010)\textsuperscript{46}

\textsuperscript{46} Available at http://www.paglen.com/?i=work&s=drones&i=1, accessed 14 October 2014.
The third image is called *Untitled (Predator Drones)* and shows a very pale blue sky, becoming white toward the lower right hand corner, with some indistinct shapes of cloud wisps. The clouds are too indistinct to give any sense of scale or distance, and again no trace of land enters in. In the lower, white part of the image, I do not see a black dot; in the upper, bluer part of the image, I look but do not see a black dot. The fourth image is called *Untitled (Reaper Drone)* and shows a large central cloud form, occupying the central area of the composition, with a feathered edge to the left, giving way to blue sky. The cloud darkens to a mauvish grey at the

---

47 Available at http://www.paglen.com/?i=work&s=drones&i=2, accessed 14 October 2014
upper left corner, and a particularly vivid, almost turquoise area of sky appears below this, a small reach of dark grey cloud below again. At the lower left corner on a ground of slightly dappled pale grey cloud, I see a black dot.

The images are un-numbered and I cannot detect the black dot in all of them, the dot that I am taking to be the entity named by the words Predator Drone, Reaper Drone. The fifth image shows a vivid sunset with dark clouds, the sixth a delicate mix of blue with pink clouds, the seventh a bright warm yellow petering into mainly off-white. The eighth (fig. 21) feels different, catching me for a moment with the thought that I might be looking at a seascape. I pause to resolve the composition out of being a wave washing over sand; an area of ochre and dappled white in the lower left corner forms a diagonal boundary with a central section of blue showing through tightly rippled white cloud, and another diagonal boundary, less definite, with a strong band of grey. The tight ripples are the only element that gives me a sense of uprightness, being the right way up, vertical. To the right hand side of the central diagonal section, on a ground of tightly creased white cloud, I see a grey dot.
What do I see when I look at these pictures? I have trouble seeing past the immediate move of presenting a series of pictures that are about something unseen, unseeable in the given circumstances, unseeable from standing on the ground. From such a position, were I there in person, I would be able to see the sky and all the rest of the visible world that Paglen has de-selected for appearance in this work. The choice to view only the sky feels very important, as does the painterly feeling of

---

the skies that have been chosen. The generic sense of ‘sky’ is at once familiar and less familiar, attractive and at once made unsettling through appearing in a photographic series that designates these skies as places for drones. I wonder what else might or might not be in that sky, if I think of it as a volume, it might contain more than the advertised drone-planes, like other mechanical bodies, like moisture, which indeed we can see, or detainees, in planes, or there might be a balloon, or birds, perhaps of more than one kind, or what unseen other things?

The premise of the work is the photographing of drone-planes, so the choice as to when to photograph is delimited by when the drones fly. None of the images shows a clear blue sky. They all show variety and interest, shape, at least two prominent colours around which the lesser colours range. As a viewer I am aware of being ‘asked’ to take it on trust that these images ‘contain’ one or more drones; in some the black or grey dot gives me something to anchor this idea, while in others not even this minimal visual element is offered.

In looking at these images I know immediately that I am looking for something that is not the picture; the picture at once stands for something else, it immediately has no title and a title, and I understand that I am meant to look all the way through and past the picture to the idea of what doesn’t quite appear in it. This not-quite-appearing, or disavowal of appearance, is performed in the images and in their titles - the claim of being untitled while being quite specifically titled, though with labels no more specific than the name of a type of drone, no date more particular than the year, no geographical information about where the photograph has been made (from), direction of view, time of day, beyond what we can gather from sunset, not-sunset, whatever sense of time we might gain from the light. The sky-scapes are abstracted from their particular places, their particular geography, into just skies as a category and a place-in-general for drones to go about their ambiguous non-appearing.
Turning to a consideration of Bridle and Paglen’s series’ together, I want to consider what may be drawn out of these experimental, impressionistic and necessarily subjective interpretations of artworks that do not, perhaps paradoxically, perform what we might think of as ‘literally’ a drone’s eye view – video footage or stills derived from a drone’s video cameras (whether simulated or ‘found’). I am aiming to think the drone’s eye view beyond the established parameters and touchstones of existing debates. Secrecy and invisibility are key themes, joined with representational strategies seeking to counter the militarized production of invisibility through proactively making-visible. A problematic technofetishism, in close conjunction with a drone sublime, marks both drone practice and critical and creative responses.

I want to ask slightly different critical questions to offer ways to move beyond the discourse of (in)visibility; working with the idea that a ‘higher order’ structure of abstraction organizes the production of a variety of contemporary manifestations of cartographic abstraction, I explore, here, how this theoretical approach may enable critical progress beyond the paradigm of visibility. For this reason, I explore an approach to developing an avowedly subjective interpretation of two critical artistic projects that engage with the drone’s eye view in ways that do not immediately evoke

---

49 On secrecy particularly in relation to the production of abstract space, see Trevor Paglen, Invisible: Covert Operations and Classified Landscapes, as well as ‘Six Landscapes’ available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j56s46e97Lo, accessed 14 October 2014.

50 See for example James Bridle, ‘Dronestagram’ available at http://dronestagram.tumblr.com/ accessed 14 October 2014. The strapline of the project is “the drone’s eye view”, and it seeks to make visible the locations of reported drone strikes through the use of Google Maps satellite imagery. The artist’s description and rationale for the work are published at http://booktwo.org/notebook/dronestagram-drones-eye-view/, accessed 11 April 2015. Bridle’s use of the phrase ‘the drone’s eye view’ in connection with Dronestagram is an important factor in my decision to take up the phrase. Bridle and Paglen are not quite working with the same idea of the drone’s eye view that I put forward here; they are more concerned with the paradigm of visibilisation as such, which I am attempting to push beyond.
the point of view of the drone as an aircraft. This approach, as seen for example in Bridle’s ongoing critical artistic project, *Dronestagram*, reproduces the limited legibility of the photographic aerial view. As Lisa Parks has argued in relation to the orbital satellite view, this positions the viewer in such a way that while we may see, we are not furnished with the training and experience necessary to generate a meaningful interpretation of what we see. It is with this problematic in mind that I reconsider *Under the Shadow of the Drone* and *Untitled (Drones)*. Both works engage with the ambiguity of the question of making the drone aircraft visible, *Untitled* in its appearance in the skyscape, and *Under the Shadow* in a fictional and immaterial presence at ground level. A human-photographic viewpoint is performed in *Untitled*, with photographic vision yielding images in which the visual is intentionally unable to be productive of knowledge of the drone, as it appears as a dot or speck without the capacity to produce the signification ‘drone’ outside its relationship with the information provided by the artist alongside the image. The drone appears as a distant and unknowable form, moving between appearance and non-appearance, visibility and non-visibility.

An element of the drone sublime may be seen to be in play in particular in the two *Untitled* images depicting the sky at sunset – a classic pictorial device of promotional military photography. A critically somewhat unfashionable beauty is also an important element of Paglen’s *Untitled* images. The skyscape appears as a site of scaleless space, unrelated to the scales of the human, the urban or the landscape. Within this pictorial space, the drone is rendered as the human target frequently encounters it; a remote and inscrutable threat, moving between visibility and non-visibility. A question of the production of the drone’s eye view is at stake here, in the form of viewing at a distance that is performed at the ground level of the (non-aerial) human.

---

51 “[T]he citizen-viewer is invited to temporarily occupy the orbital gaze but lacks the intelligence necessary to decode and interpret the view” (Parks, 2005, p.96).
*Under the Shadow of the Drone* produces a more complex viewing position. The in-person viewer encounters the drawing from their own height, and it appears at ground level, while the viewer of documentary photographs views from the elevated position of the photographer, looking down on the drawing from a more-than-human height. Both views perform a simultaneous viewing from above and below the drone aircraft that is posited by the ‘shadow’, as the drone outline reads as the same shape viewed imaginatively from both directly above and directly below.

These approaches to visibilising the drone continue to focus on the physical form of the drone aircraft, and where I read *Under the Shadow* as more complexly engaging the production of an abstracting viewpoint in relation to the drone, its reproduction of the physical drone as an iconic visual form remains a critically limiting factor in terms of engaging with the ongoing reproduction of the abstraction of the drone’s eye view. I return, below, to the importance of enabling an approach to the networked character of drone vision that emphasizes the interdependence of elements beyond the register of the technological. Similarly I argue that a recognition of productive interdependence must also enable critique to move beyond engaging only with the register of the visual. Critical creative emphasis on the drone-as-aircraft, then, risks de-enabling wider recognition of the non-visible elements structuring the production and reproduction of the drone’s eye view, and through it the use of the drone as a form of aerial domination.

In the rest of this chapter, I turn to a consideration of the more theoretical concerns arising from my engagement with Bridle and Paglen’s artworks. I take a self-reflexive approach to theorizing my own critical position in the context of the drone’s eye view, as a viewer who is ‘grounded’, viewing at ground level, while also inhabiting and performing a networked mode of vision. I argue for the need to work productively with the positionality of the
'critic of violence' (Chamayou, 2015, p.199) who is also a 'subject of a drone-state' (ibid, p.18).

**Self-reflexivity and viewing from ‘somewhere’**

I attempt to articulate a resistant critical position that moves beyond the exclusionary and binarized formulation of ‘aggressor’ and victim’ to work towards a much broader formulation of being critically resistant to practices of militarized domination – specifically in the register of drone practices – through drawing on notions of complicity, locatedness within the ‘heartlands of capitalism’\(^{52}\) and self-reflexivity. I propose these concerns as informing the conception of the drone’s eye view that I am putting forward; where it is beyond the scope of this study to elaborate on all of these points I still think it worthwhile to indicate what I see as the political possibilities that both inform and flow from an elaboration of cartographic abstraction and its lived effects at the level of real persons.

Trevor Paglen and Gregoire Chamayou offer useful points contributing to a recognition of the necessary ‘return’\(^{53}\) to these ‘heartlands’ of techniques, technologies and modes of visualization that have developed with an orientation toward domination and visualizing of the other – and ‘return’ here does not necessarily indicate a time lag. Paglen has noted such a return of material and social effects of technologies of bombardment into the originating landscape. That is, unintended consequences of developing increasingly advanced destructive capacities, in the form of the material degradation of the land, water, air, and subject-bodies of the heartland. In his discussion of the US development of ‘stealth’ flight in the

---

\(^{52}\) I borrow this phrase from Alberto Toscano and Jeff Kinkle (2015, p.17).

\(^{53}\) Medea Benjamin also makes this argument in terms of ‘a horrific blowback’, quoting Ralph Nader’s 2011 turn of phrase (Benjamin, 2013, p.81). She outlines growing concerns in the US context over state, military and private surveillance carried out with commercially available drones, and the increased potential for domestic terrorism.
1980s, whose negative effects began to be publicly noted in the 1990s and 2000s, Paglen remarks that,

> The stealth program had taken an unforeseen turn […] the chemicals leaking through the ground and cracks in the walls of the Skunk Works factory and the thick smoke from burning pits at Groom Lake brought the reality of the stealth program to land and bodies. Stealth insinuated itself into the groundwater, the soil, and the flesh of communities in its vicinity […] even making its way into the bodies of the workers around it. (2010, p.765)

Chamayou considers the ‘essence of combatants’ particularly in terms of drawing a distinction between legitimate fighting and non-legitimate assassination. Chamayou draws on Kant’s argument that it must be regarded as illegitimate for a state to turn its subjects into assassins because of the degradation this would entail for the idea of the citizen:

> “The theoretical principle Kant formulates here concerns what a state may not make its citizens do […] The underlying idea is that what a state can make its subjects do is limited by what that would make them become” (2015, p.196, emphasis in original). Chamayou considers the limits of applying ethical conceptions at the level of the individual soldier, and attends to the broader question of posing a resistance to the moral degradation of the soldier by asserting the shared interest of a ‘common humanity’ in what soldiers may become. This form of resistance was posed particularly by the American anti-war movement in response to the American war in Vietnam.

For my purposes, it is worth pausing over Chamayou’s discussion here for its relevance to the critical position I take up in relation to Bridle and Paglen’s artworks. I want to draw from Paglen and Chamayou a broader

---

54 Paglen’s emphasis on the physical, embodied nature of workers and persons as parts of communities reinforces the claim for the deeply interconnected and networked character of the drone’s eye view.
possibility to pose, and problematize, an idea of a ‘return’ or a rebounding of negative consequences to the ‘heartlands of capitalism’. I locate and enact this critical insight in the position of the (self-reflexive) viewer of these particular artworks that address ‘grounded’ viewing, because this offers the possibility to expand the overly narrow terms through which drone discourse is limited. In this way, the twin focus on drone operator and drone victim can be extended to engage the position of the subject who views, or fails to view, drone-bodies; who contributes to the ongoing reproduction of the drone through the social relation of money; who makes artworks and critical writings, and whose networked form of viewing is manifested online; who awaits an already-present “future of video surveillance with armed drones […] if we don’t prevent it” (Chamayou, p.204).

In seeking to move beyond the established terms of ethics and rights, Chamayou states the problem as a question of ‘becoming’. For the soldier who would not become an assassin, “[t]he crucial, decisive question is not ‘What should I do?’ but ‘What will I become?’ I believe that within this question of what agents of armed violence become there lies a very important point: what is the subjective position that a critic of violence can adopt?” (Chamayou, 2015, p.199). Where anti-war movements have sought to pose resistance to militarized violence, Chamayou notes the need to “move on from a personal refusal to a general refusal, in other words a political one” (ibid, pp.199-200), and a broadened, generalized position of refusal is, for Chamayou, one that “contests the violence of the state on the basis of the essence of its constituent subject” (ibid, p.201). It is this concern with broadening the scope of the critical debate that I want to draw from this particular area of Chamayou’s argument, rather than solely his emphasis on the ethical implications for the subject. As Chamayou rhetorically poses this problem:

The generalization of such a weapon implies a change in the conditions that apply in the exercise of the power of
war, this time in the context of the relations between the state and its own subjects. It would be mistaken to limit the question of weaponry solely to the sphere of external violence. What would the consequences of becoming the subjects of a drone-state be for that state’s own population? (ibid, p.18)

The scopic regime of the drone’s eye view: persistence, totalization and targetting

I now turn to a brief account of the technologies of visualization that constitute the “scopic regime’ through which drone operations take place”55, to which Derek Gregory and Chamayou have given the most

55 Gregory, ‘From a View to a Kill’, 2011, p.190. I follow Gregory’s interpretation of ‘scopic regime’ as having been “uncoupled from any specific forms, displays and technologies to denote a mode of visual apprehension that is culturally constructed and prescriptive, socially structured and shared” (ibid). In the same influential article, he also identifies ‘visuality’ as a closely connected term, “meaning culturally or techno-culturally mediated ways of seeing […] intended as a critical supplement to the idea of vision as a purely biological capacity (I say ‘supplement’ because the embodiment of vision remains of more than incidental importance)” (ibid). I have hinted at the tension, suggested here in Gregory’s account, in the idea of ‘fleshy vision’ as to how - as viewers - we interpret our own experience of viewing. As Gregory usefully asserts here, the question of embodiment does not recede in the face of using ‘scopic regimes’ as a way of analysing vision and its modes of construction.

As an aside on Gregory’s extremely influential article, it is worth noting that the title’s referencing of the famous 1985 James Bond film and Duran Duran song ‘A View to a Kill’ appears to be unacknowledged as yet in the literature. This is of interest because in googling the article ‘From a View to a Kill’ online, the whole first page of results at the time of googling, April 2015, concern the film and the track rather than Gregory’s article; and even more pertinently because the promotional music video accompanying the film’s release in 1985 features band members atop the Eiffel Tower in Paris, intercut with action scenes from the film itself, and depicting a band member using his Walkman as a remote control device for a helicopter which (he) then crashes. As well as an early film depiction of militarized drone use, the film would reward further analysis in terms of
concerted scholarly attention. ‘Drone’ is the colloquial term in use to designate mainly aircraft, and particularly those that have been weaponized and are used in military contexts, most particularly in the ‘War on Terror’ and predominantly by the US. As Chamayou notes, “In the official vocabulary of the U.S Army, a drone is defined as ‘a land, sea, or air vehicle that is remotely or automatically controlled.’ The drone family is not composed solely of flying objects […] Provided there is no longer any human crew aboard, any kind of vehicle or piloted engine can be ‘dronized’” (2015, p.11). In the broadest sense, ‘dronization’ simply designates remote control. In attending to ‘the drone’ as a mode of visualization, it is clear that contemporary drone technology has indeed borne out Chamayou’s claim that military drones’ “history is that of an eye turned into a weapon” (ibid).

However, against his assertion that the “best definition of drones is probably the following: ‘flying, high-resolution video cameras armed with missiles’” (ibid, p.12), it is important to note that the latest models of military drones coming into widespread use are not directly weaponized. The largest drone aircraft as yet developed, the RQ-4 Global Hawk, does not itself carry ordnance. Although I argue for identifying the drone’s eye view as an abstraction, this claim must not rule out attention to the specific modes of visualization that are enacted by militarized drones.

The current horizon of drone visualisation capacities emphasizes ‘persistence’, that is the capacity to remain airborne for extended periods; ‘wide area’ surveillance capable of visualising an area the size of a city; fantasising aerial power for its positioning of a boardroom within an airship in flight above San Francisco (Silicon Valley), depicted as a position of direct and indirect lethal power; the music video also features early depictions of the seated environment of the drone operator. Available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JXjnWXUN1Mg&noredirect=1, accessed 8 April 2015.

and operator flexibility in terms of moving between different levels of detail seamlessly as required. ‘Gorgon Stare’ and ‘Argus’ designate the most up to date in militarized visualisation systems about which significant information is available in the public domain. They are two systems developed – and being continually refined – essentially simultaneously, the Gorgon Stare by the Sierra Nevada Corporation, and Argus by DARPA and BAE Systems\(^{57}\), with both systems in use with US Air Force (USAF) drones\(^{58}\). Argus is an acronym, standing for Autonomous Real-time Ground Ubiquitous Surveillance Imaging System, or ARGUS-IS. BAE Systems describes this system as “the next generation of wide area persistent surveillance” (2013, p.2), enabling “unprecedented capability to monitor events in real time, using a 1.8 gigapixel color [sic] camera, at resolutions supporting tracking of people and vehicles” (ibid). It is a system that has been developed to function distinctly from drone technology per se, and is ‘mounted’ onto a range of types of aircraft, including the A-160 Hummingbird, which is a drone, or ‘unmanned’, helicopter\(^{59}\). Similarly, the Gorgon Stare system is

\[\text{a spherical array of nine cameras installed onboard} \]
\[\text{General Atomics MQ-9 Reaper remotely piloted aircraft} \]
\[\text{(RPA). It provides real-time situational awareness for both} \]
\[\text{soldiers and commanders involved in large scale} \]
\[\text{operations. Near-real-time forensics capability provided by} \]


\(^{58}\) See published information from USAF available at http://www.af.mil/AboutUs/FactSheets.

the system enables rapid adversary pattern-of-life analysis.\textsuperscript{60}

The developers of both systems emphasize the ease with which operators of the systems can move between different scales of visualization, making it a simple matter to track individual persons or vehicles through the complexity of the urban environment. The Gorgon Stare is “[c]urrently fielded on an unmanned aerial system”\textsuperscript{61}, and the aircraft on which these visualization systems are deployed are significant in constituting the effectivity of the visualization. Longer ‘loitering’ times afford more extensive opportunities for gathering visual data. Gorgon Stare also offers the capacity to visualize the target area during darkness, while the Argus system is initially operational only in daylight, with an infra-red version in development by DARPA\textsuperscript{62}.

The names applied to these visualization systems are significant, as Mark Dorrian has noted:

mythic and magical attributes are implied by the names of the visual technologies carried by drones, which invoke archaic monsters of vision […] the giant Argus Panoptes—the mythic all-seeing servant of Hera whose hundred eyes, in Ovid’s telling, are commemorated in the peacock’s tail—is reinvested as an acronym (2014, p.48).

\textsuperscript{61} http://www.sncorp.com/Pdfs/BusinessAreas/Gorgon-Stare_Sierra-Nevada-Corp-ISR_20140630.pdf
The Sierra Nevada Corporation’s emblem of the Gorgon Stare incorporates an image of a Gorgon head alongside the motto ‘oculus semper vigilans’, or ‘always watchful eye’ (ibid). As the mythological Gorgons possessed the capacity to turn those who viewed them to stone, Dorrian has rightly further noted the implication of the lethal power of seeing:

> [c]ommentaries on the Gorgon Stare epithet have of course linked the name of the technology to its purported ability to arrest through representation. But the real desire to which the name points is the collapse of the acts of seeing and killing into one another, the conferral of death in the moment of visualization (ibid, p.49).

However, as noted above, the contemporary development of unarmed drones argues against this notion of a general, and impractical, drive towards visualizing-as-killing. The emphasis is rather on knowledge and control over the option to exercise lethal power as desired.63

The ‘Light of God’ is a further term for one of the technologies involved in the drone’s eye view. It has become associated with ‘buddy-lase’ laser targeting technology, which again is associated with, but not exclusive to, drones. ‘Buddy-lase’ refers to the capacity for aircraft to emit a laser identifying a target to other operating forces in the immediate vicinity. This

---

63 While the clear connection between the naming of these systems and mythical power in general has been noted, what has so far been overlooked is the reversal of the power of the gaze performed here. Where the drone is identified as itself performing the ‘stare’ of the Gorgon, in the myth it is the victim’s viewing of the Gorgon that brings about petrifaction. Rather than supporting a straightforward notion of power in the drone’s gaze, this naming should perhaps be seen as indicating a confused lack of understanding of the power performed in the drone’s gaze on the part of the military and technology companies involved in developing Gorgon Stare. Medea Benjamin articulates a similar confusion as to the significance of the mythological sources for these names, in running together the Argus Panoptes and Gorgon myths: “The Air Force is currently developing a technology named the Gorgon Stare after the many-eyed monster from Greek mythology ‘whose unblinking eyes turned to stone those who beheld them’.” (2013, p.48)
targetting signal is visible only to those equipped with the appropriate visualizing equipment, night-vision goggles. USAF identifies both the MQ-9 Reaper and the MQ-1B Predator as having buddy-lase capability, but not the RQ-4 Global Hawk or the RQ-11B Raven. An officially sanctioned article describes this technology: “The Buddy Lase system provides a precise laser spot for terminal guidance of laser-guided bombs and missiles. [...] ‘The new capability is extremely valuable,’ said Maj. Tanner, 489th Reconnaissance Squadron pilot.”

The term ‘Light of God’ has been popularized through Omer Fast’s 2011 film artwork 5,000 Feet is the Best, which deals in part with a fictionalized account of a former drone operator’s experiences in combat operations and his subsequent diagnosis with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD):

We call it in, and we’re given all the clearances that are necessary, all the approvals and everything else, and then we do something called the Light of God – the Marines like to call it the Light of God. It’s a laser targeting marker. We just send out a beam of laser and when the troops put on their night vision goggles they’ll just see this light that looks

---

64 Published information from USAF available at http://www.af.mil/AboutUs/FactSheets, accessed 11 April 2015. ‘Buddy-lase’ is listed among the ‘missions and tasks’ that the Predator, for example, is able to perform: “Predators can also perform the following missions and tasks: intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, close air support, combat search and rescue, precision strike, buddy-lase, convoy/raid overwatch, route clearance, target development, and terminal air guidance.” Predator factsheet also available at http://www.af.mil/AboutUs/FactSheets/Display/tabid/224/Article/104469/mq-1b-predator.aspx, accessed 11 April 2015.
65 This 2013 article – Cummings, B. ‘MC-12W now boasts ‘Buddy Lase’ capability’ – underscores the capacity of this system to be ‘mounted’ on both manned and unmanned aircraft. Therefore it is not a technological capacity that is exclusive to drones, but it is significant for my argument that it has become particularly associated with drones in popular discourse. This point speaks to the technofetishism connected with drone discourse, discussed in more depth below.
like it’s coming from heaven. Right on the spot, coming out of nowhere, from the sky. It’s quite beautiful.

It is noteworthy that the account of this technology that associates it with ‘god’ derives in large part from an artistic work, whereas the US military’s official terminology associates this targeting capacity with co-operation and even friendship in the colloquial ‘buddy’. Where a drone deploys the buddy-lase system of laser targeting, it enacts the capacity to target remotely, and to ‘call in’ a lethal strike that is enacted by other aircraft, whether manned or unmanned. The distinctive quality of the buddy-lase system, and the wide area airborne surveillance systems currently epitomized by the Argus and Gorgon Stare technologies, is that when they are ‘mounted’ on drones as opposed to manned aircraft the extended loitering capacities of drones mean that these visualizing systems are employed for much longer periods than have hitherto been possible.

The capacity to surveil for extended periods has also contributed to the recently terminated (Schuuppli, 2014, p.2) – practice of ‘signature strikes’, whereby ‘pattern of life’ analysis identifies people as targets based on their behaviour. As Derek Gregory writes,

‘High Value Targets’ are named and made the object of ‘personality strikes’ – although in Afghanistan many of them have been nexus targets with only proximate associations to senior Taliban or al-Qaeda fighters – but most targeted killings are ‘signature strikes’ against anonymous (‘faceless’) subjects. They are brought within the militarized field of vision through the rhythm analysis and network analysis of a suspicious ‘pattern of life’, a sort of weaponized time-geography (2014a, p.13).

---

66 Quoted from 5,000 Feet is the Best, excerpted in Harger, Honor (2012).
Persons are targetted and killed through this method on the basis of their conformity with, or sufficient similarity to, a pattern that has been pre-determined as signifying terroristic activity. This mode of constructing the viewed persons and places uses a schematic approach, assimilating the viewed to the operational category of ‘target’. The ability to accumulate data on movements through time, and thus to ascribe target status to surveilled persons, accrues to the drone through the combined operation of its capacities to loiter, to view in close detail, and to apply pre-formed schema with which to apprehend the surveilled subject.

**Technofetishism and anthropomorphisation**

A degree of tension between technofetishism and figuration, particularly anthropomorphisation, marks many contemporary accounts of drones, whether critical, scholarly or popular. As Gregory writes, “[m]uch of the critical response to drones is unduly preoccupied with the technical (or techno-cultural) object – the drone” (2014a, p.7) and neglects the wider networks of technologies, actors and sites through which drones are able to enact violence. The drone is figured as at once sinister and lonely, powerful and sad. With reference to Trevor Paglen’s artwork, it has been claimed that, “[t]he use of the ‘drone’s eye view’ allows us to see as it sees, reinserting humanity back into the machine by using fiction, aesthetics and video to anthropomorphize the planes; the drone as a pair of eyes, albeit

---

67 Gregoire Chamayou provides a detailed discussion of this mode of targetting in chapter 6, (2015), ‘Pattern-of-Life Analysis’, including its propensity to lead to the targetting and killing of persons subsequently shown not to meet the relevant criteria, and the use of data from cell phone networks to confer target status on a wide range of persons.

68 On the concept of the emergent ‘target of opportunity’ and the broader context of a contemporary ‘militarization of thinking’, see Weber (2005).

69 Technofetishism is defined by Benjamin Noys as “not quite what Marx meant by fetishism (in his account of the fetishism of the commodity) or what Freud meant by fetishism (as a diagnostic category of sexual perversion), but something which mixes both. It is the inflation of the technological object to something that horrifies and fascinates, electing it out of history into a natural or metaphysical realm” (Noys, 2014, p.3).
several stages removed.”70 The drone is figured as being an entity that is able to ‘see’, even as the lack of ‘humanity’ in the ‘machine’ is noted.

Paglen himself has also described drones in strongly anthropomorphic terms in relation to his 2010 video work *Drone Vision*, which uses ‘found’ US drone footage obtained via an unencrypted satellite link: “The vast majority of the images are the drones targeting, practicing looking at roads very methodically, but there are a few moments where a drone looks around, looks up, looks at its surroundings. So it’s like this drone is lost, looking at the world around it.”71 Paglen characterizes the drones as ‘targetting’ and ‘practicing looking’; while targetting is a process that is increasingly subject to autonomisation, ‘practicing’ still suggests a very human process of learning a new technique. There is a conflation of an implied human operator with the ‘techno-cultural object’ of the drone ‘itself’ in this account, whereby the drone is figured as the entity that is seeing and looking around itself, rather than the drone operator being imagined as seeing, and manipulating the drone’s assemblage of cameras. The drone’s ‘looking up’ suggests, for Paglen, a sympathetic state of lostness rather than a mechanical procedure.

Anthropomorphisation is also used by video artist George Barber in *The Freestone Drone* (2013) in which a rebellious drone72 voices over footage of ‘itself’ in flight: “While narrative unravelled on screen resists easy categorisation, the artist draws the viewer to empathize with the antagonist. Engendered with human consciousness and independence,

71 ibid.
72 Medea Benjamin also notes the issue of loss of control in the military context: “Drones can also ‘go rogue,’ meaning that the remote control is no longer communicating with the drone. In 2009, the US Air Force had to shoot down one of its drones in Afghanistan when it went rogue with a payload of weapons. In 2008, an Israeli-made drone used by Irish peacekeepers in Chad went rogue. After losing communication, *it decided on its own to start heading back* to Ireland, thousands of miles away, and crashed en route” (2013, p.24, emphasis added).
the drone is a poet who disobeys orders and does his own thing, a child within a machine."73 Artist Kate Rich describes the Freestone Drone as “a familiar, impossible chimera – the soulful killing machine”, and, referencing the Drone’s squeaky speaking voice and appropriation of imagery of Thomas the Tank Engine, speculates that “our collusion with the drones goes way back beyond Playstation (the usual suspect) to toddler TV.”74 Early viewer training in anthropomorphic interpretation of machines is posed as a potentially more relevant forerunner than video games of the discursive tendency towards figuration and anthropomorphism in the context of drones.

Technofetishism is a feature of drone discourse that is closely linked to anthropomorphisation; the claims made by supporters of drones regarding their accuracy, extended flight times, and capacity to access targets who would remain inaccessible to conventional military techniques, frequently celebrate the advances in technological capacity that are made possible through the removal of the pilot. Human error can also be figured as detrimental to the effective functioning of the drone, as Jordan Crandall writes:

the Predator crew is consumed with a high-degree [sic] of urgency. Their attention fully focused on the battle, their awareness of the bigger picture diminishes. The pilot’s distraction leads to a fatal mistake: he fails to see that the UAV is headed toward a looming, 17,000-foot mountain. The drone smashes into it, abstracted into a cloud of black smoke, its parts scattering into the desolate terrain below. Human attention can be too tightly focused along one zone of experience, to the exclusion of a wider expanse of contextual information – what the military calls ‘situational

---

awareness’. It can also be too scattered: not focused enough on anything [...] however vigilant it might be, human attention is imperfect and undependable (2013, pp.282-3).

The drone is imagined as being ‘let down’ by its attendant humans, and their attendant weakness. Regarding this trope of technofetishism, in which we may read a certain narrative of perfectibility, Susan Schuppli has noted that, “[a]s this new era of intelligent weapons systems progresses, operational control and decision-making are increasingly being outsourced to machines” (2014, p.2). In this light, the application of technofetishism to drone discourse serves to facilitate both the increasing ‘outsourcing’ of decision-making to non-humans, and also public inattention to problematic implications of this development. Where a technofetishistic attitude regards drone technology as more neutral, durable, reliable, and less prone to bias and politics than the human operator or political decision-maker, moments of ‘going rogue’ or ‘wilfulness’ are cast as a ‘human’ element that will be minimized with further autonomisation. The drone’s non-human, or anti-human, viewing position and technologies are further reinforced as a position of power, control and knowledge generation.

_Drone vision as networked, dispersed and composite_

The thoroughly networked character of drone viewing may be read as a critical countering of the technofetishistic approach to drones. Emphasis on this networked and material character offers a critical purchase on destabilising the conception of ‘the drone’ as a sublime, solipsistic ‘technocultural’ object, and enables an unpacking of the elaborate processes through which vision, and failures of vision, are produced.

Derek Gregory in particular has championed the need to critically explore this area. Noting the increase in the capacity of drones to capture vast
quantities of visual data, Gregory writes, “to manage this image surge, the analytical field has expanded” (2011, p.194). A large number of human workers, technologies and locations are needed to carry out the surveillance, targeting and bombing functions of drones:

UAV operators in the United States are embedded in an extended network that includes not only troops and Joint Terminal Attack Controllers using Remotely Operated Video Enhanced Receivers (ROVER laptops) on the ground in Afghanistan, but also senior commanders, mission controllers and military lawyers at CENTCOM’s Combined Air and Space Operations Center [sic] (CAOC) at Al Udeid Air Base in Qatar [...] and data analysts and image technicians at its Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS) at Langley Air Force Base in Virginia (ibid).

Gregory’s recitation of the jargon and military ‘officialese’ with which institutions, technologies and locations are named underscores the proliferation of bureaucratic and support functions that accompany the material production of the drone’s network. Gregory further emphasizes that “UAV operators are never alone” (ibid) as well as the point that this situation constitutes “a dramatic change from the pioneer airmen celebrated […] in the 1920s, and, for that matter, the experience of most other combat pilots today” (ibid). While the experience of these combat pilots is also produced through extended networks of logistics and labour, Gregory’s insistence on the networked character of drone vision is based on the live interaction that takes place among operators, other pilots in the battle-space, analysts, and particularly the video feeds which are analysed and used to inform operational decisions immediately. A perspective from the wider military industry supports Gregory’s position:

Ground control is an often overlooked aspect of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs). Indeed, UAV is a misnomer
because these aircraft are anything but unmanned [...] The role of the ground control station (GCS), therefore, is of paramount importance not only as the point of control but also as the point from where information is understood, disseminated and acted upon. As far as technology goes, the UAV could be said to contain the "senses" while the GCS, is both the central nervous system and the brain.

Metaphorically likening the drone network to the human or animal body, this account figures the drone as the sensory apparatus of a system whose decision-making capacities are physically separate. This interpretation supports Chamayou’s characterisation of drones as a “network of eyes [that] remains in constant communication with one another” (Chamayou, 2015, p.2). Taking into account this distributed character of the functions of ‘seeing’, decision-making, control of the drone in flight, and real-time analysis of data, the production of the drone’s eye view emerges as the production of a networked view.

The concept of the networked view also offers greater coherence to the theme of the removal of the drone pilot from the aircraft, and drone fallibility. The fantasy of the removal of the human body from ‘harm’s way’ is often cast as a humanitarian concern, and indeed Chamayou notes the question of vulnerability: “[s]elf-preservation by means of drones involves putting vulnerable bodies out of reach.” (2015, p.12). However, as he goes on to note, this vulnerability is less to potential suffering than to the capacity of the body to be taken prisoner. In a highly significant footnote, Chamayou quotes a New Scientist article published in 1972 to argue that the removal of the embodied pilot from the bomber aircraft appeared to

offer the solution to the political contradictions of the Vietnam War: “The intensified bombings of North Vietnam since the beginning of the year has swelled the ranks of the more than 1600 American servicemen believed held prisoner in Indochina. Taking the pilots out of the bombers will remove a serious obstacle to the Nixon Administration’s avowed intension [sic] to maintain American airpower in South Asia.” (2015, p.233)

Pilotless flight therefore addresses the dual desire to remove the problematic body from the scene of bombing, and to move closer to a technically mediated dominance akin to the invulnerable power performed in the god’s eye view (discussed in more detail below): “it becomes a priori impossible to die as one kills” (Chamayou, p.13). The withdrawal of the pilot, and the expansion of the network, contributes to the fantasies of invulnerability and perfectible vision. However, as Gregory emphasizes, this networked mode of visualizing importantly produces occlusions and invisibilities.

In a close analysis of an air strike76 carried out in Afghanistan in 2010, Gregory argues that the role of the US drone’s video feeds was more than “a predisposition on the part of the Predator crew to (mis)read every action by the victims as a potential threat.” Rather,

the Predator was not the only ‘eye in the sky’, its feeds entered into a de-centralized, distributed and dispersed geography of vision in which different actors at different locations inside and outside Afghanistan saw radically different things, and the breaks and gaps in communication were as significant as the connections.

76 ‘The God-trick and the Administration of Military Violence’, keynote address given at As Above, So Below: Colloquium on Drone Culture, University of Lincoln, 24th May 2014. Quotations are from conference abstract.
Rather than affording full knowledge of unfolding events, therefore, the drone’s eye view must be understood as a complexly produced abstraction that is ‘distributed and dispersed’. The viewing practices and the interpretative practices that it fosters produce occlusion and unclarity as well as unprecedented levels of data on viewed subjects. This capacity to produce huge amounts of data supports the concept of the ‘all-seeing eye’ associated with drone viewing. However, as the capacity to gather data is not matched by capacity to interpret\textsuperscript{77}, what is produced through these practices is necessarily also ‘breaks and gaps’, silences, and invisibilities: “these visibilities are necessarily conditional – spaces of constructed visibility are also always spaces of constructed invisibility” (Gregory, 2011, p.193).

Gregory has noted the changing ‘kill-chain’ (2008, p.9) with the inauguration of widespread drone use:

> the targeting cycle [has] accelerated, and the ‘kill-chain’ has since been further compressed by the introduction of adaptive targeting, which depends on the local identification of emergent ‘targets of opportunity’ by ground forces who call in close air support from fighters already in the air. At the same time, it has been possible to increase dramatically the distance between target and command centre (2008, p.9).

‘Compression\textsuperscript{78} of the kill-chain refers to its temporal compression; a geographical expansion has accompanied this temporal compression,\textsuperscript{78}

\textsuperscript{77} As Crandall writes, “[a]s drones gain the ability to ‘dwell and stare’ – recording activities on the ground over much longer timeframes – the vast amounts of data they absorb can easily outrun the capacities of personnel. On a single day the Air Force must process nearly 1,500 hours of full motion video and another 1,500 still images. Cameras and sensors become ever more sophisticated, yet they are of limited value unless they can be accompanied by improved human intelligence and skill” (2013, p.283).

\textsuperscript{78} See Adam Herbert, ‘Compressing the kill chain’, Air Force Magazine 86 (2003)
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such that numerous actors in a wide range of locations are involved in targeting and killing. The kill-chain is also an interpretative chain, in which widely dispersed actors both form and act upon interpretations that are necessarily formed through access to data that is incomplete. The fantasy of full visibility and full knowledge that is so strongly connected with the contemporary discourse of the drone is one of the most important manifestations of the god’s eye view. The distance, or slippage, between the god’s eye view and the drone’s eye view (discussed in more depth later in this chapter) produces an area of political ambiguity, in which full vision and therefore full accuracy of drone strikes continue to be both claimed and disavowed.

In light of Gregory’s analysis of the networked character of drone operation, I propose that the drone’s eye view incorporates at once the aspects of fantasy that shape drone discourse (seeing everything and everywhere, persistence, invulnerability) and the realities of drone practice (imperfect and complex technologies, human and technological constraints, fallibility). Where aerial surveillance and bombardment by means of drones is imagined by its advocates as precise, surgical, and humane, these desires and interpretations exist with, and indeed constitute, the complexity and distributed character of drone viewing that is productive of its occlusions.

A counterpoint to the production of these occlusions and invisibilities is the new visual intimacy that is produced through drone operators’ close viewing, via live video feeds, of attacks, killings, and the aftermath of aerial bombardment. As Gregory further notes, “[c]ontrary to critics who claim that these operations reduce war to a video game in which the killing space appears remote and distant, I suggest that these new visibilities produce a special kind of intimacy that consistently privileges the view of the hunter-killer” (2011, p.193). With the increasing remoteness of drone operators

79 As Chamayou asserts, that the “drone looks like the weapon of cowards […] does not prevent its supporters from declaring it to be the most ethical weapon ever known to humankind” (2015, p.17).
from those they surveil and kill, there has also developed an increasing and problematic intimacy of the viewing experience of the operators (Benjamin, 2013, p.89).

While many drones operate at altitudes that render them invisible to the naked eye of the target persons and communities, operators are both physically close to the screens via which they view, and receiving a newly close-up view of their targets. Critical and political concern about this mode of remote control has emphasized the resulting trauma that drone operators can experience, giving an undue attention to the suffering of the ‘aggressor’ over that of the ‘victim’. However, I suggest that this development may be read more constructively as a new, if problematic and partial, recognition that performing the role of ‘hunter-killer’, or bomber, or aggressor, importantly has detrimental effects on real persons.Thinking in a more explicitly visual register, the drone operator’s (prominently, though not exclusively) visual experience is thus characterized as potentially harmful. In this I suggest a possibility of loosening the binary construction of aggressor and victim, and a challenge for the underlying conception that through the abstraction of the god’s eye view some degree of access is possible to a ubiquitous knowledge and a ubiquitous vision.

The drone’s eye view, while networked, distributed, and increasingly ‘autonomous’, continues to be inhabited by human subjects whose experience also becomes part of the discourse that shapes the future conditions of possibility of the drone’s eye view. Gregory has also taken up Donna Haraway’s critique of the god’s eye view: “the possibility of what Donna Haraway famously criticized as ‘the God-trick’ – the ability to see everything from nowhere in particular – is also compromised by the networks within which these remote platforms are deployed.” Drone viewing, indeed, always takes place from somewhere in particular, multiple ground-level sites, often located in different countries and continents from

---

80 See Gregory, 2011, p.197.
81 Conference abstract, As Above, So Below: Colloquium on Drone Culture, University of Lincoln, 24th May 2014.
the drone aircraft. The abstraction of ‘the drone operator’ is also always embodied by real persons working in distributed yet networked locations. This necessary embodiment works against the fantasy of non-embodiment found in the god’s eye view, and problematizes the conception of physical distance in remote control as a dematerialising practice.

Produced in and through multiple persons, places and technologies, the networked view is importantly a composite view. It joins, increasingly seamlessly, visual data produced at multiple moments and from multiple vantage points into a composite rendering of reality ‘on the ground’. This is particularly evident in considering the forensic analysis capabilities advertised in the Gorgon Stare and Argus systems, but applies to drone visualization of the present as well as the past. The networked view composites multiple views, moments and sources and forms of data, abstracting them into a newly operational rendering of the viewed persons and places.

**The satellite view, or orbital vision, and omniscience**

The satellite view is a form of highly elevated visuality, that is worth scrutinising briefly for its performance of a distinctive mode of technological ‘inhabiting’ of the god’s eye view, which I turn to below. The critique of technofetishism is also relevant for satellite, or orbital, vision. Lisa Parks offers the term ‘global presence’ as a way of naming “an imaginary construct or Western fantasy” (2005, p.23) of “liveness’ or ‘presence’” (ibid) established by early satellite televisual broadcasts in the 1960s. The satellite view came to be regarded, in the context of television, as affording the possibility of ‘liveness’ as well as extensive access to the viewed terrain, in the context of satellite photography. Parks cites Arthur C. Clarke to emphasize the transformative potential that was associated with satellite technology early in its development, such that they would “enable the consciousness of our grandchildren to flicker like lightning back and forth across the face of this planet” (ibid, p.25).
The fantasy of omniscient viewing came to be associated with satellite technology, and Parks identifies as ‘diachronic omniscience’ the satellite image’s digital status; it is not a 'mechanical reproduction' of an event but is a composite formed of multiple recorded exposures, whose "ontological status differs from that of the electronic image" (ibid, p.91, emphasis added). As satellite image data is usually archived, it only becomes selected and displayed as an image when a particular reason for doing so emerges, so that

archives of satellite image data thus create the potential for diachronic omniscience - vision through time - because they enable views of the past (and future with computer modelling) to be generated in the present that have never been known to exist at all, much less seen. Our understanding of the temporality of the satellite image should be derived through the process of its selection, display, and circulation rather than formed at the instant of its acquisition (ibid, p.91, emphasis in original).

The digital satellite ‘image’, then, is primarily digital data, which has often not been formed into an image until long after it has been ‘captured’. While Parks’ specification of a particularly diachronic mode of omniscience may be somewhat tautological, as knowledge of all times as well as time itself is surely part of what is claimed by the notion of omniscience, the emphasis on vision through time is helpful. Considering the satellite view in relation to the god’s eye view, the capacity for producing imagery of the past, which is received as authoritative, aspires to a more-than-human, god-like capacity to view all times as well as all locations.

Satellite visuality is marked, like drone visuality, by the production of huge quantities of data that are not necessarily operationalized immediately. Indeed, the satellite at times “randomly acquires information about all kinds of places for no apparent reason at all. Because of this, it can either be mobilized as representing the ultimate authority of the state (and of our
unspoken faith in cartography) or as a completely abstract and uncertain point of view” (ibid, p.95). That the satellite ‘views’ at all, then, does not immediately lead to the production of knowledge or actionable intelligence.

Where imagery of what drones are ‘seeing’ is widely reiterated through YouTube\textsuperscript{82}, media programming and artworks, it affords more apparent immediacy than the satellite view, which remains aloof and frequently highly ambiguous. However, video and still images themselves afford the viewer no access to the means by which target persons, buildings, vehicles, communities or landscapes have come to be targeted, surveilled and photographed. Such knowledge is not usually made available to the ‘citizen-viewer’ who attempts to inhabit the drone’s eye view. In this way, the extensive production of imagery associated with ‘drone culture’ only purports to offer knowledge and insight, or ‘omniscience’, while substantially occluding and de-visibilising the social relations, politics, and technological forms through which such images are produced.

\textit{The god’s eye view as a viewpoint of objectivity and knowledge}

The eye of God, with its overhanging gaze, embraces the entire world. Its vision is more than just sight: beneath the skin of phenomena it can search hearts and minds. Nothing is opaque to it. Because it is eternity, it embraces the whole of time, the past as well as the future. And its knowledge is not just knowledge. Omniscience implies omnipotence. In many respects, the drone dreams of achieving through technology a miniature equivalence to that fictional eye of God. (Chamayou, 2015, p.37)

\textsuperscript{82} See Dorrian, 2014, pp.51-52. “The principal mode for the dissemination of video captured from drones in Iraq and Afghanistan—what some have called “drone porn,” showing short sequences of attacks and killings—has been via official US Department of Defense or DVIDS (Third Army/US Army Central) accounts on YouTube. Presumably intended both to promote the technology for a domestic audience and to threaten opponents, by 2009 these had reportedly received more than ten million views.”
The phrase ‘god’s eye view’ functions in contemporary culture as a broad, non-specialist ‘handle’ for indicating a viewpoint, whether visual or conceptual, that affords total knowledge, oversight, and access to unmediated truth. Closely connected to this conceptualisation is the use of ‘god’s eye view’ to name a longstanding problematic in western philosophy of the question of the possibility of objective knowledge\textsuperscript{83} of the world external to the human mind. I focus here on delineating the god’s eye view as a visual trope, and pinpointing its relationships with cartography, rather than offering a comprehensive account of its historical and contemporary usage. The god’s eye view affords sight of objects, actions or landscapes from a highly elevated or abstracted position. I further specify this broad concept to denote the cartographic convention of viewing from conceptually above the mapped subject, particularly in contexts that perform knowledge production.

Although I will focus here on the god’s eye view as a visual form, it is also important to indicate something of how this debate about abstract viewing positions arises from and draws on the larger problematic of objectivity and subjectivity. To briefly contextualize this discussion, I draw on, and diverge from, Thomas Nagel’s account of the ‘view from nowhere’ (1986) as a position between a conceived fully external view and a solipsistic conception of mind isolated within the individual human. The problem is of how to combine the perspective of a particular person inside the world with an objective view of that same world, the person and his viewpoint included. It is a problem that faces every creature with the impulse and the capacity to transcend its particular point of view and conceive of the world as a whole. […] The difficulty of reconciling the two

\textsuperscript{83} See Weber (2005), in particular: “In the Western tradition […] the faculty of vision has been most closely associated with the constitution of knowledge and hence with its power to overcome distance and assimilate alterity” (2005, p.6).
standpoints arises in the conduct of life as well as in thought. It is the most fundamental issue about morality, knowledge, freedom, the self, and the relation of mind to the physical world. Our response or lack of response to it will substantially determine our conception of the world and of ourselves, and our attitude toward our lives, our actions, and our relations with others (1986, p.3).

Cartography, as I outlined in chapter one, has historically been, and remains in the twenty-first century, a significant and widespread mode through which ‘our conception of the world’ is organized, delimited, and reproduced. The abstract conception of the world as a whole, unified entity, beyond the experience available through sensory perception, is produced in part through cartographic visualization. The god’s eye view, as a visual construction, naturalizes an externalized and elevated perspective. This perspective corresponds to the objectivity that Nagel describes – an external standpoint that attempts to transcend the specificities and limitations of the individual, embodied, personal perspective. Nagel also notes that an ultimate or ‘correct’ reconciliation of the external and the internal, or the objective and the subjective, is neither possible nor desirable:

instead of a unified world view, we get the interplay of these two uneasily related types of conception, and the essentially incompletable effort to reconcile them. The transcendent impulse is both a creative and a destructive force (1986, p.4).

---

84 See in particular Pickles (2006), Chapter Four ‘The cartographic gaze, global visions and modalities of visual culture’: “Perhaps one of the abiding dreams of modern science has been to map the globe in its totality; to map ‘everything’ and to map it as a unity […] From atlases to national exhibitions to commercial advertising for imperial products, the globe has circulated as an image, icon and trademark for science, technology, imperial power and commercial vitality since the Renaissance” (ibid, p.78).
Where a ‘unified world view’ is understood to be an ‘incompletable’ project, then, the critical focus shifts to how external and internal conceptions vary depending on their context, and how they co-constitute and re-work one another. I must leave aside the complexities of this philosophical debate in the interests of focusing on its application to the critical visual study of objectivity-subjectivity, or external-internal perspectives. Critical cartography, as a sub-disciplinary field emergent since the 1980s, has itself reflected the longer-term critical problem of reconfiguring understandings of the non-availability of any politically neutral and external perspective85, in both conceptual and visual terms. The ‘transcendent impulse’, also applicable to cartographic visualization, structures the cartographic desire for a god’s eye view, that is able to provide objective and authoritative knowledge.

The god’s eye view as a cartographic abstraction

Trevor Paglen summarizes the contemporary sense to be found in critical and experimental geographies that the god’s eye view is both an outdated and unhelpful cartographic trope:

Contemporary geography has little more than a cursory relationship to all varieties of cartography. In fact, most critical geographers have a healthy skepticism for the "God's-Eye" vantage points implicit in much cartographic practice. As useful as maps can be, they can only provide

85 J.B. Harley led the way in asserting the importance of re-positioning maps as fundamentally social, interested images. For example: “Maps cease to be understood primarily as inert records of morphological landscapes or passive reflections of the world of objects, but are regarded as refracted images contributing to dialogue in a socially constructed world. We thus move the reading of maps away from the canons of traditional cartographical criticism with its string of binary oppositions between maps that are ‘true and false’, ‘accurate and inaccurate’, ‘objective and subjective'” (Harley, 2001, p.53).
very rough guides to what constitutes a particular space (2008, p.28).

For Paglen, using the god’s eye view in studying ‘what constitutes a particular space’ is a limited and limiting approach, able to produce little useful insight. It is no longer regarded as a helpful means for producing authoritative knowledge, being understood instead to operate with problematic assumptions that knowledge may be unambiguously correct, true and attainable. Indeed, as we have seen, the god’s eye view is frequently framed as ‘the god trick’, and positioned as something to be overcome (Pickles, 2006, p.192). The two conceptual poles, or philosophical fantasies, of extreme objectivity, identified with the theoretical god position, or god’s eye view, and extreme subjectivity, or solipsism, provide the parameters within which contemporary explorations of cartographic viewing and its ‘conceptual positioning’ effects take shape. Rather than positioning the god’s eye view as having been superseded, I argue for interpreting it as continuing to operate and to influence contemporary understandings of cartographic viewing, remote viewing, such as the technological forms embodied in satellites and drones, and the capacity of aerial viewing to perform and convey agency.

As a cartographic abstraction, the god’s eye view structures and organizes some of the attributes of the drone’s eye view. I do not suggest that the god’s eye view is the only relevant mode of visuality concerned in the production of the drone’s eye view; rather, it is a significant element structuring desires and fantasies in relation to drones and their capacities. As I have argued, the key fantasies for the drone’s eye view turn on total vision, and therefore total knowledge, of viewed subjects. The cartographic abstraction of the god’s eye view supports and lends coherence to, indeed provides one of the conditions of possibility for, the contemporary discourse of the drone’s eye view as embodying an enhanced position of agency, even as the human agent is conceived as being physically removed from that position.
Embodiment and disembodiment, and inhabitation, are important themes that run through my analyses of abstract cartographic viewpoints. In the synoptic, the zenithal, the bird's eye view, the Apollonian, and the panoptic, the modes of viewing are more concerned with embodiment and the idea of conceptual inhabitation of the viewpoints. The god's eye view is the only cartographic abstraction under discussion that I argue remains fully uninhabitable and unattainable by human, technological and 'technocultural' forms. Its effectivity is performed in its functioning as a fantasy of totalizing vision and knowledge that fosters the desire and the aspiration in the drone's eye view.

I have identified the 'god's eye view' as a 'higher level' cartographic abstraction, such that it is not directly experienced, but has the capacity to organize other modes of cartographic viewing. While the god's eye view is not a concept that is confined to cartographic depiction, I have argued for specifying it in the register of cartographic abstraction. This positioning allows for a reinterpretation of the god's eye view as an active, operational abstraction that has effects in contemporary practices of viewing and aerial violence; rather than a concept that is no longer operative or that constitutes a hindrance to critical inquiry. The power of the god's eye view is in its capacity to offer a fantasy of total knowledge, oversight, and access to unmediated truth. It functions as a figure of the illusory capacity of cartographic viewing to establish viewpoints that are disembodied, non-inhabitable by a physical viewer, and thoroughly abstract. In this light, the god's eye view emerges as a complex, enduring and adaptive cartographic abstraction.

**The drone's eye view as a cartographic abstraction**

The drone's eye view is proposed as an abstract viewpoint that is not itself solely cartographic, but that is significantly organized through cartographic abstraction. The drone's eye view here encompasses both the popular conception of drones as all-seeing, and its status as an abstraction that organizes this fetishized appearance. In this I draw on Gregory's
insistence on the materiality of the networked form of drone vision to situate it in the register of production and reproduction of an abstract viewpoint, to bring the problematic of drone vision into the analytical terms of cartographic abstraction. This abstract viewpoint functions to contribute to the ongoing reproduction and extension of distanced and networked viewing-from-above, both drawing from and performing the unattainability of the older abstraction of the cartographic god’s eye view. The drone’s eye view incorporates at once the aspects of fantasy that shape drone discourse and the realities of (military) drone practice.

It also accommodates in a productive tension both conceptual ‘extremes’, of the all-seeing drone as a solipsistic figure of military agency, and the networked, abstract and material nature of the view that the drone constructs. These potentially contradictory understandings each condition, and thereby have a role in the reproduction of, the other. The fantasy of total vision both drives and is reinforced by its technological manifestation. The fantasy of full visibility and full knowledge that is so strongly connected with the contemporary discourse of the drone is one of the most important manifestations of the god’s eye view.

In terms of the theoretical concern with embodiment in this study, the drone’s eye view, while networked, distributed, and increasingly ‘autonomous’, continues to be inhabited by human subjects whose experience also becomes part of the discourse that shapes the future conditions of possibility of the drone’s eye view. Drone viewing, indeed, is always situated inasmuch as it ‘takes place’ from somewhere particular, frequently a ‘somewhere’ that is on the ground rather than in the sky, often located in another continent. The abstraction of ‘the drone operator’ is also always embodied by real persons working in distributed yet networked locations, communicating in ‘real-time’. This necessary embodiment works to complicate the fantasy of non-corporeal agency found in the god’s eye view; the god’s eye view can be understood as part of the networked and
interdependent character of the drone’s eye view, that is constituted across multiple sites, persons, technologies and practices.

Conclusion

In this chapter I have sought to open up an approach to ‘viewing’ military drones indirectly through critically engaging with my own subject position as a viewer, remote interpreter, ‘critic of violence’ and subject of a drone state. James Bridle and Trevor Paglen’s artworks attempt, in their own terms, to enact a similar position of critical reflexivity. Where they fail to exceed the existing critical parameters of a politics of visibility, the theoretical framework of cartographic abstraction is useful. Through exploring the technological and scopic regime of drone visualization, we see the ways in which the drone’s eye view constitutes its subjects as targets; through physically indirect visualization, that translates viewed persons and places into data; through visual techniques of assimilation of the subject to pre-existing operational categories, most decisively the category of ‘target’; and through techniques of visualization that seek to render their subjects as fully visible, spatially accessible, and as abstract bodies moving through a fully viewed and conceptualized space. Through these concrete procedures of abstraction, the drone is framed,technofetishistically, as an entity embodying the capabilities to see, to know and to strike at any time and in (potentially) any place. These are central attributes of the god’s eye view; moving further from a strict adherence to overtly cartographic modes of abstraction, as we have seen in the preceding chapters, I have explored the god’s eye view as a cartographic abstraction that operates much more extensively than the cartographic discourse. In this way, I have argued that the god’s eye view functions, in part, to organize the complex contemporary form of the drone’s eye view. The god’s eye view extends its abstract capacities of totalizing vision, knowledge, and authority to the drone’s eye view.
I have explored the possibility of responding to and interpreting the position of the viewer in relation to the drone’s eye view. I suggested a renewed interpretation of the drone’s eye view, that extends beyond the register of the visual to understand this abstract viewpoint as contributing to the continued production and reproduction of this abstract, distanced and networked mode of viewing from above.
Chapter Four

Remote viewing and cartographic abstraction: the antipodes in three artworks by Layla Curtis

In this chapter, I elaborate a conception of the cartographic and cultural figure of the antipodes as a cartographic abstraction. I ground my argument in close discussions of three artworks by Layla Curtis concerned with visually presenting antipodal, or diametrically opposite, relations between places. *Message in a Bottle from Ramsgate to the Chatham Islands* (2004), *78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South* (2007) and *Antipodes* (2013-14) are each concerned with evoking and examining antipodal relations in both cartographic and photographic terms. I discuss the artworks first, in chronological order, and draw out a series of visual and conceptual themes that emerge from the analyses. My primary argument is that antipodal relations, or ‘the antipodes’ as a cartographic abstraction, become a productive factor in the formation of knowledge relating to antipodal locations, on the part of the viewer. The viewing position is structured as one through which ‘knowledge’ is produced of abstractions and abstract relations in the conceptualisation of remote and unknown regions of the globe.

**Message in a Bottle from Ramsgate to the Chatham Islands**

*Message in a Bottle from Ramsgate to the Chatham Islands* (2004) is a multimedia artwork in which messages from residents of Ramsgate, Kent, and GPS tracking devices, were released in bottles from off the south-east coast of England. The purported destination of the bottles is the Chatham Islands, part of New Zealand in the South Pacific Ocean. The description offered by Curtis’s website states:

Fifty bottles containing messages were released into the sea near Ramsgate Maritime Museum, Kent. Their intended destination, The Chatham Islands in the South
Pacific Ocean, is the nearest inhabited land to the precise location on the opposite side of the world to Ramsgate Maritime Museum.

Several of the bottles are being tracked using GPS technology and are programmed to send their longitude and latitude coordinates back to Ramsgate every hour.

The information they transmit is used to create a real time drawing of their progress.\footnote{Available at http://www.laylacurtis.com/work/display/5-mixed_media accessed 20 November 2014.}

The project was commissioned by Turner Contemporary, and exhibited at Droit House, Visitor Centre for Turner Contemporary, in Margate, Kent, 27\textsuperscript{th} May – 4\textsuperscript{th} July 2004.\footnote{Available at http://www.fromramsgatetothechathamislands.co.uk/ accessed 20 November 2014.} The gallery presentation included a projection of the live GPS drawing (fig. 25) recording the transmitted coordinates of the released bottles; a bottle and instruction leaflet were exhibited; and sea charts depicting Ramsgate and the Chatham Islands were positioned diametrically opposite each other on the gallery walls.

The coordinates of the bottles were displayed in the form of a real time GPS drawing, while the bottles themselves were equipped with instructions to enable those finding them to report their location\footnote{Available at http://www.fromramsgatetothechathamislands.co.uk/, accessed 20 November 2014.}. Reported locations are mostly on the shores of Kent, and the Netherlands. The bottles, never having been equipped or intended to arrive at the Chatham Islands, are presumably now lying on parts of the seabed, landfill sites, or perhaps have found their way into private homes.
The artwork, then, comprises all of these multimedia elements, and arises from them as an exploration of the trope of the ‘message in a bottle’ as a whimsical notion of unlikely, hopeful communication, and a material enactment of mapping and depicting the movements of fifty instantiations of this notion. The relationship posited in the work’s name is of central interest here. The Chatham Islands figure as a silent, would-be recipient of one or all of the released bottles; more particularly, the residents of the islands are cited as the reason for choosing to focus the project on the Chatham Islands. The Antipodes Islands (also part of New Zealand) lie slightly closer to the mid-ocean position of the antipode of Britain, but are uninhabited.
The Chatham Islands are cited as being the focus of the work due to their offering the ‘closest fit’ to the formula of the diametrically opposite location of Ramsgate, and by extension Britain. In this way, land, and particularly inhabited land, is designated as the object that is to be made visible through the deployment of the antipode as a mode of positing a geographical relation between two disparate locations. However, the posited object of visibility, or we might say of geographical visibility, remains unseen; the formula for positing the Chatham Islands as part of the work comes to perform instead their non-visibilisation. That is to say, the viewer gains no further visual or geographical knowledge or
understanding of the Chatham Islands through engaging with *Message in a Bottle*. Instead, the non-production of such knowledge is performed by the project.

The progress of the bottles is charted in an apparently scientific, technical manner, through GPS devices that were fitted into the bottles and rigorously tested to ensure successful functioning (fig. 24).

**Research & Development**

**GPS bottle development**

The GPS tracked bottles were custom built for this project. The technology held inside the bottles includes a GPS receiver, mobile phone components, an antenna, a battery pack, timer and a solar panel.

Right: components used in building GPS bottles

Far right: GPS bottles

**Sea Trials**

The GPS tracking system was tested during sea trials. Tests included texting the GPS bottles when they were in the water to make sure the equipment worked off shore (right) and recording the effect the wind had on the drift speed of differently weighted bottles (far right). These trials helped determine the best location to release the bottles into the sea.

**Drift Predictions**

A computer programme was developed to predict the potential drift of a fleet of bottles based on particular weather conditions and the time and location the bottles are dropped.

---

Fig. 18. Screengrab of ‘Research and Development’ page of project website

The resulting GPS drawing (fig. 25) reinforces the emphasis on a process of research and investigation that is being made manifest in the visual
productions of *Message in a Bottle*. What is produced, however, is not knowledge of The Chatham Islands, which is rather held away from the viewer, continually posited and deferred within the work. In this way, a non-production of knowledge of the Chatham Islands, one of the geographical objects of the project, is performed in the work.

**Fig. 19.** GPS drawing showing Kent coast and the North Sea, from project website

**78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South**

78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South (2007) is a “two channel video (animated webcam stills), colour, silent”\(^{89}\). Paired photographic images depict the changing seasons at two unnamed antipodal locations, via webcam. The description offered by Curtis’s website states:

---

Every minute for one year, the images transmitted from two webcams, each at opposite ends of the planet, were captured and compiled to create the time-lapse work 78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South.

Beginning on the southern hemisphere’s longest day (and subsequently the northern hemisphere’s shortest day), the two videos are viewed side by side tracking the contrasting seasons and extreme weather conditions at these diametrically opposite locations.90

Fig. 20. First image in website presentation of 78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South, laylacurtis.com

Fig. 21. Second image in website presentation of 78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South, laylacurtis.com

Fig. 22. Third image in website presentation of *78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South*, laylacurtis.com

A sequence of stills presented on the artist’s website (figs. 26 - 28) depicts pairs of out-of-focus photographs, taken at opposite times of day, in predominantly snowy locations. Each shows a body of water and some mountains, and the pairs of images record the conditions of the webcam’s automated ‘seeing’ as well as the landscapes themselves, in moisture forming on the lens (fig. 26) and mist preventing a clear view (fig. 27).

Implicit in this format is an acceptance of the position of the webcam as the viewpoint that will endure through the progression of days and whole seasons. The right-hand view is not quite straight, but is more sharply focussed than the left-hand view. Location is only specified in the work in terms of latitude, assimilating to each image an association with potentially the full range of land locations found at each latitude: at 78 degrees north, the line of latitude passes through Svalbard (Norway), Russia, Canada’s Northwest Territories and Nunavut, and Greenland; and at 67 degrees south all land is part of Antarctica, predominantly territory claimed by Australia\(^1\). The right-hand view, then, depicts both ‘67 degrees south’ and ‘Antarctica’.

The name of the work, *78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South*, proposes to the viewer that each pairing of photographs combines an image of a place at 78 degrees north, followed by, that is, bordered on its right side by, a photograph of a place to be found somewhere along the line of latitude called 67 degrees south. In this way, the series of pairings depicts the earth as a sphere described by lines which are themselves conceptually formed through the abstraction of the earth as a regular geometrical form. Lines of latitude arise from the cartographic figuring of the earth as a regular sphere, rotating on an axis, which forms a vertical; this vertical is then re-articulated through the generation of perpendicular, horizontal divisions, regularly spaced across the planimetric surface of the sphere. The inclusion in the artwork of lines of latitude as the only points of orientation, then, de-particularizes the photographs, generalising and abstracting them to the level of the entire line of latitude, rather than a longitudinally-specific point somewhere on that line.

A doubled mode of visualization is therefore in play in *78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South*, such that the ‘underlying’ cartographic and geometric structure of the earth, as a cartographic abstraction itself, effectively gives rise to abstract photographic depictions of cartographic abstractions. The photography of these latitudinal locations is ‘delegated’ by the artist to webcams, so that the viewer does not conceptually inhabit a viewpoint formed by the artist behind their camera; rather, the webcams ‘view’ and photograph automatically, having been positioned and networked for purposes that are not made visible within the artwork, by unacknowledged persons. The site of ‘viewing’ has been selected, apparently, for its conformity with a formula that has been pre-determined by the artist. In this way, the content of the image is determined through a process of selection of a formula for viewing established by the artist. The images appear in the work as the result of, or the fulfilment of, the application of the selection criteria. They carry out, or enact, the formula of ‘existence on line of latitude x’ plus ‘webcam available’. This mode of image production
is used again, and taken further, in Curtis’s 2013-14 multimedia work *Antipodes*.

**Antipodes**

*Antipodes* (2013-14) is an online artistic project that takes up and extends the concept of the antipodes that is explored in *Message in a Bottle* and *78 Degrees North*. In this work, antipodal locations are identified and paired, or ‘twinned’, via online webcams, and over the course of one year live footage from the webcams was streamed on the project website. These live images took the same form that we saw in *78 Degrees North*, as paired landscape images. On accessing the project website, the first image presented is of a doubled world map projection (fig. 29) marking the locations of the selected antipodal sites. Each site allows the viewer to click through and see the photographic images particular to that site and its antipodal ‘twin’. Following the year of live streaming, a ‘residual’ presentation takes the form of pairs of still photographs connected to a specified antipodal relationship, which the viewer may in turn click through to view a gridded composition of multiple images that trace the sequence of a day at each site (fig. 30). Although the project is characterized as an “online artwork”\(^\text{92}\), it has also been presented in galleries, including Phoenix, Leicester, UK and Spacex, Exeter, UK, both 2013.

A doubled world map projection is the first image of the project that greets the online viewer (fig. 29), in outlines on a white ground, with grey circles

marking featured locations. The grey outlines delineate the layout of the
world map projection most familiar to European viewers, oriented north and
centred on Africa. The red outlines appear to duplicate the familiar outline,
but the image is oriented south. A closer look shows that the red outline
map has also been reversed; indeed it has effectively been mirrored, so
that the implied position of the viewer becomes suddenly quite complex.
Regarding the grey outlines, the viewer is positioned in the familiar ‘view
from nowhere’, as though spatially above the earth’s surface. In relation to
the red outlines, by contrast, the viewer effectively inhabits a ‘view from
nowhere’ positioned within the earth, spatially beneath the earth’s surface.
However, as this first image engages two world map projections rather
than depicting the mapped earth as a sphere, to suggest a viewer ‘within’
is an awkward fit when the would-be containing surface no longer
‘contains’ in this three-dimensional sense. As the world image has here
been flattened, how may we then conceptualize the structuring of the
viewer’s position? It is necessarily paradoxical, an image in which
contradictory notions are asserted simultaneously.

Seen simultaneously from conceptually both far above and far below, the
surface of the earth operates as a plane of convergence on which these
opposed viewpoints meet; both views, though conceptually they are
spatially opposite, focus on visualizing the surface of the earth as a series
of outlines evoking both coastlines and internal national boundaries. I
return to the question of the doubled map image below.
Antipodes, then, is concerned with elaborating a series of pairings of places based on a geometrical conception of antipodal relationships. The work takes up the formulation of antipodes that proposes every point on

---

the surface of the global cartographic grid as having a diametrically opposite point. This formulation draws on the aspect of ‘antipodal theory’ that emphasizes oppositeness as such, rather than depending on Europe to provide a basis or starting point for the conception. In this way, every point on the globe’s surface, conceptualized planimetrically, has its own antipodal ‘other’. As the Antipodes project website explains, the method chosen for selecting the specific places to be paired in this work was firstly a requirement that both ‘ends’ of the antipodal relation must be on land. Again as the site explains, this reduces the number of potential locations to around four per cent of the earth’s surface. The second methodological requirement was for publicly available webcams to be accessible in chosen locations. The pairings of webcams yield a significant quantity of imagery, which is presented in a range of ways.

One such way is the pairing of still photographic images in the form of a diptych, or double photograph. Each double image combines a webcam still from each antipodal location, captured simultaneously, such that the local time of each picture is twelve hours apart from its paired image. A second format in which the webcam images are presented in the project is in the form of pairs of grids, showing seventy-two images of each location in sequence. This mode of presentation is used in the web project, as well as being realized in print form in the gallery (fig. 30).

The website’s introductory text describes the project:

Layla Curtis’ Antipodes is a constantly updating, online artwork which pairs live, publicly available webcams from places on opposite sides of the planet. Carefully selected from myriad international locations, these antipodal webcams are placed side-by-side to allow viewers to simultaneously view live footage from locations as far away from each other as it is possible to be. These distant ‘twins’ have obvious day/night, summer/winter contrasts but also surprising affinities. The project highlights topographical
echoes in the landscape, as well as architectural and cultural similarities. Antipodes will run for a period of a year, launching on northward equinox 2013.

A number of questions are raised, and concerns signalled, in this brief paragraph. The time, indeed the temporality, at stake in the project remains unclear; the reader learns that the artwork is ‘constantly updating’ and that the paired webcams are ‘live’, as well as the point that the project ‘will run for a period of a year’, firmly establishing the immediacy of the image production as a central claim of the project’s self-description. However, the reader is also told in advance what the ‘results’ of the project will be: ‘The project highlights topographical echoes in the landscape, as well as architectural and cultural similarities’.

This statement emphasizes the tension between automation and selection in Antipodes as a whole, and indeed, as I will argue, in the cartographic abstraction of the antipodes itself. Here, the networked webcams’ performance of automated seeing is facilitated by the artist’s acts of selection. Indeed, the appearance of automation is itself in play in Antipodes, as the webcams’ locations and the content of their imagery have played an important role in the selections as to exactly what images appear as part of the work. Images incorporating human figures appear to have been de-selected for inclusion, although a number of pairings feature cities, while, as the introductory statement suggests, a tendency toward ‘similarities’ and ‘affinities’ has informed the selection of landscape views, supporting the work’s inclination to affirm similarity in preference to difference.

---

95 Available at http://antipodes.uk.com/about, accessed 20 November 2014.
Fig. 27. Detail of paired images, Volcan Tungurahua, Ecuador – Gunung Sinabung, Indonesia

Fig. 33 shows one of the photographic pairs that displays very strong similarity in terms of both content and pictorial composition. In Ecuador a plume of grey smoke rises from a volcano in the far distance, surrounded by white cloud, while in the foreground trees and shrubs are visible. In Indonesia, a plume of smoke again rises, to the right of centre, as white cloud encircles the volcano and trees and shrubs mark the foreground. As another online description puts it, this time the commissioning body, “the volcanic peak of Tungurahua in Ecuador is shadowed by the majestic summit of Sinabung half a world away in Indonesia”\textsuperscript{96}. The characterisation of one ‘peak’ as being ‘shadowed by the majestic summit’ of another identifies the important point that the images function both as two single images beside each other, and as one image with two distinct halves. In the pairings that present the clearest similarities between the two halves, a doubling of the similar images emerges as a theme in the

work as a whole. This theme is rather over-emphasized by the Film and Video Umbrella press release about Antipodes, which states:

As far away from each other as it is possible to be, these distant ‘twins’ often possess surprising affinities. Having researched multiple webcam sources from myriad international locations, Curtis revels in drawing out these points of connection: finding topographical echoes in the landscape, as well as architectural and cultural similarities. A number of photographic diptychs, distilled from the stream of webcam footage, press the point home. Highlighting both the distance and the difference between us, they also remind us how technology is bringing us closer together.97

This reading of Antipodes as emphasising similarity despite distance is repeated across numerous reviews, blogs and institutional web copy98. The theme proposed by the project’s title, that of antipodal relations, seems to be contradicted to some extent by this emphasis on similarity. Finding similarity where possible has been a guiding factor in the selection of webcam views, but a theme of oppositeness may also be read in the gallery view of gridded pairs of photographs (fig. 30). Here a clear pattern of opposite days and nights can be read, and is also present in the gallery monitor display (fig. 31). The broader thematic of sameness and difference has been important in ‘antipodal theory’, and is discussed in more detail below. Here, the trope of diametrically opposite locations produces themes of both sameness (fig. 33) and opposition (fig. 30).

97 ibid.
Critical themes in the artworks

Some important themes emerge from the foregoing discussions of *Message in a Bottle from Ramsgate to the Chatham Islands, 78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South* and *Antipodes*. The concept of antipodal relationships between places is explored in both *Message in a Bottle* and *Antipodes*, and in *78 Degrees North* the images enacted the cartographic ‘formula’ posited by the work. In this chapter, I seek to understand antipodal relations and cartographic ‘formulae’ as properly cartographic abstractions, which come to be generative of imagery and understanding beyond the scope of direct personal experience. While this point in itself is not particular to cartography, in these works it is the cartographic abstraction that is used as a means to generate remote viewing experiences of distant parts of the world. This generative capacity of cartographic abstraction is deployed in these works as a methodological guide to producing photographic knowledge of distant places, and I discuss this further in the next section of this chapter.

Landscape photography, including seascapes and cityscapes, emerges as a central concern in both *78 Degrees North* and *Antipodes*. There is a shared emphasis on landscape and place as the visual registers these works are concerned with, while direct depiction of persons is notably absent. The role of persons in the antipodal relationship is discussed further below, in the context of ‘antipodal theory’.

As we saw above, *Message in a Bottle* posed as an attempt by unspecified residents of Ramsgate to make contact, through their written messages, with residents of the Chatham Islands in the South Pacific Ocean. Here, the islands’ inhabitedness became a decisive factor in their being chosen as the proposed destination of the bottles and their messages (as detailed in the project website). In his essay on *Message in a Bottle*, presented as part of the exhibition leaflet at Droit House, Jeremy Millar frames the work’s ‘attempt’ to send a message as a productive ‘failure’ of communication:
Usually one does not send a message in a bottle to a specific place but rather it is left to make its way to any other place, any place other than that from which it was sent, that is. Indeed, it is scarcely a means of communication at all, as we might now understand it, as most often the sender has no means of knowing whether his or her message has been received and by whom. For Curtis to release fifty one bottles off the coast of Ramsgate - forty five containing a message from children at the local Northdown Primary School, and five containing GPS devices which will track and transmit their position - seems an act of the utmost folly, particularly if we consider the wealth of communications technology contained within a number of the bottles. Yet, if we deem Curtis' attempt in advance as a failure - that the bottles will never reach the Chatham Islands - perhaps it is because we have misunderstood how art, and Curtis' art in particular, might be said to operate. […] Indeed, it is through the very lack of direct communication that a space is opened up through which can travel not only the bottles themselves, and our imaginations, but also many new possibilities. (Millar, 2004)

It is certainly an important feature of *Message in a Bottle* that it proposes a destination for the bottles and messages in light of the effective impossibility of that destination being reached. Millar suggests that this situation be read as a knowing gesture, which has the effect of opening out a space for imaginative reflection and ‘many new possibilities’. The possibilities he goes on to consider amount to the bottles being found and re-found by unknown others as they are circulated by ocean currents. I interpret this question of ‘failure’ more performatively, and argue that the non-production of knowledge of the Chatham Islands, one of the geographical objects of the project, is performed in the work. In this way,
the possibility of knowledge generation is not straightforwardly foreclosed and figured as impossible. Despite the unlikeliness of communication passing from Ramsgate to The Chatham Islands, it is not a clear or decisive ‘failure’ that is presented, but rather a confrontation with not knowing. The reference to The Chatham Islands in the work, as the nearest inhabited land to the precise geospatial location of the antipode of Ramsgate, installs the islands as an unseen and undefined ‘elsewhere’ of Ramsgate. Knowledge of this elsewhere remains held away from the viewer of Message in a Bottle, in both the posited non-arrival of the messages, and in the antipodal position itself remaining outside of visual and theoretical consideration in the work. Habitation, rather than precise location, emerges as the stronger concern, both in terms of the institutional texts that frame the work, and in the selection of The Chatham Islands themselves. The precise antipodal location of anywhere in Britain is mid-ocean, in the South Pacific; rather than pursuing this precise formulation of the antipodal relationship, this artwork addresses the question of a proposed mode of communication between persons, rather than between locations on the earth’s surface. These persons are framed as being in relationship with one another by means of the concept of the antipodes.

The idea of the message and of communication between antipodal locations does not form part of Antipodes, by contrast. The human figure and the concept of direct communications between persons or locations are not examined in Antipodes, and appear only in the form of the messages-in-bottles sent to unknown, far-off would-be recipients.

Another distinct theme that emerges from this group of artworks is that of technologies of communication and image-making, in particular the GPS tracking devices used in Message in a Bottle to generate a map of their movements, and the webcams to which the photography in 78 Degrees North and Antipodes is delegated, or outsourced. In his essay ‘Local Time’, reflecting on Antipodes after its year of live viewing, Patrick Langley describes the webcams as having been “hijacked” – commandeered by the
artist for an artistic purpose rather than the webcams’ original purpose\textsuperscript{99}. The same point applies equally to \textit{78 Degrees North}. Langley describes the webcams as “cameras which have no awareness of the yearlong conversation in which they are taking part” (Langley, 2014). The cameras participate in the production of images without awareness of either their production or their networked relationship with the rest of the world. They ‘view’ continuously, day and night, presenting an enduring view that cannot be performed by unaided human vision. In another way, the webcams’ view is indeed ‘susceptible’ to human conditions of viewing in that they continue to ‘view’ at night, even when nothing may be seen in the resulting images (those that view unlit locations). The webcams’ remote viewing of the antipodal locations generates a huge quantity of visual and cartographic data in particular, and a process of selection is thereby necessitated.

Here we see a mode of generation of cartographic and visual data that is highly automated, yet operating within closely defined parameters, and the artistic mode of production is one of selection among a mass of data. The question of selection as part of the production of cartographic imagery was introduced in chapter one; I discuss selection in relation to the cartographic depiction of the antipodes, as both geometric and cultural form, later in this chapter. Selection operates in \textit{Antipodes}, and in \textit{78 Degrees South} in both the cartographic and photographic registers. Locations come to be selected for inclusion in \textit{Antipodes} through a process of selection from among the mass of spatial data presented by the whole globe. The relative specificity of locations is an important feature in \textit{Antipodes}. Where this work addresses three dimensions, therefore longitude as well as latitude, \textit{78 Degrees North} addresses two dimensions and deals only with

\textsuperscript{99} As the \textit{Antipodes} project website states, “The webcams are all live, pre-existing cameras and are installed for a variety of reasons; to monitor surf, traffic, weather, ports, and volcanic activity, as well as providing remote viewers opportunities to glimpse wild animals at a desert watering hole, explore a holiday destination or perhaps experience a distant sunset.” Available at http://antipodes.uk.com/about, accessed 20 November.
latitude. Both latitude and longitude function to facilitate the process of selection in *Antipodes*. As the project website states,

> Antipodal points on earth are locations that are diametrically opposite each other; they are places that cannot be any further apart. [...] Less than 4% of the earth’s surface has land antipodal to land.100

More than 96 per cent of the earth’s surface is in this way de-selected for inclusion. Indeed, already the choice to engage with the abstraction of a planimetric conception of the earth’s surface installs an abstraction as a delimiting, selecting function. The requirement for a publicly available webcam to be accessible at the relevant locations performs a further act of selection, discounting locations that do not meet this criterion. The photographic imagery produced by the webcams is further de-selected, and a final series of images results. In the cartographic register, the process of delimiting that we saw operating at the level of the globe, continues to organize the selection process in terms of ascertaining antipodal points on the remaining ‘less than 4%’ of the earth’s surface area. The narrowing down of potential locations, then, is itself a process of abstraction, organized by the cartographic abstractions of the globe, and of the antipodes. The photographic register in *Antipodes* therefore functions as a visual manifestation of the ‘underlying’ cartographic abstractions that have produced it.

**Analysis of emerging themes**

In this discussion of themes arising from analysis of *Message in a Bottle from Ramsgate to the Chatham Islands, 78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South* and *Antipodes*, a number of considerations emerge that I then take forward into a discussion of the antipodes as both a cultural and a cartographic concept. The concept of antipodal relations between places is articulated in cartographic, photographic and performative registers in

100 Available at http://antipodes.uk.com/about, accessed 20 November 2014.
these three works. In this chapter, I seek to understand antipodal relations in terms of cartographic abstraction. Cartographic abstractions, including the antipodes, come to be generative of both knowledge and imagery beyond the scope of direct personal experience. In this way, I argue for an understanding of the antipodes as a cartographic abstraction, and as such, as forming the further abstraction of the remote (webcam) view, or ‘cartographic remote viewing’.

As contributing elements of this cartographic remote viewing, then, the themes identified above include the question of habitation of antipodal locations, also a central concern of antipodal theory; the non-production of knowledge that we saw performed in Message in a Bottle; both mapping and photography as technologies of communication between, and about, antipodal locations; and the function of selection in the cartographic depiction of the antipodes, as both a geometric and a cultural form. In order to explore these questions and issues in the context of the cultural history of the antipodes, I now turn to antipodal theory, introduced in chapter one, in more depth.

**Antipodal habitation**

The issue of habitation and of the nature of antipodal persons was a central concern in classical and medieval conceptions of the antipodes, as Alfred Hiatt describes:

> The term ‘antipodes’ initially referred to people dwelling opposite to – literally with feet against – the known world. The concept was the product of classical Greek geometry, which calculated the size and shape of the earth with a remarkable degree of accuracy, and argued that unknown lands and peoples were likely to exist in parts of the world beyond the land mass constituted by Europe, Asia and Africa (2008, p.3).
The term began, then, by referring to persons unknown, posited people who live against our feet. Classical theorizing about the extent and form of the world gave rise to a range of world images (see chapter one), and theories as to the existence and characteristics of antipodean persons was bound up with theories as to the potential climate, and therefore habitableness, of ‘southern lands not yet known’ (Hiatt, 2008, p.1). The antipodes have offered a range of ways of conceiving of and theorizing about unknown lands and their unknown inhabitants; indeed,

Plato’s works contained the seeds for the classical discussion of the antipodes precisely because they offered more than one model for conceiving of unknown spaces: as opposite to the known world, as multiple other worlds, or seen from above, defining the known world and life on earth (ibid, p.16).

These alternative ways of conceptualising the antipodes intersected with, and contributed to the production of, a range of ways of conceiving of antipodean persons.

The antipodal people and places, as Hiatt notes, were not featured on maps “with a purely historiographical function” (ibid, p.4). Rather, they were altogether a different kind of pictorial proposition; they were theoretical, regarded as inaccessible from the oikumene, (or the ecumene, the known world), and a geographical and cultural figure that functioned as a device for speculating and reasoning as to the nature of antipodean persons.

“Antipodal places and peoples quickly acquired significance beyond that of a dry scientific theory” (ibid, p.6) and came to offer a productive figure, or as I argue, an abstraction, by means of which theories as to the existence of persons and lands in remote regions could be constructed. As Hiatt observes, the antipodes appeared on cartographic world images whose
purpose was less historiographic and more theoretical, belonging primarily, though not only, to the tradition of ‘zonal maps’:

Antipodal spaces stood outside this context of ecumenical mapping and geographical description. They were purely theoretical, and usually regarded as unreachable from the known world due to the barriers posed by the intense heat of equatorial regions and the size of the encircling Ocean. For this reason the antipodes and their peoples formed no part of the historical record, and did not feature on maps with a primarily historiographic function. Instead, they were represented as part of a tradition of zonal maps that showed known alongside unknown parts of the world, ecumene and antoecumene. Zonal maps represented a theory of classical geometry that posited the division of the earth into five latitudinal zones: two zones of extreme cold at the far south and north; an equatorial ocean within a central band of extreme heat; and two temperate zones, one in the northern hemisphere, and one in the southern [...] As a consequence, zonal maps showed a band of temperate land in the southern hemisphere, cut off from the temperate zone of the northern hemisphere by a combination of the equatorial region of extreme heat and the equatorial ocean (ibid, p.4, emphasis in original).

Further,

The equivalence of the two temperate zones meant that it was possible, even attractive, to envisage inhabitants of the southern, unknown temperate zone, and in the case of the cosmic vision, to see antipodeans in relation to dwellers in the known world (ibid, p.16).
The figure of the antipodean person, and range of attributes that it came to embody, importantly responded to theories as to the climate and habitability of the regions of the globe outside the known world. Whether these regions were straightforwardly too hot or too cold to sustain human living was an important question in theories about those regions’ potential inhabitants.

The ‘southern, unknown temperate zone’ gradually took the form of the ‘great southern land’, and a fictional object that provided a strong motive force for exploration by the European powers well into the nineteenth century\(^\text{101}\). The possibility that a huge southern continent could be found was in part so significant because it had been theorized as habitable, therefore inhabited, and as possible to communicate with and engage with economically and culturally.

Classical geographical theory proposed the existence of more than one landmass, and more than one ‘other’ of the oikumene. In Crates’ influential contribution to classical zonal theory\(^\text{102}\), a second landmass was proposed that must exist in the northern hemisphere, to balance that of the known world, as well as two corresponding quadrants in the southern hemisphere, effectively on the ‘underside’ of the known world.

Crates’ theory [...] meant that the distinction between the known and unknown worlds could be made not only in terms of temperature (temperate, frigid, and torrid zones), but also in terms of the relations between inhabitants of the various parts of the earth (ibid, p.17).

Thus, the people who were posited as dwelling in the theorized regions of the earth were also divided into categories arising from their theorized

\(^{101}\) As with the case of the Northwest Passage, as we saw briefly in chapter one, the momentum of survey voyages was neither consistent nor swift. See Williams and Frost (1988).

\(^{102}\) As Hiatt notes, Crates (c.150 BCE) wrote an influential commentary on Homer.
geographical relationships to the known world. These categories were termed

perioikoi (around from the known world, i.e. the underside of the northern hemisphere), antoikoi (in the southern hemisphere opposite, i.e. due south of, the oikumene); and antipodes (on the underside of the southern hemisphere). All three other worlds are represented from the perspective of the known, and in all at least the possibility of habitation is assumed. A fourth term, antikthones, was derived from Pythagorean theories of ‘another earth, lying opposite our own’, and tended to be used to refer to those furthest away from, and having least in common with, the inhabitants of the known world (ibid, p.17).

Therefore, the antipodes, in terms of both place and persons, were not straightforwardly a figure of reversal and oppositeness, although these are important features of the abstraction of the antipodes understood more broadly. In relation to this point, it is worth noting Hiatt’s discussion of multiple antipodeans\(^\text{103}\) in classical conceptions of geography. Noting a considerable degree of contradiction and confusion in one influential account, Hiatt identifies a ‘proliferation of antipodeans’ as an outcome of the range of ways that remained open for figuring persons and places in antipodal theory:

> Once the relationship of known world to unknown moved beyond binary opposition between ‘we’ and ‘they’ to encompass multiple unknown peoples, error (in its literal sense) could infiltrate and disrupt analogy. Wandering from one group of unknown people to the next, the desire to explain the totality of terrestrial habitation caused a proliferation of antipodeans: ours, those of the antoikoi,\(^\text{103}\)

\(^\text{103}\) Hiatt, 2008, p.53. A late Antique writer, who speculates on the seasons and climatic conditions in the antipodes.
those of the equatorial dwellers – each the other’s antipodeans. Description here serves to blur and break down differences, to undo, rather than reproduce and reinforce, categorization (ibid, p.53).

‘Oppositeness’ is here figured and extended in three dimensions, as a feature of relations between the known world and its geographical ‘others’ in both the northern and southern hemispheres. The term ‘antipodes’ has come to denote, predominantly, what in Crates’ theory is termed the antikthones, those persons and regions diametrically opposed to the known world. This is the version of antipodal relations that is explored visually in Curtis’s works Message in a Bottle from Ramsgate to the Chatham Islands, 78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South and Antipodes.

While the human or other form of the posited antipodal inhabitants is not visualized in Message in a Bottle, in the tradition of antipodal theory they have been imagined in a range of ways. The theorized inhabitants of unknown regions frequently took the form of fantastical creatures and altered human forms in the pictorial tradition of mappaemundi, medieval encyclopaedic world maps. In the Hereford mappamundi, for example, between the upper Nile and the ocean, the map shows a series of ten strange races, mostly of peculiar physique. They include the people with only one leg and one eye […], those who cannot open their mouth so have to take nourishment through a straw […], those who walk on all fours […] and two peoples with their face in their chest (Harvey, 2002, p.48).

Cynocephali are also depicted, a people with the heads of dogs, (ibid, p.36) usually appearing in India or Ethiopia but in the Hereford map they appear in Scandinavia. While not specific to the geographical concept of the antipodes, these altered human forms figure the wider themes of otherness that mark (cartographic) antipodal discourses.
This manifestation of the conceptualisation of antipodal inhabitants is not the one we see explored in Curtis’ works. The inhabitants remain unseen, yet posited, for the viewer of *Message in a Bottle*, and are not in question at all in *78 Degrees North* or *Antipodes*. In the development of these three works, then, there is clearly a move away from an emphasis on habitation, and the question of inhabitants. However, in *Message in a Bottle*, the selection of The Chatham Islands as the proposed destination of the bottles depended in large part on their being the closest inhabited location to the relevant antipode. As against a notion of sending bottles to a mid-ocean coordinate, here the sending of bottles is proposed as a method of communication, positing a recipient who will, at least potentially, receive the message. That the journey to The Chatham Islands is apparently never completed remains implicit in the work. In this way, the antipodal inhabitant remains unseen, yet posited, by *Message in a Bottle*.

In the case of *Message in a Bottle*, the cartographic abstraction of the antipodes functions not to produce ‘knowledge’ of, but to theorize, distant and unknown persons and their geographical presence. In *Antipodes* and *78 Degrees North*, emphasis on the question of habitation, and the antipodal person, gives way to a concentration on a more thoroughly spatial cartographic rendering of the antipodes.

**Knowledge production and the unknown: the antipodes as terra incognita**

A second contributing element of this cartographic remote viewing, more broadly, is the theme of the ‘non-production of knowledge’ that we saw performed in *Message in a Bottle*. ‘Non-production’ is understood here as the proposing of a setting in which knowledge of distant regions and persons is to be produced, and in which ambiguous visualizations are
produced instead. I use the term non-production to characterize the proposed, and incomplete, communication with The Chatham Islands, as well as the visualizations comprising \textit{Antipodes} and \textit{78 Degrees North}. The photographic pairings depicting two ‘twinned’ locations, antipodal and latitudinal respectively, participate in a strictly curtailed visualizing of their locations. As I argued above, this mode of visualization proposes to generate live viewing, and therefore knowledge, of the cited locations, which it does, but its mode of doing so is situated, partial, addressed to a narrow range of view, and ultimately more emphasising of the relation between the two places than the ‘content’, or particularity, of each place. The antipodal character of the relation itself becomes the emphasis.

To return briefly to another moment of \textit{Antipodes}, in the period since its year of live broadcast, the online mode of presentation has itself begun to break down. Many of the more detailed elements of its functionality have stopped functioning (fig. 34). As \textit{Antipodes} is conceived as a distinctively online presentation, this presents some interesting interpretative possibilities. Viewing the artwork online, in its intended form, performs an analogous viewing position to that of the viewer of the cartographic image per se. One views what is given, what is made available, and is not involved in understanding the production process of the image or the work. This is not the same as asserting that research may not be undertaken, and independent knowledge generated. One can indeed find for oneself a website called http://webcamsdeasturias.com/, which makes available live webcam views from across the principality of Asturias in north-west Spain, including a webcam view of the small fishing port of Tapia de Casariego. In this way, it is possible to learn more as a viewer than is presented in the finished form of the work. What I am concerned to do, however, is to approach what it is that is actually presented to the viewer, and to ask about what is actually visible and knowable in the context of the artwork. Here, knowledge of the appearance of the Port of Christchurch is proposed as being available in the viewing experience, yet ultimately held away from the viewer.
In this way, the visualizations in *Antipodes* come to depict the cartographic relationship, the doubleness or ‘twinning’ itself, to an important extent; knowledge of form rather than content. A spatial understanding emerges from the work, in which a relationship between two places, and a method for forming relations between places, is generated through the use of the cartographic abstractions of latitude, longitude, and the globe. As we saw, a detailed set of criteria conditioned which places could come to be depicted in *Antipodes*, such that the criteria came to be the focus of knowledge production rather than the places in question. Put another way, the mode of viewing itself becomes the (self reflexive) object of inquiry and of understanding.

The performance of the non-production of knowledge, then, emerges as a productive deployment, or mobilisation, of cartographic abstractions. In *Message in a Bottle*, *78 Degrees North* and *Antipodes*, cartographic abstractions (particularly latitude, longitude and the globe form) are used to interrogate cartography’s central epistemological claim – that it functions to
produce spatial and topographical knowledge of the earth broadly understood. Rather than contradicting this claim, I argue that the explorations of cartographic relations articulated in these artworks rather nuance and delimit the focus to a particular, antipodal, mode of abstraction through which cartographic conceptualisation becomes possible. Put another way, this conceptualisation is produced and enabled through the functioning of cartographic abstractions.

I use the phrase ‘non-production’ here to emphasize the way in which, in the three works, cartography’s epistemological claims are not straightforwardly critiqued or refused, but nuanced and extended as I have argued.

Hiatt characterizes the antipodes as a “particular version of terrae incognitae” (2008, p.3), spaces that have been “integral to world maps, located outside of geographical experience, yet not beyond the bounds of geographical reasoning and imagination” (ibid, p.3). More than a process of progressive visibilisation, the “history of cartography is not simply a narrative of the gradual documentation of the earth’s surface; it is also the story of non-places, of lands that are not and never were, but that – often for considerable periods – existed on maps” (ibid, p.3). These ‘non-places’, terrae incognitae, no longer find depiction in the world image after the beginning of the twentieth century. However, the particular cartographic abstraction of the antipodes continues to organize spatial and geographical conceptualisation.

Some clarity is needed in relation to the uses of terminology in this area of discourse. The term terra incognita, with its plural form terrae incognitae, designates lands ‘unknown’ from the perspective of a European production of knowledge of the form, extent and geography of the earth. In this discussion, I am drawing on Hiatt’s work closely as a key theorist of the antipodes, but the term terra incognita is widely used and known, to the extent that it is “now a metaphor” (Hiatt, 2008, p.3) and a brief online search shows a wide popular uptake of the term to name films, albums,
and computer games. As we have seen, Hiatt positions his analysis of the history and conceptualisation of the antipodes as a specification of the much broader historical phenomenon of *terrae incognitae* (2008, p.3). While it is beyond the scope of this chapter to articulate the rich and complex, and often contradictory, histories of European cartographic imagining and reasoning about knowledge of distant lands and their peoples, we can note the capacity of the abstraction *terra incognita* to organize the production of knowledge, by Europe, of its geographical and social others.

In terms of the (medieval) conceptualisation of the earth as a whole, Hiatt further argues that the hypothesized *terrae incognitae* [function] as a necessary element of the whole, but one that inevitably disrupts wholeness. Whether the product of a lectio philosophica, a part of the world image asserted by philosophers, a popular legend, or an element of classical literary tradition that continued to invite adaptation, the antipodes derived potency from their position beyond the thresholds of knowledge, yet within the world. They could not be known, but attempts to show and discuss the world recurred to their possibility because to think spherically was to think of the other side of the world, and to consider its habitation.

(2008, p.115)

In this way, the concept of *terrae incognitae* as such has functioned as a figure and a rubric for positing unknown places and persons themselves, as well as for constituting some of the conditions for this broad practice of theorizing and imagining. Here the antipodes, as a particular form of *terra incognita*, draws its / their capacity to perform ‘potency’ by virtue of taking up the position of ‘beyond the thresholds of knowledge’. To ‘think spherically’ has been a European modality of geographical knowledge production that, for many centuries, has played a central role in both
theorizing and constituting knowledge of the ‘unknown’ and the ‘not yet known’.

A further important term in this area is *terra nondum cognita*, land not yet known, which, for Hiatt, “foretold its own assimilation” (2008, p.213.) He emphasizes the importance of the *nondum*, the ‘not yet’, as signalling both delay and the incomplete, partial character of the world map image (particularly in the sixteenth century) (2008, p.217). The figure of ‘not yet’ was “an imaginative construct that invited mental as well as physical exploration” (ibid, p.213).

In relation to the idea of the unknown and the not yet known, Hiatt’s use of ‘non-places’ may be confusing. As we saw above, Hiatt deploys this term to name ‘lands that are not and never were’. However, the term ‘non-places’ has been made familiar through the work of Marc Augé, who uses it to designate “spaces of circulation, consumption and communication” (1995 / 2008, p.viii) in the twentieth-century context of globalization. The term ‘non-places’ has been further extended by Jim Brogden to embrace the concept of *terra nullius*. Significant debate has surrounded the use of this term, originally meaning ‘uninhabited land’ or ‘nobody’s land’. Having been asserted by Alan Frost as having played a significant role in the legal justification for British dispossession of Aboriginal lands in Australia in the later eighteenth century, more recent debates have refuted Frost’s influential claim that the concept was used in

---

105 See Brogden’s PhD thesis, *Terra Nullius: Encountering the Non-Place*, available at http://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/1918/1/Final_PhD_TEXT_July_2011.pdf, accessed 10 May 2015. As he writes, “My own adoption of the term non-place seeks to broaden Augé’s definition to include those pockets of abandoned land which are very rarely visited, often prohibited and marginalized by the effects of post-industrial decline since the 1970s in England, pejoratively referred to as ‘brown field’ sites, or more commonly – ‘wastelands’” (2011, p.2).
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this way. In the context of the particularly cartographic positing and imagining of non-European lands, *terra nullius* functions particularly in the register of property relations. Where *terra incognita* functions largely to indicate a shared level of European lack of knowledge of a place, *terra nullius* functions at the level of intra-European competition and the assertion of colonial ownership.

**Mapping and photography as technologies of antipodal communication**

A third element in the formation of cartographic remote viewing at issue here is the functioning of both mapping and photography as modes of communicating about and between antipodal locations. As we saw in *Message in a Bottle*, an act apparently of communication – the sending of the bottles – emerged more critically as a performance of the production of cartographic knowledge through the positing of antipodean persons and an antipodean site. In *78 Degrees North*, photography is deployed to enable the compilation of photographic visualizations of pairs of antipodal locations. In this way, visual knowledge of the chosen locations as *antipodal* is generated in a ‘third’, online, space, as well as for viewers situated in galleries. ‘Communication’ does not occur between the antipodal locations then, but rather they function as the source, or the site of image production, for consumption by an undetermined number of discreet, distributed viewers. The same production dynamic is used in *Antipodes*, in which the selected locations function as the content of the pre-determined format in which the locations are to appear.

The antipodes are deployed as a mode of conceiving of distant, particularly southern, regions at a historical stage in which they were physically inaccessible to Europeans. This mode of conceiving of remote regions functions, I argue, as a factor in the subsequent development of the

---

107 For these revisionist debates on *terra nullius*, see particularly Borch (2001) ‘Rethinking the Origins of *Terra Nullius*** and Fitzmaurice (2007) ‘The Genealogy of *Terra Nullius***.
material conditions of transport and navigation. As Hiatt notes, "[s]itched together, the traces of disparate explorations added verisimilitude to the thesis, in existence since classical times, of a vast Antarctic continent" (2008, p.1), the ‘Great Southern Land’ or ‘terra australis’. The seventeenth-century Admiralty conceived of this continent in acquisitive political terms: “that of all the regions of the world remaining unexplored the southern continent merited most attention” in terms of “trade, empire, and national prestige” (Williams and Frost, 1988, p.22). The official desire to figure the Southern continent as a prospective source of wealth was, although not straightforwardly, a strong factor in ongoing exploration of the southern Pacific through the sixteenth to the nineteenth century\(^{108}\). In this way, the cartographic conception, which I argue for understanding as a cartographic abstraction, of the antipodes formed part of the material conditions underpinning the production of imagery of the Southern continent. As Matthew Boyd Goldie argues,

One can imaginatively reach out to [the antipodes] and physically reach them along a number of very different routes, and the antipodes can also reach us. They correspond to us, but they also correspond with us (Goldie, 2010, p.3).

In being remote yet conceivable, particularly in cartographic terms, the antipodes at once functioned in terms of communication, appearing to function initially as a figure for its impossibility. This tension between distance and simultaneity in the abstraction of the antipodes is figured in the presentation of the pairings of webcam photographs in both 78 Degrees North and Antipodes.

Reversal, mirroring, and symmetry

A further theme arising from consideration of Curtis’s three artworks is that of reversal, particularly mirroring and symmetry. As we saw, in Antipodes’ description ‘surprising affinities’ and ‘topographical echoes in the landscape, as well as architectural and cultural similarities’ were foregrounded as part of the official presentation of the project as being centrally concerned with similarity between antipodal locations.

In the context of a cultural history of the concept of the antipodes and antipodean spatial relations, Helen Lucy Blythe identifies a shift in the associations of the term ‘antipodes’,

from a horizontal movement between the eastern and western hemispheres into a vertical one focused on a southern land associated with reversal, mirrors, the impossible or absurd, the fantastic and the foreign (2014, p.8).

Blythe identifies an antipodal discourse in which New Zealand / Aotearoa is figured as Britain’s primary antipode, rather than Australia. Blythe argues that

to emigration reformers in the 1830s, the islands of New Zealand were the literal and figurative geographic Antipodes of England’s own isles [...] illuminating the unique appeal of New Zealand as a reproduction of England, and deploying the ancient associations with symmetry and reflection to promote the land (ibid, p.10).

The abstraction of the antipodes allows scope for figuring relations between more than one part of the globe, and in more than one direction, as we saw above. In this case, New Zealand is able to fulfil the form of the antipodes of Britain in terms of its capacity to both reflect and improve on
the image of Britain. This dynamic also served to position New Zealand as an appropriate and particularly suitable destination for British settlers.

Patrick Langley also cites the ambiguity and oppositionality that is found in *Antipodes*:

The landing page of the Antipodes website shows us a reimagined atlas in which, rather than a totalising, satellite-eyed vision of the planet, we are presented with the coexistence of polarized worlds, one in black lines, one in red, one upright (according to the atlases I was raised on) and the other inverted, with no indication as to which is the ‘real’, privileged worldview. Faced with this ambiguous geography, in which, through a simple act of cartographic collage, our world is turned upside-down, and that which was once singular is now shadowed by its opposite, we are reminded that places are always defined by what they are not, as much as what they are (Langley, 2014).

A broader question of the figuring of sameness and difference also emerges from these considerations of oppositeness, reflection, mirroring and symmetry. This tension appears in *Antipodes* in the doubled photographic images, where the theme of similarity and echoing is at once affirmed and contradicted in the images; they depict similarity at the same time as declaring that the depicted places cannot be any further apart. Hiatt further describes a “state of opposition and mutual relation between known and unknown worlds and their inhabitants” (2008, p.17). Oppositionality is not simply a mode of confrontation, but also a form of ‘mutual relation’.

*Cartographic abstraction as productive*

In light of this discussion of the antipodes in terms of habitation and relations among antipodal persons, the production of knowledge by means
of the generative capacity of the antipodes as a cartographic abstraction, the modes and possibilities of antipodal communication, and the antipodes as a trope of reversal, I want now to draw together some of the key issues in this analysis in terms of cartographic abstraction as a mode of cartographic viewing.

I have identified the cartographic abstractions of latitude, longitude and the globe form as having a productive role in antipodal conceptualisation. The cartographic grid is a distinct, though not separate, abstraction that organizes the spatial concept of the antipodes. I interpret this with emphasis on the organizing and structuring of the viewer via the cartographic abstraction of the antipodes, rather than focussing on inscription of the European ‘other’. This is in part in response to the artworks’ attention to remote viewing, with attention on the subject who is configured as a viewer, and in part in response to the non-presence in the artworks of persons who may be understood to be inhabitants of the viewed places. In Antipodes in particular, the mode in which locations are brought together in the work is based on forming relations on a geospatial basis. The classical and medieval concept of the antipodal relation is assimilated to a new geometrical structuring, and in this way the antipodal concept is de-particularized and globalized – no longer pertaining only to relations between Europe and a fluctuating grouping of Australia, New Zealand, The Chatham Islands, and Oceania.

While the antipodal pairings in Antipodes do include Australia and New Zealand, the sites are spread across the globe, positing a potentially infinite range of point locations on the gridded surface of the globe. Antipodes carries out a generalising of the antipodal form, privileging the abstract cartographic grid as a method for generating spatial relations. The antipodes are now of all places, rather than of only Britain or Europe. However, the cartographic grid is an abstraction arising from European geographical discourse as much as is the abstraction of the antipodes itself. While this mode of spatial conceptualisation is regularising, positing
all parts of the earth’s surface as existing within the scope of one consistent geospatial form, its provenance remains European.

It is this multiple, limitless conception of the antipodes that is evoked in Curtis’ work, and is at once delimited, through the ‘formula’ of selection in the artwork, to incorporate only land areas, and then only those at which a publicly-available webcam may be accessed. Through deploying this strategy, Curtis subverts the archetypical cartographer’s technique of instrumentalized visualization. The antipodal relation emerges as arbitrary, based not in political or social relations between depicted places, but on a Euclidean commensuration of global space; the scopic regime in play in ‘Antipodes’ is operationally, or materially, appropriative. In this way the artwork performs a renewed visibilisation of the spatial relation between conceptually commensurated locations, while resisting any straightforward relationship between an abstracting, cartographic scopic regime and the places that scopic regime renders visible.

Hiatt sees the antipodes as constituting

a representational problem, since, fictitious travellers aside, they cannot be described by first-hand experience. In such circumstances ecphrasis (literally ‘speaking out’, and in its literary usage a self-contained description of an object) is possible only by analogous inversion (there is here), or by the imposition on the antipodes of other spaces beyond the known world – in classical literature hell, and later purgatory and paradise. At the same time any global vision had either to acknowledge antipodal spaces and people or to deny their very existence; ignoring the question was not possible (2008, p.32).

Antipodal theory emerges as a central problem in the remote cartographic visualization of unknown, and distant, regions. The development of a global cartographic vision demands that theorists reckon with the question
of these other regions. In order to do so, it becomes necessary to engage with these unknown regions and persons theoretically, by way of the abstraction of cartographic remote viewing.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, then, in this chapter I have argued for a conception of the cartographic and cultural figure of the antipodes as a cartographic abstraction. Grounding my argument in close discussions of three cartographic artworks by Layla Curtis concerned with visually presenting antipodal relations between places, I have shown that *Message in a Bottle from Ramsgate to the Chatham Islands* (2004), *78 Degrees North, 67 Degrees South* (2007) and *Antipodes* (2013-14) are each concerned with evoking and examining antipodal relations in both cartographic and photographic terms. Analysing each artwork in turn, I identified habitation, a non-production of knowledge, communication, selection, and reversal as key visual and conceptual themes appearing across the artworks as a group.

My primary argument here is that antipodal relations, or ‘the antipodes’ as a cartographic abstraction, becomes a productive factor in the formation of knowledge relating to antipodal locations, on the part of the viewer. The viewing position is structured as one through which ‘knowledge’ is produced of abstractions and abstract relations in the conceptualisation of remote and unknown regions of the globe. In this way, a cartographic abstraction is seen as both central and, importantly, productive in the capacity of cartography to perform a conceptual mode of remote viewing.
Chapter Five

Cartographic signification and soundscape: Bill Fontana’s River Sounding

In this chapter I turn to a close reading of an installation and sound work, River Sounding, by Bill Fontana. I investigate the work using the conceptual framework of cartographic abstraction, established through the preceding chapters, but without positing an abstract cartographic viewpoint with which the work engages. Instead, I bring forward this consideration of a sound work as an opportunity to consider the possibilities, and limits, of engaging with cartographic abstraction in the register of viewing. With this particular analysis, I aim to push beyond the (productive) trope of the viewpoint-as-abstraction and consider some ways in which viewing can be mediated cartographically as well as in the sonic register of an installation work.

Bill Fontana and River Sounding

Bill Fontana (b.1947) is a ‘sound sculptor’ and composer known for his installation-based works that bring contrasting sounds into particular public or built spaces. He studied with the composer John Cage in the late 1960s at the New School for Social Research in New York, and developed an interest in ambient sounds and the combination of sound and sculpture. Fontana describes his method as ‘sculptural thinking’ and his mission as “the transformation and deconstruction of the visual with the aural.” (Fontana, 2010, p.15) Other key works involving sound environments include 'Sound Island' (Paris, 1994), in which he broadcast sounds from the Normandy beaches at the Arc de Triomphe, and 'Speeds of Time' (London, 2005) in which recordings of the internal sounds of Big Ben were played in a gallery.

River Sounding is a site-specific audiovisual installation, prepared for the semi-subterranean light wells and coal holes at Somerset House, London,
15th April – 31st May 2010. Audio and visual recordings were made at different locations along the River Thames\textsuperscript{109}, and broadcast in the light wells, the adjoining coal holes (small unlit rooms opening off the light wells) and the Dead House (a tunnel running under the courtyard of Somerset House, usually closed to the public). The recordings were made at twelve locations along the tidal length of the Thames, using hydrophone, ambient microphone, accelerometer, shotgun microphone and video camera, and include Teddington Lock and Richmond Lock; historic steam turbines at Kew Bridge Steam Museum; a live feed of the Somerset House clock; Millennium Bridge; HMS Belfast; Tower Bridge; John Harrison’s chronometers at the National Maritime Museum; the Thames Barrier; Southend Pier; and a bell buoy and whistle buoy in the Thames Estuary.

Fig. 29. Handout map – ‘The River Sounding Journey’ – side 1

\textsuperscript{109} A contrasting approach to mapping the River Thames is discussed in Chapter Six.
Fig. 30. Handout map, side 2

Fig. 31. Map of recording locations in River Sounding exhibition catalogue¹¹⁰

¹¹⁰ Image captions left to right: 51.431618,-0.323968 / Teddington Lock / Video, Hydrophone, Ambient Microphone; 51.462029,-0.316715 / Richmond Lock / Video, Hydrophone, Ambient Microphone; 51.488973,-0.289764 / Kew Bridge Steam Museum / Video, Ambient Microphone, Accelerometer, Hydrophone; 51.505484,-0.075102 / Somerset House Clock / Live Feed (Ambient Microphone); 51.506525,-0.081754 / Millennium Bridge / Video, Accelerometer; 51.506525,-
Sounds of water, ticking and chiming are heard throughout the installation, sometimes accompanied by video projections in the coal holes and Dead House. The sounds overlap to such an extent that they are sometimes heard in conjunction with their visual referent in the form of a video.
projection, though many other sounds are always present\textsuperscript{111}. The video projections include the wires of Millennium Bridge; water seen through the gap in Tower Bridge; pedestrians and vehicles passing on Tower Bridge; the Thames Estuary bell and whistle buoys and falling water at Teddington Lock.

The viewer-listener enters into the ‘soundscape’ at two possible points, either at the Great Arch entrance, or the courtyard entrance. The Great Arch forms the Embankment entrance to Somerset House, and gives directly onto the pavement beside the busy road. Audio recordings, exhibition signage and an underground video projection mark the opening of the reimagined riverine space of Somerset House at this threshold. Somewhat divided from the main spaces of the installation by the interior spaces, the Great Arch marks the point at which the Thames formerly occupied the underfoot space of the contemporary viewer-listener.

Fig. 34. View from mezzanine level of part of the system of speakers, Somerset House

\textsuperscript{111} It is important to note the simultaneity of the sounds of the installation, which are experienced as overlapping and continuous, in contrast to the visual emphasis of cartography on delimiting, defining, and bounding spaces in a way that is not possible in relation to sounds.
The courtyard entrance offers the viewer-listener the opportunity to descend the stone steps (fig. 38) ‘into’ the imagined former space of the Thames. This descent mirrors points of access to the contemporary river, in the form of sets of steps descending to the river (or the shore at low tide). In this way, the viewer-listener is ushered into, or admitted into, a space that is designated as the physical space of the light wells and lower levels of Somerset House, and the metaphorical space of the prior form of the river.

Fig. 35. View of lowest level of lightwells with exhibition signage, Somerset House. The entrance to Coal Hole 1 is at the right
Within the space of *River Sounding*, the viewer-listener is free to choose their own course among the light wells, the coal holes, and the ‘Dead House’, the rooms and corridors running underneath the Fountain Court. Using the handout map as a guide (figs. 35 - 36), the visitor begins near the coal holes marked ‘1’ (having either descended from the courtyard, or entered from the Great Arch). The coal holes are small, dark rooms, with rough walls and floor, housing video projections and accommodating audio playback of recordings of Teddington Lock (fig. 41). The video projections give abstract views of water behind a structure of horizontal elements, perhaps bars or a metal grille. Rather than a view giving the wider visual context of the water, for example, the river with surrounding land and buildings, or the lock itself, the view is enigmatic and offers the viewer scope to interpret it in the context of the toponym ‘Teddington Lock’ that is associated with the mapped spaces of the installation in the handout map. I interpret the projected video as depicting part of the lock, but without further familiarity with its structure, the image signifies the concept of ‘Teddington Lock’ as a whole.

Leaving the ‘Teddington Lock’ coal holes, the visitor turns the corner to the left. Viewing a long subterranean corridor, open to the sky, three further coal holes open into the left hand wall (see figs. 42 - 43).
Fig. 36. Photograph of video projection onto brick wall of coal hole 1b, seen through gap in wall of coal hole 1a (see handout map)

Fig. 37. Photograph of coal hole 3, showing video projection of cables on Millennium Bridge onto brick wall and pipe
Fig. 38. Photograph of video projection onto stone slabs

Fig. 39. View into coal hole
Although each coal hole is labelled with a geographic toponym on the handout map – 4 Tower Bridge, 3 Millennium Bridge, and 2 Richmond Lock – the video projections offer almost no opportunity for visual recognition of the named locations. This labelling of the spaces of the installation takes place only in the map image, and not in the installation itself (directional signage is included in the installation but interpretative signage is not); therefore the visitor may choose whether to encounter the installation in connection with its map or (and) not.

Fig. 40. View into the Dead House, towards video projection of Tower Bridge

Turning down the corridor into the Dead House, projected video of Tower Bridge is visible on the far end wall (see fig. 45, above). The green-lit corridors, with small side rooms and vestibules, accommodates projections of Tower Bridge and Millennium Bridge, and the sound recordings continue to be heard as the visitor retraces their steps to leave the Dead House, and
emerge again into the daylight of the light wells. The farthest section of the installation comprises a further coal hole projection of Tower Bridge, access via steep stone steps to the mezzanine level (see fig. 39), and a last coal hole housing a video projection of the Thames Estuary whistle buoy (fig. 46).

In my experience of the installation, the whistle buoy coal hole seemed to be a culmination of the experience of walking through the installation. As the last coal hole to be discovered, at the farthest point of the installation, it gave me the sense of having reached the end of the spaces available to explore. It also ‘resolved’ the low, mournful, lowing sound audible throughout River Sounding as the sound of this particular buoy, as the sound came into synchronicity with the video projection.
Leaving the installation requires the visitor to retrace at least some of their steps, to one of the two entrance and exit points of the installation space, either the Great Arch or up the steps to the Fountain Court. Leaving the installation involves leaving the metaphorical space of the ‘river’, or the river as ‘returned’ to Somerset House by River Sounding. The visitor either emerges from the light wells, ascending the steps into the open space of the Fountain Court, or crossing the threshold of Somerset House, through the Great Arch, onto the pavement of the Victoria Embankment, and the noise of the A3211.

**Critical themes in the artworks**

Some important themes emerge from the foregoing discussion of River Sounding, which I discuss in more detail in this section. The deployment, or activation, of ‘history’ as an interpretative context for the work emerged in discussing the work’s premise of reinstating a past form of the River Thames. This past form, or abstraction, of the Thames, was also instantiated through the selection of the sites for audio recordings to be made, which I discuss further below. The question of the installation’s layout is of particular interest in terms of the relationship between the artwork and its object, the Thames itself, rendered through audio and visual recordings, but also through cartographic abstraction. A mode of symbolism is in play between the visual and the aural registers of River Sounding, and their relationship to the viewer-listener’s conceptualisation of the river as the subject of the artwork. I interpret this mode of symbolism in cartographic terms, in order to elaborate an analysis of the cartographic positioning of the viewer in relation to the Thames in River Sounding. In this study, I seek to understand the formation of a ‘viewpoint’ of the visitor within this work in terms of cartographic abstraction, in its construction of a mode of viewing that is immersive as opposed to synoptic. I discuss this in more detail towards the end of this chapter.
Return of the river: deploying history

*River Sounding*’s institutional presentation, in its handout-map (figs. 36 - 37), published catalogue (Blackson et al, 2010), and website[112] branded the artwork with the phrase ‘returns the river to the building’. The phrase was echoed across all branded promotional copy, still echoes through Bill Fontana’s own website, through reviews[113], and re-appears - though interestingly with less prominence and repetition - in the archived web presence of the installation as stewarded by Somerset House. This phrase centres the work’s professed self-understanding, for me as a past viewer, and as a researcher who has latterly carried out more research into the work than I would have chosen to do had I remained as ‘viewer’ only. The idea of ‘return’ foregrounds the building itself, as the physical embodiment of the institution and brand known as ‘Somerset House’, as more than a gallery setting for this installation; it is positioned as a co-constitutor of the work’s meaning, due to its claim to being the privileged site of a material history that is uniquely relevant to the concerns of the artwork.

The Thames did indeed previously ‘inhabit’ Somerset House, in a limited way; when it was built in 1776-1801[114] it was designed to provide a


[113] Writing in the exhibition catalogue, Bill Fontana says that *River Sounding* “will return the river Thames into this building by creating an acoustic journey, that becomes an architectural one, in which the river again enters under the Great Arch and flows into areas of Somerset House that are at the same level as the Thames - the light wells.” (2010, p.14) Reviews of the work were generally very positive and accepted the institutional framing of the ‘return of the river’. For example, Gramophone review, available at http://www.gramophone.co.uk/blog/the-gramophone-blog/listening-to-the-thames-bill-fontana%E2%80%99s-river-sounding “Fontana has returned the river to the building” accessed 22 December 2014; Frieze review https://www.frieze.com/shows/review/bill_fontana/ “The Thames […] returns to the building by means of sound.” Accessed 22 December 2014; the curator Robert Blackson, also writing in the exhibition catalogue, suggests that “Fontana brings the Thames back to Somerset House” (2010, p.26); Whitelaw in the catalogue cites “the reunification of river and building” (2010, p.40).

[114] The original plan for Somerset House was completed in stages, and with changes of architect. For Somerset House’s own account of the history of the building see http://www.somersethouse.org.uk/history/since-the-18th-century
splendid home for a number of government departments, particularly the
Navy Board and the King’s Bargemaster. Access to the river was required
for the King’s Bargemaster, meaning the building was required to open
directly on to the river. This arrangement persisted until the building of the
Embankment in 1864-70, to provide for a new road directly beside the
Thames, sewers and an underground line. As Somerset House’s account
notes,

the introduction of the Embankment had the effect of
distancing the river from the buildings along its north bank,
particularly significant for Somerset House, which had
been designed to rise directly from the water. The new
embankment truncated the elevation of Chambers' masterpiec;
the Aberdeen granite base of the
Embankment Building was concealed by the substructure
for the road, the two Watergates were demoted to being
entrances from the new raised carriageway, and the Great
Arch with its two adjacent barge-houses became
landlocked.115

The sense evoked here - ‘distancing’, ‘demoted’, ‘landlocked’ – is negative
and regretful at the change in the building and its character116.

Accessed 22 December 2014. The Director of the Somerset House Trust, Gwyn
Miles, writing in the River Sounding exhibition catalogue, uses the dates 1785-
1803 (2010, p.5).
115 Available at http://www.somersethouse.org.uk/history/since-the-18th-century,
116 The Director of the Somerset House Trust, writing in the River Sounding
exhibition catalogue, also echoes the sense of the building of the Embankment as
a loss for Somerset House: “Although this radical engineering project improved
communications, transport and sanitation for the city, it cut Somerset House off
from the river and compromised the waterfront design of the building.” (2010, p.6)
This comment is in context with a narrative of Somerset House as a resurgent
cultural centre, a narrative that foregrounds and celebrates Somerset House as
an "architectural masterpiece" (ibid, p.5) with a rich history. Miles emphasizes the
institutional concern with history in the commissioning of River Sounding: “We
were delighted when Bill Fontana accepted our invitation to create a work in
response to Somerset House’s historic relationship with the river Thames. We
The idea of return in the institutional rhetoric of *River Sounding* functions both to justify the validity of the installation, and to evoke a sense, if not of nostalgia, of a notion of belonging. The appeal to a historical justification functions to make the artistic proposition ‘safe’; what I was presented with as a visitor was not flood, destruction, damage, a catastrophic incursion of the river into a protected and important space, potentially making connections to climate change and an attendant politics of the future, all of which might be concepts that I would otherwise have associated with the idea of a river’s presence in the lower level of a building. Not catastrophe, then, not future, but history was foregrounded as the appropriate interpretative context for the work.

I read the rhetoric of returning the river to the building in connection with the installation’s construction of an abstraction of the River Thames. Its history is deployed here in a context of promoting Somerset House as a cultural hub, and it is this ‘activation’ of a discourse of history that contributes to concretizing and stabilizing the abstract category ‘Thames’ as one coherent entity that has demonstrable continuity through time and social and political life in the city, and particularly through its geography.\(^\text{117}\)

I read this call on history, both in the rhetoric and in the work itself, as calling on an earlier instantiation of the specific abstract category ‘Thames’. The moment of the construction of the Embankment marks the point at which the river and building were divided, and the prior moment to which

---

\(^{117}\) In this connection, William Raban’s ‘Thames Film’ (1986) has contributed to the production of the notion of the Thames as a stable entity, able to both incorporate and transcend history. Re-shown as part of the Museum of London Docklands interesting but ultimately incoherent 2013 exhibition ‘Estuary’, Raban commented, “The appearance of the river has changed dramatically in the intervening twenty-seven years but essentially the power of the river remains timeless and will always be a rich source of inspiration for artists.” (Emphasis added.) Available at: http://www.museumoflondon.org.uk/corporate/press-media/press-releases/estuary/#sthash.ZDkUMzx7.dpuf accessed 16 May 2015.
River Sounding ‘returns’, is a loose period ‘before’ the Embankment, which is not specified by the installation or its commentaries.

Therefore, as I experienced River Sounding it was with this loose periodizing factor in mind; some time before the construction of the Embankment, the river took a different form in which it flowed into Somerset House. However, Fontana and exhibition materials are also explicit that it is not the complete Thames that is being invoked by River Sounding, but only its tidal extent, which ends at Teddington Lock in Ham in the suburbs of west London\(^\text{118}\).

The first lock at Teddington was constructed in 1810 and open in 1811, and in the present day ‘Teddington Lock’ denotes an arrangement of three locks, each constructed at different times; a second in 1857 and a third in 1904.\(^\text{119}\) At the time of the Embankment’s construction, then, a lock had been in existence at Teddington for just over fifty years, and two locks there for seven years. Therefore, we may periodize the abstraction at hand, and state that the Thames in its present-day state of mediation through engineering, with the reach of its tides stopped at Teddington, has existed since 1810.

What is being addressed by the artwork is therefore not an all-encompassing, unhistoried idea of ‘the Thames’ but a particularized Thames, specified both spatially and temporally. It extends from Teddington in the west to the Estuary and the North Sea in the east spatially, and from 1810 to the instantiation of River Sounding in 2010 temporally. The deployment, or activation, of ‘history’ as an interpretative context for the work therefore emerges from the work’s premise of reinstating a past form of the River Thames.

Sonic mapping, spatial sound and signification in River Sounding

\(^{118}\) Fontana: “from the Thames estuary to Teddington Lock” catalogue p.14, and “from Teddington Lock down to the Estuary” p.15.
As we saw above, a second critical theme emerges from the foregoing consideration of *River Sounding*. I argue that a mode of signification is in play, connecting the visual and the aural registers of *River Sounding*, and mediating the viewer-listener’s conceptualisation of the river as the subject of the artwork. More than a simplistic ‘restorative’ or counter-hegemonic move is performed in *River Sounding*’s acoustic approach to instantiating the Thames within the installation spaces of Somerset House. The aural register does not supplant the visual register, but rather supplements it. The ‘soundscape’ of *River Sounding* is integral to its spatial and visual modes of signification. Through all three, sound, space, and visuality, the river is re-spatialized within the new context of the installation space. As Denis Wood has argued,

[m]aps are about relationships. In other words, they are about how one landscape – a landscape of roads, rivers, cities, government, sustenance, poison, the good life, […] – is positioned in relation to another. The map synthesizes these diverse landscapes, projecting them onto and into one another (Wood, 2010, p.98).

This projective, and active, capacity of cartographic depiction may also be seen in *River Sounding*’s more literal projection of aural and visual recordings into the installation space. Through both aural and visual registers, the river is rendered in the form of projected images and sounds, which come to stand for the abstraction of the river. Here I interpret this mode of signification in cartographic terms, as the re-spatialisation of the Thames is carried out in part through the cartographic positioning of the viewer in relation to the geography of the Thames.

Bill Fontana has proposed that *River Sounding* presents a form of sonic mapping120, in which sounds take on the symbolic role that cartography

120 Fontana in Blackson et al, 2010, p.14: “River Sounding is a hybrid sound sculpture that combines a large-scale sonic mapping of the light wells with a series of discrete video installations in various chambers off of these beautiful
typically assigns to visual marks appearing in the map image. While I agree with the notion that a form of sonic mapping is in play in the artwork, I disagree with Fontana’s suggestion that this mapping is of the lightwells themselves. Instead, I will argue that the artist carries out a process of sound recording that may be read as analogous to cartographic processes of surveying. The resulting sounds are re-presented in the installation space as a soundscape that, I will show, enacts a dual particularising and de-particularising tendency in terms of the relationships between sounds and some of their visual referents in the video projections. While sound functions cartographically in River Sounding, I see this functioning as engaging processes of cartographic abstraction while not producing something that can be understood as a map. To draw out this argument I turn to Denis Wood and John Fels’ exemplary close reading of the depiction of nature in print maps, below. First, I read the artwork’s official rhetoric of the 'return of the river', discussed above, in connection with River Sounding’s 'return' of the aural dimension to the cartographic abstraction of the Thames as it is figured in Fontana’s work. I therefore consider how this aural dimension, or soundscape, is produced both through recording practices and through published commentary on those practices.

**Constituting the soundscape**

The sounds that constitute the aural dimension of the installation were themselves made through a range of technological mediations.
orchestrated by the artist (and his team). Using video, hydrophones, ambient microphone (including live feed in some cases), shotgun microphone and accelerometer, sounds were recorded at Teddington Lock, Richmond Lock, Kew Bridge Steam Museum, Somerset House, Millennium Bridge, HMS Belfast, Tower Bridge, the National Maritime Museum, the Thames Barrier, Southend Pier, and at two locations of buoys in the Thames Estuary (Blackson et al, 2010, pp. 16-17). The processes involved in producing the sounds that form part of the installation are characterized by more than one writer in the artwork's catalogue essays as having been neutral means of transferring sounds from their source location to the location of River Sounding. Sounds were 'collected', 'heard', 'offered up', 'harvested' (ibid, p. 36), 'assembled' (ibid, p. 3) yet also 'captured', and the Kew Bridge Steam Museum and National Maritime Museum are figured as having the potential to 'yield' interesting sounds.

The process of recording using accelerometers is also characterized as one of revelation rather than construction, of revealing something already in existence: "In River Sounding [accelerometers] have been used to listen in to and record the sounds hidden within architectural structures" (Whitelaw in Blackson et al, 2010, p. 36, emphasis added). This vocabulary is echoed in the promotional poster for River Sounding (fig. 46), which describes the experience available within the installation as a "journey through the hidden sound worlds of the River Thames" (emphasis added).
I note these linguistic choices as they offer a suggestive comparison between the practice of the surveyor and the practice of the sound artist accompanied by technicians. The sounds that are chosen for examination by the artist are figured in the artwork’s discourse as pre-existing their neutral representation through technological means that merely make available to the interested viewer-listener sounds of which they were previously insensible. As with the dual process of (non-critical, or conventional) cartography, first surveying then subsequently ‘representing’, the artist here proposes a comparable dual process of surveying (recording) and subsequently representing the data (presenting the recordings in the installation in a finished form). The step in this procedure that critical cartography has emphatically pointed out is the
selection made by the 'cartographer'. Here 'cartographer' indicates not necessarily a lone, artistic individual who may be understood as analogous to the 'author' or the 'artist', but the larger constellation of persons, institutions, practices and entities that, in combination, produce the map object.

In this broader sense, then, the selection made by the artist-cartographer is not a free choice made by a self-determining subject, but an interested choice made by a combination of parties to the endeavour. The form in which the commission was offered to Fontana in this case may be read as the initial moment in River Sounding's process of selection; Fontana was specifically directed to attend to the historical relationship between Somerset House and the Thames. The artist, like the cartographer or surveyor, has a particular interest, or agenda. I argue that in the selection process, Fontana (or, the Fontana-led authorial constellation, perhaps) has constituted a personal 'Thames' through attending to a careful selection of means by which the form and use of the river has been altered through mechanical or structural intervention.

A brief review of the recording locations of River Sounding makes clear the human-made character of Fontana’s selections. This is particularly relevant as the viewer’s attention is explicitly drawn to the map of recorded locations incorporated in the handout map (figs. 36 - 37) as well as the exhibition catalogue, emphasising each location as specifically the technological mediation that is present there, rather than the location or geographical form being prior and the form of mediation being incidentally at the same location. The information about recording locations is provided to the viewer as part of the experience of River Sounding, and informs the interpretation that may be made of the sounds as they are heard; sounds that, in themselves, will not signify their specific location or nature to most viewer-listeners. I return to this below; but first note some of the significances of the recorded locations. Teddington Lock delimits the tidal extent of the Thames, marking a significant division of the river
that coincides with its location in the western suburbs of London; the lock itself enhances the navigability of the river, marking off ‘London’s’ river as against the ‘Home Counties’ river. Kew Bridge Steam Museum\textsuperscript{121} houses a collection of historic steam turbines that furnished Fontana with “amazing mechanical rhythms and textures” (2010, p.15); this museum forwards a situated history of the use of the river as a source of water for the population of London and its industry, beginning from the construction of the original pumping station in 1820.

The Somerset House clock is heard in River Sounding by means of a live feed, and connects to the recordings of the Harrison chronometers made at the National Maritime Museum; both recordings cite a history of the Thames as a central location in the development of cartography and the expansion of global capital, through the functioning of the Navy Board, referenced by the Somerset House clock, and the state-sponsored project to create the means of finding longitude at sea (achieved by John Harrison’s marine chronometers), so fundamental to the development of maritime navigation in the latter part of the nineteenth century.

Millennium Bridge and Tower Bridge afford ‘navigation’ in a further sense, of persons and land-going traffic, and their selection again draws a particular history into the artwork, with Tower Bridge having been constructed from 1844\textsuperscript{122} largely in response to the needs of capital in the form of the London docks, and Millennium Bridge developed from the late 1990s in response to more ‘cultural’ needs\textsuperscript{123}.

\textsuperscript{121} The Kew Bridge Steam Museum was redeveloped in 2013-14 and re-opened in March 2014 as the London Museum of Water and Steam. Details available at http://www.kbsm.org/our-history accessed 22 December 2014.
\textsuperscript{123} Details available at http://www.londonmillenniumbridge.com/ accessed 22 December 2014. On the ‘bridge’s’ website, Arup Group Ltd characterizes the bridge as specifically linking St Paul’s Cathedral and the Tate Modern Gallery, two major cultural and tourist locations in central London.
Recordings made at HMS Belfast further cite the naval history of the Thames, referring to the Second World War and associated discourses of Britain as a naval power lasting into the twentieth century\textsuperscript{124}. The Thames Barrier at Woolwich Reach has been operational since 1982, and recordings made in the service tunnel of the structure cite further histories of flood management and the needs of commercial capital to be protected from the dangers of the very hydrological system that formed one of the conditions of possibility of the city itself\textsuperscript{125}.

Recordings made at Southend Pier invoke a history of the Thames as a site of tourism, particularly for nineteenth-century Londoners for whom Southend was a resort destination; the pier both forms and marks this history, with its first instantiation in wood open from 1830, and its latter form in iron open from 1887\textsuperscript{126}, this being the form encountered by Fontana. Lastly, recordings of the bell buoy and whistle buoy call upon a history of navigating in the Thames and the North Sea, largely for commercial and industrial purposes, and all ‘navigational aids’ in the Thames are managed by Trinity House, established in 1514 by Royal Charter, having been petitioned for by the shipping industry\textsuperscript{127}. Each recording location, then, is the location of a particular form of technological mediation of the physical river, and the form of mediation engaged with at each location registers particular histories of the uses of the river, and

\textsuperscript{124} Details available at http://www.iwm.org.uk/visits/hms-belfast accessed 22 December 2014. HMS Belfast is stewarded and presented to the public as a tourist attraction under the auspices of the Imperial War Museum.


\textsuperscript{127} Details available at http://www.trinityhouse.co.uk/th/about/detailed_history accessed 22 December 2014.
particular ways in which the river has been rendered as a useful and a knowable entity.

I have indicated these larger histories and narratives that are referred to by the recordings and the maps deployed as part of River Sounding to sketch the larger problem of what may be understood as being signified by these sounds. I argue that the sounds available to the viewer-listener continue to function in their indexical relation to their original sources; that is, the sound of a whistle that I heard and followed through the light wells of Somerset House continues to be an index of the sound made by the whistle buoy at 51.535573, 0.911865\(^{128}\) on the particular day in February 2010 on which Fontana, sound engineer Scott George and producer Richard Whitelaw stepped aboard the Trinity House ship T.H.V. Alert at Harwich, Essex, to search for sounding buoys in the Thames Estuary. As Whitelaw describes,

on a foggy day we travelled out on a maintenance vessel and after some hours we came across these beautiful and lonely sounds. Here, at the most remote location visited, the calling and rhythm of the buoys rose and fell with the waves. The rich breathy quality of their tones was made more poignant by their isolation and continuous unanswered beckoning into the grey void (2010, p.40).

Prior to having read this account by Whitelaw, I too felt myself to be drawn onward through the light wells to reach the ‘source’ of this mournful sound. The place at which I felt myself to have ‘arrived’ at this source, mentioned above, is indicated on the handout map as “5 Coal Hole / Whistle Buoy / Thames Estuary” (fig. 37). In this light well was housed the video projection of the recording made of the whistle buoy (fig. 46), and so at that moment of viewing, the sonic index of the whistle buoy was brought into and encountered in the same spatial location as its photographic index.

\(^{128}\) Blackson et al, 2010, p.17 and handout map (figs. 36 and 37). The catalogue and handout map provide coordinates for each recording location.
As Brandon LaBelle has noted, sounds may become symbolic when “divorced from their geographic particulars and corporeal referents” (2006, p.231) and this process of dislocation is part of the complex of significatory processes at work in *River Sounding*.

Throughout the installation, the “sonic choreography” (Fontana, 2010, p.14) is fluid, with sounds heard throughout all parts of the light wells, coal holes and Dead House, usually without the presence of their visual referent in the form of video projections. At particular moments of experience in the installation, the visual and the sonic coincided.

**Soundscape and cartographic signification**

I want to show that the formation of the soundscape in *River Sounding* follows a process of cartographic abstraction that may be productively analysed through comparison with the visual process of cartographic signification put forward by Denis Wood and John Fels. It is their investigation of how symbolism and signification function in cartographic depiction that I draw on here, and relate to *River Sounding*’s constitution of its cartographic object, the tidal, engineered Thames.

The map is a highly complex supersign, a sign composed of lesser signs, or, more accurately, a synthesis of signs; and these are supersigns in their own right, systems of signs of more specific or individual function. It’s not that the map conveys meanings so much as unfolds them through a cycle of interpretation in which it is continually torn down and rebuilt; […] this is not really the map’s work but that of its user, who creates a wealth of meaning by selecting and subdividing, combining and recombining its terms in an effort to comprehend (Wood, 1992, p.132).
Wood articulates a theory of cartographic signification\textsuperscript{129} that attempts to accommodate and explain how cartographic meaning is generated, at both the level of the individual who reads and interprets the map, and the level of the conventional and social construction of cartographic signs. In order to develop this account, four constitutive levels of intermediate signification are identified, embracing the most ‘basic’ level through to the most complex. As Wood writes,

\begin{quote}
If we intend to explain how the map generates and structures the signing processes by virtue of which it is a map, then we need at least four strata or levels of signification: the elemental, the systemic, the synthetic, and the presentational. (1992, p.133, emphasis in original)
\end{quote}

The elemental level of the cartographic sign is the level of the simplest complete sign, which denotes a ‘distinct geographic entity’ (ibid). Wood offers the problematic suggestion that we may understand distinct geographic entities to be ‘features’, whether they are concrete or abstract. He does acknowledge that a firm identification of ‘features’ presents some difficulty: “this criterion is easily confused […] The elemental map sign operates at the lower bound of the map's content taxonomy, and below this bound reside connotation and characteristic but nothing that can be construed as feature” (ibid, p.134). What comes to be designated as ‘feature’ depends on social assent and convention: “features only exist when we recognize them as such” (ibid, p.137, emphasis in original).

Wood cautions that attempting to find a strict compatibility with linguistic theories of signification can be problematic when dealing with the ways in which graphic elements are able to signify in the map, and this is an ambiguity that I return to below in relation to River Sounding. The ‘feature’

\textsuperscript{129} Wood’s theory is laid out in detail in \textit{The Power of Maps} (1992), chapter 5 ‘The Interest Is Embodied in the Map in Signs and Myths’. The theory is elaborated in relation to his well-known close reading of the 1978-79 ‘Official State Highway Map of North Carolina’, and draws on the semiotic theories of Ferdinand de Saussure, Roland Barthes, Umberto Eco and Eduard Imhof in particular.
is specified more clearly in The Natures of Maps (2008), whereby “[a]t the
*elemental* level, individual graphic marks within the map denote specific
instances or occurrences of preformed conceptual types: a road or
highway, river or stream […] These are the map’s fundamental postings.”
(2008, pp.172-3, emphasis in original)

At the systemic level of signification, elemental signs agglomerate into
‘supersigns’ which are “composed of similar elements, forming systems of
features and corresponding systems of marks” (1992, p.133). For
example, a system of isolines that is deployed across the whole map
image, or a network of city symbols, so that each individual isoline and
each individual black dot (for example) need not be decoded individually
but read as a class of signs all describing the same category of features.

The synthetic level sees systems of signs interact and form meaning in
relation to one another, rather than only in relation to their own constituent
signs. This is the level at which, for example, a river system is signified in
relation to a road system and a mountain system to form a coherent set of
systems that “offer meaning to one another” (ibid, p.133) in the context of a
complete cartographic image.

The presentational level addresses the cartographic image’s multiple
relationships to its context, whether in terms of contextual images and text
on the page of an atlas, on a smartphone screen, “perspex-slabbed
shopping center [sic] guides […] or place mats for formica diner tables.
Presentation is more than placing the map image in the context of other
signs; it’s placing the map in the context of its audience” (ibid, p.141). At
this level, the map is ‘injected into its culture’ (ibid, p.142) and engages in
complex social processes of signification and meaning production. It is
important to note that Wood does not position this taxonomy of signification
as fixed “stages in a sequential process, which, set in motion, moves
inexorably toward a condition of greatest or least integration […] These
interpretive levels are *simultaneous states*” (ibid, p.133). All stages may be
accessed and interpreted by the map viewer.
In their discussion of ‘Nature as system’, Wood and Fels consider how the abstraction ‘nature’ comes to be posited and constructed through maps. To this end, they give a close reading of three maps dealing with – ostensibly – the same cartographic object, the US state of North Carolina. In this context, Wood and Fels develop the theory of signification, briefly outlined here, into an analytical framework of ‘cognitive cartographics’ to more fully theorize the capacity of maps to make ‘postings’, or assertions in the visual code of the map image. The factual claims made in this way rely on intricate processes of prior categorization and generalization, that are not made evident in the resulting image.

The North Carolina maps posit the stable category of ‘North Carolina’ as their object of inquiry, and in the same way, a stable category of ‘Thames’ is posited in *River Sounding*. Working within this category, a further specification is made in terms of the map’s interest; an example from Wood and Fels’ analysis is soil types. Reading the ‘General Soil Map of North Carolina’, a series of coloured areas indicate distinguishable geographic areas of soil types: “The soil polygons themselves appear in the legend as sixty-six entries, organized by province and accordingly grouped into families of like colours. Each entry represents a ‘soil association’ […] Within each provincial grouping of soil associations, these are further grouped based on general soil characteristics and topographic setting, with anywhere from one to eighteen in each of these subgroupings.” (2008, p.170) In this case, the level of this intricate process of categorization of soils that finds expression in the cartographic image is primarily the soil association. As we saw in chapter 1, such detailed modes of generalization are fundamental for cartographic depiction.

Further, “maps like the geologic map or soils map illustrate the systematic deconstruction of the natural world into recognizable and identifiable elements that can be spatialized as cartographic postings of relatively

---

130 ‘Nature as system’ is chapter 9 of *The Natures of Maps: Cartographic Constructions of the Natural World*, discussed in chapter 1.
certain location and extent” (2008, p.172). A posting, in Wood’s terminology, is a claim, proposition or assertion about what is depicted in the map. “What transforms a proposition into a posting is its expression in the sign plane of the map” (Wood, 2010, p.53). The significance of this idea of ‘postings’ is that this offers a framework for understanding how the object of the cartographic image finds depiction in the image. The claim of a posting is that an entity, or ‘feature’, exists in a particular spatial relationship to other features. It is both specifying and delimiting, asserting where the feature is and is not found in the terrain that corresponds to the cartographic image.

The level of detail available in this analysis is useful for my purposes in this chapter, because where Wood and Fels describe a process of cartographic signification, this analysis informs my interpretation of River Sounding as producing an altered, spatialized form that engages with cartographic signification.

I argue that the sound and video recordings, then, continue to index their original locations and circumstances, the specificity of what could be recorded on a particular day, in particular weather, at a particular time. Regardless of the amount of information informing the visitor’s interpretation in the installation, these indexical relationships existed, but did not form part of my perception and interpretation while experiencing the installation. I also argue that in the installation the ‘sonic choreography’ functions to detach the sound-signifiers from their original, particular signifieds, and to re-assign them to a broader, yet still particular, signified, thus coming to symbolize a particular abstraction of, or from, the Thames; Fontana’s selection and construction of a technologically mediated and delimited river. It is in this way that Fontana has performed the cartographer’s role of determining the object to be rendered in the map image. While understanding ‘itself’ to be a sonic sculpture rather than primarily a cartographic artwork, River Sounding re-performs that modality.
of cartographic abstraction through which a particular abstraction of place is formed.

In this way, the ‘soundscape’ of *River Sounding* signifies the particular abstraction of ‘the Thames’ that finds depiction in the work as a whole. While moments of synchronicity between the visual referent and the recorded sound do occur, considered integrally the aural register of *River Sounding* presents a de-particularized range of sounds to the visitor. In contrast, the particularity of the locations depicted in the visual register of the work is secured and reiterated through the inclusion of toponyms in the handout map. The visual register is therefore tied to particularity in a way that the aural register is not. A mode of symbolism operates between and among the visual and the aural registers of *River Sounding*, and their relationship to the viewer-listener’s conceptualisation of the river as the subject of the artwork. I seek to interpret this mode of symbolism in cartographic terms, in order to elaborate a theorisation of the cartographic positioning of the viewer in relation to the Thames in *River Sounding*. I now turn to consideration of the ‘immersive’ character of viewing in this work, and the role of the soundscape.

**Immersive installation viewing and the soundscape**

In this chapter, I seek to move beyond the trope of the viewpoint, and of cartographic viewing, to explore how sound may be understood to function cartographically. *River Sounding* offers the opportunity to consider this question because of the complex interaction it stages between a visual re-spatialisation (of the river) and a sonic re-spatialisation. With this concern in view, I read this immersive ‘sound sculpture’ in cartographic terms although to do so is to read it somewhat askance or against its genre. *River Sounding* was not explicitly positioned, in its manner of presentation, in terms of mapping or cartographic practices; despite presenting the viewer with a handout map with which to navigate the relevant spaces, a cartographic approach to the representation or evocation of spatial experience was not articulated by the official presentation of the work.
However, I have suggested two key ways in which it may be productive to consider the processes at work in *River Sounding* in terms of cartographic abstraction. The first, discussed above, is the way in which cartographic signification is performed in the work, but through recorded sound rather than graphic depiction. Second, to which I now turn, is the way in which *River Sounding* performs a re-spatialisation of the Thames into the installation space. It carries out a re-spatialising, or a spatial rendering, of the river by creating a ‘soundscape’ of the Thames within the spaces of the lightwells.

I argue that *River Sounding* instantiates an ‘immersive’ viewing experience of the abstraction of the Thames. The visitor is positioned metaphorically within the space of the ‘returned’ river, both aurally and visually. The visitor is also positioned immersively in a cartographic sense; the perceived space of the light wells is mapped for the viewer, and so, simultaneously, is the abstract cartographic space of the Thames (most notably in the use of toponyms to label the coal holes). The visitor is ‘immersed’ within the abstraction that, following Brandon LaBelle, I will call the ‘soundscape’.

In the terminology that has developed to analyse and theorize sound art, and creative and experimental approaches to sound as a mode of artistic practice,

‘Soundscape’ refers to environmental sound as found in given places and at given times. As Paul Rodaway describes: “The soundscape is the sonic environment which surrounds the sentient. The hearer, or listener, is at the center [sic] of the soundscape. It is a context, it surrounds and it generally consists of many sounds coming from different directions and of differing characteristics… Soundscape surround and unfold in complex symphonies or cacophonies of sound.” […] the soundscape is that which exists and of which we are a
part, as noisemakers, as listeners, as participants (LaBelle, 2006, p.201).

I take up this active, participative approach to understanding the sonic environment in the context of *River Sounding*. Here, the continual interplay of listening to the aural register of the installation and moving through it, making choices as to where to look and to move, surrounds the visitor in the particular soundscape of *River Sounding*. LaBelle further characterizes the soundscape as “all sounds that flow and get carried along in the full body of the sound spectrum, from above and below audibility” (ibid, p.202). This approach figures the soundscape as a substantial, physical entity, that has existence outwith the human subject. By acknowledging the involvement of sounds not usually audible to the participant, the soundscape is understood as independent of the subject, as possessing a degree of autonomy from the perceptual processes of the listener. LaBelle describes an emphasis on drawing out, or extrapolating, sounds from their place of origin:

[w]hat these artists and approaches underscore is the proximate and the local: found sounds mirrored back to their origin, local sonics amplified through architectural construction, a listening to what is immediately surrounding, in public and private spaces (ibid, p.197, emphasis added).

On my reading, the ‘local sonics’ that are ‘amplified through architectural construction’ here describe the sounds that Fontana renders through sound recording techniques. In the context of ‘acoustic ecology’, “environmental sound, or what acoustic ecology has deemed the ‘soundscape’” (ibid, p.197) is understood as offering the opportunity to connect the experiencing subject with the ‘world’ of sound much more broadly. Indeed, LaBelle argues that attending to sound as energy, in the context of the soundscape, enables the listener to connect their experience with “the earthly whole” (ibid, p.192). Rather than the, notably
cartographic, abstraction of the earth as a whole, I argue that what the
listener is ‘connected’ to is the abstract ‘sound world’ of the Thames. The
sounds experienced by the visitor to River Sounding contribute to the
constitution of a discrete, abstract entity – the cartographic abstraction of
the Thames.

LaBelle differentiates between ‘installation’ and ‘acoustic ecology’:

Whereas sound installation […] works with locational
sound as a bounded geographic space, acoustic ecology
situates local sound in relation to the ecology of the planet,
and the presence of a single sound is understood to
activate the entire field of sound […] to listen to a sound is
to listen to the entire body of the sound world in microdetail
(ibid, p.197).

On this description, I would identify River Sounding as a sound installation
rather than an acoustic ecology, or an approach to experiencing acoustic
ecology. It works with creating sounds that come to symbolize ‘a bounded
geographical space’, that of the tidal and engineered Thames. Rather than
connecting the listener with a concept or experience of the world as a
whole, I argue that, as River Sounding is an installation, the soundscape of
the work involves or immerses the visitor in the cartographic abstraction of
the Thames that is at stake in the work itself. This is a much more
delimited reading than that suggested by LaBelle’s characterisation of
acoustic ecology. For LaBelle, acoustic ecology is concerned with

an aesthetic experience in which listening, environmental
awareness, and global relations come into play. Thus,
composition becomes a form of research conveying
cartographic routes in and through relations to place (ibid,
p.198).
In the specific context of *River Sounding*, I suggest that global relations do come into play, though these relations are cartographic and socio-political rather than ‘global’ in LaBelle’s sense of giving access to a world imaginary. I argue that this is seen in the work’s selection of sites for recording, which favour human interventions in the river as the ‘terrain’ to be ‘surveyed’ by means of sound recording technologies. Thus, the immediate environment of Tower Bridge, the Millennium Bridge, Teddington Lock, and the whistle buoy in the estuary are what is evoked through the soundscape in *River Sounding*. This delimited soundscape, existing only within the spaces of the installation, is a re-spatialized, and miniaturized, form of the Thames.

Indeed, as LaBelle argues,

> these sounds [of acoustic ecology’s artistic and musical works] are given weight by their continual referral to the actual site of their origin: the streets of Vancouver, the flows of the Hudson River, or the array of bird calls taking place in the deserts of the American Southwest make apparent an artistic practice taking place, out there in the fields and deserts, on the city streets, and in the forests, while being transformed, through the particulars of an artistic practice, into cultural objects (ibid, p.198).

Both the soundscape and the visual register of *River Sounding* are ‘transformed’, through Fontana’s artistic practice, ‘into cultural objects’. I therefore affirm LaBelle’s understanding of ‘place-based sound’ as an ‘opportunity’ “to situate a listener within an intensification of immediate experience that expands beyond a point of focus to an environmental situation” (ibid, p.197). I differ with his interpretation in seeing the ‘environmental situation’ that the listener is imbricated with as being a delimited cartographic abstraction rather than a higher level abstraction of a global whole.
LaBelle’s work is helpful in identifying Bill Fontana’s oeuvre as an important exploration of ‘place-based sound’ with a particular emphasis on technological mediation:

Focussing on the work of Fontana will allow for considering soundscape composition that works with the given interferences of technologies and the dislocation of place-based sound. Fontana harnesses soundscape composition’s contradictory tendencies by making complex musical systems that keep place alive even while transposing it onto extremely distant locations. (p.199)

He sees soundscape composition as having ‘contradictory tendencies’ due to the mediating processes of representation. “The recording of place often leads to contrary results, for to bring place to life one has to contend with the interferences of its very representation, mediation, and ultimate dislocation” (ibid, p.199).

I take issue with this interpretation as to what is happening in Fontana’s work, and particularly in River Sounding. LaBelle figures place here as both something that one may ‘bring to life’ and something that is ‘kept alive’ in Fontana’s work, within the same page. Place is incoherently theorized as at once inanimate or dead, and living. My interpretation of River Sounding is more in accord with the notion of ‘bringing to life’, in terms of seeing cartographic abstraction as generative and productive, in contrast to the notion of an essential ‘liveness’ being preserved and re-presented in the artwork. Far from keeping the River Thames ‘alive’ while ‘transposing’ it into the alternative location of Somerset House, I argue that in River Sounding Fontana creates a further abstraction of the river, through the representational registers of sound recording and photography. This abstraction is a new entity, rather than a transfer of something that exists innately within the river. The ‘transposing’ involved is a re-spatialisation of the Thames into the built environment of the lightwells, forming a new abstract space in which the viewer is perceptually immersed.
As LaBelle considers, “in what way does sound inform me of my sense of location, as an immediate and distant geography? And how does such relation form the basis for an artistic project?” (ibid, p.199). I suggest that in *River Sounding*, the visitor is positioned within the ‘immediate geography’ of the light wells, coal holes and Dead House, and simultaneously within the ‘distant geography’ of the tidal Thames. In this way, the experience of encountering the artwork involves becoming ‘informed’ of two senses of location at once, in the built environment and the sonic environment.

I have argued, then, for taking up LaBelle’s term ‘soundscape’ to articulate the sound environment that is presented in *River Sounding*. The installation instantiates an immersive viewing experience of the Thames. The visitor is positioned spatially within the abstract ‘returned’ river, in terms of both the built and the sonic environment. The visitor is also positioned immersively in a cartographic sense; the perceived space of the light wells is mapped for the viewer, and so, simultaneously, is the abstract cartographic space of the Thames (most notably in the use of toponyms to label the coal holes). The visitor is ‘immersed’ within the abstraction of the ‘soundscape’, which performs a new mapping of the spaces of the Thames into the spaces of the installation.

While I suggest that the trope of the cartographic viewpoint is not precisely the way that cartographic abstraction is in play in this artwork, viewing continues to be an important factor, as the visual register of *River Sounding* is experienced simultaneously with the soundscape. The viewer-listener is positioned cartographically in the work, but through being positioned *within* the cartographic space, rather than viewing from conceptually *above* the cartographic space as we saw with the cartographic modes of viewing discussed in the foregoing chapters. Deploying modes of both visual and sonic symbolism, and elaborating a depiction of a delimited geographical object, *River Sounding* positions the viewer within the space of the lightwells and of the cartographic abstraction.
of the river. I see this positioning as a mode of inhabitation of the cartographic space; in contrast to the other forms of cartographic viewing I have considered, which position the viewer outside and conceptually above the viewed space (including in the case of Targets).

In terms of the cartographic re-spatialising of the Thames within the installation, I have identified the handout map as a central means through which River Sounding proposes itself to be a transposition of a delimited section of the Thames into the representational space of the artwork. In the map, numbers are assigned to recording locations, such that location 3, Millennium Bridge, appears in the main light well as well as the Dead House, as does location 4, Tower Bridge. A loose spatial ordering of the recording locations is evident in the two coal holes labelled ‘1’ corresponding to Teddington Lock, and location 5 corresponding to the most easterly recording location, the Thames Estuary whistle buoy. The coal holes and projections evoking Millennium Bridge, Tower Bridge and Richmond Lock are spatially distributed inbetween these two extremities, though their distribution in relation to one another does not correspond to a linear ordering. Their numbering does, however. Location 2, Richmond Lock, is downstream of Teddington Lock, and location 3, Millennium Bridge, is the next chosen point to the east of Richmond Lock. Tower Bridge follows, and, as described above, location 5 is the most easterly geographical location as well as the farthest part of the installation from the coal holes numbered ‘1’.

Therefore, the viewer-listener cannot directly map their own position within the light wells onto the geographical space of the Thames, other than at the named and numbered locations marked on the handout map. In this way, areas of the installation are ‘anchored’ to areas of the Thames, while between these specified areas a more de-particularized space of the Thames is in play – for example, in moments of walking away from one video projection, and before the next comes into view, yet the soundscape is still fully ‘active’ for the viewer-listener. The particularity of the viewer-
listener's position within the installation shifts from close correspondence to looser correspondence with the geographical space of the river. Moments of close correspondence between the position of the viewer-listener and the location evoked through the combination of map, video projection and soundscape, punctuate the experience of the visitor. These moments present a convergence between the soundscape and the visual mode of re-spatialisation in *River Sounding*.

I have focussed on the connections between the spatial, visual and sonic registers of the depiction of the River Thames in *River Sounding*, attending to the distinctively cartographic positioning of the viewer in relation to the mapped object, or place, in this artwork.

**Concluding comments**

In this chapter I have advanced an interpretation of how the critical framework of cartographic viewing may be developed in relation to a sound-based artwork.

Where *River Sounding* remains an artwork in which the visual experience of the visitor is highly significant, the soundscape that it stages offers an opportunity to explore the interplay of sonic and visual registers that depict their object in different ways. I have argued for interpreting some of these ways as cartographic.

Initially alighting on the theme of the ‘return of the river’ that was put forward in institutional copy characterising the installation, I argued that a particular, historied rendering of the Thames is at stake in *River Sounding*. Before considering the ways in which the cartographic object of the Thames is re-spatialized in the work, I argued that what was to be re-spatialized was a particular abstraction based around moments at which the river is engineered, bridged, altered and delimited. We saw this particularly with reference to the work’s taking Teddington Lock as the
western boundary of the Thames in question, as the site of the engineered
limits of the Thames’ tides.

We saw that what is evoked, in this historical register, is a temporally and
spatially delimited abstraction of the Thames, drawn from ‘surveying’ key
locations of mechanical and architectural intervention along the tidal length
of the river.

Second, I argued for reading the sonic register of the installation as
continuing an indexical relationship with the source locations of the audio
recordings. I offered an interpretation of River Sounding in terms of its
presentation of a ‘soundscape’ of the Thames. This soundscape itself was
shown to have a complex and shifting relationship with the visual register
of representation in the work. Through both registers, the visitor is
positioned as ‘immersed’ within a soundscape and a cartographically
constructed conceptual space. I interpret this as a form of inhabitation that
emerges in River Sounding, that is markedly different from the modes of
cartographic viewing from conceptually above that have been explored in
the foregoing chapters.
Chapter Six

From the zenithal gaze to cartographic synoptic viewing: Layla Curtis's cartographic collage *The Thames*

In this chapter, I aim to build on and elaborate the issues outlined in chapter one relating to the constitution of the cartographic synoptic view. I explore this central mode of cartographic abstraction through a close exploration of a cartographic artwork series by contemporary British artist Layla Curtis, in whose work cartography is a recurring focus. I take this approach to exploring the cartographic synoptic view as it enables a focussed reflection on the formation and possibilities for deconstruction, disruption, or perhaps critical deformation, of the viewing possibilities that this mode of cartographic abstraction performs.

I begin with a self-reflexive account of ‘reading’ Curtis’ series *The Thames (from London Bridge, Arizona to Sheerness, Canada)*, with a view to interpreting the work with an awareness of my positioning as a viewer-reader through the abstraction of the synoptic view. I organize the analysis that follows around the concepts of the zenithal gaze, as outlined in chapter one, and collage, as the visual mode through which Curtis engages with disrupting the synoptic view. I therefore discuss Ola Söderström's concept of the zenithal gaze more closely, in terms of elaborating from it to put forward my own conception of synoptic viewing, and the important differences that can be traced between these conceptions. As outlined in chapter one, this argument focuses on the abstract positionality of the viewer, as evolved, in part, through the transition that Söderström traces in the context of urban visualization from the bird's eye view to position of the zenithal gaze. I will argue that this development affords one of the conditions of possibility for the synoptic

---

131 This artwork contrasts with the ‘immersive’ approach taken in *River Sounding*, which positioned the viewer within the cartographic rendering of The Thames. A more in-depth reading of these two works together would be potentially extremely fruitful; however, in the interests of delineating and theorising their functioning in terms of cartographic abstraction, the two works operate very differently and so I explore them in separate chapters here.
view's capacity to form an abstract viewing position that is not possible to apprehend as inhabitable by a potential viewer.

Collage, my second central concept in this discussion, draws on an aesthetic approach to the notions of construction, compilation and synopsis more usually found in discourses of art history and art criticism. As a mode of visual appropriation and reconfiguration, collage is often associated with radicality and critique, sometimes per se, and this stance is also found in conceptions of collage applied in literary contexts as well as, perhaps increasingly in contemporary interdisciplinary arts practices, art production that incorporates sound and performance. I will not attempt to offer a broad survey or assessment of contemporary approaches, in terms of both art practice and criticism, to the critical potential of collage; rather, I will draw out a critical focus on collage as a mode of visual working through which the synthesizing, synoptic capacity of cartographic visualization may be newly understood as a modality of visual abstraction through which the social relations of the abstract viewer to the abstract viewed may be elaborated and critiqued.

To this end, I approach collage as a visual mode through close attention to a selected group of artworks, rather than surveying the art historical canon or the diverse range of contemporary collage practices. I hope in this way to draw out an understanding of cartographic collage as an approach to a more aesthetic critical cartography, that is able to open out questions of abstract modalities of cartographic depiction and viewing.

**Layla Curtis's cartographic oeuvre**

Layla Curtis (b.1975) is a London-based artist who works extensively with cartographic themes, with a particular focus on paper collage. Her work first came to prominence through her inclusion in the 1999 exhibition and catalogue publication of 'New Contemporaries', a platform for emerging artists from British art colleges. Curtis's work to date has featured in a number of solo and group exhibitions and her work features in international
collections, including the Tate, which owns *The United Kingdom* (1999), a map collage work presenting Scotland separated from England along its border and moved into the position of Northern Ireland relative to England. The UK Government Art Collection features two works on paper, *Globe Tracing II* (2001) and *Globe Tracing IV* (2001) (fig. 1), from a series of drawings on tracing paper formed solely of hand-written toponyms indicating locations on the world map without the inclusion of continental outlines, colours or other annotation or depiction. Drawing is a recurring medium in Curtis’s cartographic artworks, with a number of works exploring ‘GPS drawing’ both before and after hand-held GPS devices became widely available. An early work in this mode is *Cab Routes – One Week in London* (2001), consisting of a flash animation of a London cab driver’s drawing of the routes driven around London in one week.
Fig. 43. *Globe Tracing IV* (2001)
More recently, *Polar Wandering* (2006) has returned again to GPS drawing in a more elaborate project, revolving around a 3-month journey to the Antarctic Peninsula, as part of the British Antarctic Survey’s Artists and Writers Programme, and an Arts Council England International Fellowship to Antarctica. The project was originally exhibited in 2006, and in a particularly unfavourable review has been considered repetitive, with Curtis’s oeuvre as a whole, particularly her cartographic works, characterized as manifesting a “track record for pedantry” (Kyriacou, 2006).

GPS drawing is used in this work as a physical method of drawing-by-walking, whereby the artist’s movements during the selected period are recorded relative to the cartographic coordinates of the space, and in this case are rendered as a line drawing indicating only the movement of the GPS-tracker, with all contextual cartographic and visual content excluded from the image. The movement of one entity relative to its own prior and subsequent movement becomes the sole attested content of the drawing; ‘attested’, as the image itself does not contain a means of identifying the methods used in its production. This information is provided by text accompanying the work, for example in the extended title of one *Polar Wandering* GPS drawing: *Bird Island Research Station, South Georgia, 54.008°S, 31.051°W (62 hours 4 minutes 51 seconds; 16.5 miles; ice-strengthened ship, rigid inflatable boat, on foot)*. In this case it is the title that provides a connection between the geographical referent, expressed in multiple modes, and the drawing.

*Polar Wandering* is characterized by Curtis’s website as “a 27,856 mile long, interactive web based drawing embedded with photographs and drawings”, and as “an extensive psychogeographical exploration”\(^\text{132}\). This project and others in a similar vein engage in the generation of cartographic imagery in contrast to the re-appropriation method of

\(^\text{132}\) Available at www.laylacurtis.com, accessed 22.07.15.
producing the collage works. *Antipodes* (2013) is also a mixed media project incorporating generated cartographic imagery, combined with a photographic method of appropriation in deriving photographic images from webcams accessed online; I discuss this work in depth in chapter five in the context of cartographic remote viewing.

Curtis's collage works are of most interest to me in the context of this chapter, as I interpret them as appropriating existing cartographic imagery in such a way as to open out the wider theoretical question of cartographic synoptic viewing and renewed creative possibilities for engaging critically with cartographic viewing.

Early works in collage focus on the UK, including *The United Kingdom* (1999) and *United Kingdom of Japan* (1999), constructed from collaged Japanese road maps. This work displays the frequent theme in Curtis' collages of retaining the form of the altered map, in this case the familiar shape of the British Isles, such that the viewer must look more closely to find that the content does not accord with the expectation established by the initial appearance. *Thames (North, South Divide)* (2000) is also made of maps of Britain, and *United Kingdom of America* (2000) appropriates US topographical maps to once again alter, or detourn, the familiar cartographic form of the British Isles. A number of individual works focus on distinctive American toponyms, such as *What Cheer* (2004) and *Gods Pocket* (2004), as an organizing concept for the selection of areas of imagery, again from US topographic maps.

The cartographic form of the world map projection is also engaged with through collage, particularly in *World State* (2001), and work in series form also emerges as a central characteristic through works such as *United European Union 2002* (2002). *Kielder Water & Islands* (2008) takes a more delimited geographical area for its subject, and focusses on Ordnance Survey maps of Kielder from 2008 combined with maps of the area prior to the creation of the Kielder Water reservoir in 1982. The series I will focus on in detail in the rest of this chapter, *The Thames (from*
London Bridge, Arizona to Sheerness, Canada) (2013) is Curtis’s most recent collage series, commissioned as part of the Thames Festival 2013, and continues her practice of engaging with commissioning bodies to produce responsive cartographic projects. The work was presented to the public as a series of public display boards located in front of the Tate Modern and near Tower Bridge, and also as a series of high resolution downloads made available on each day of the festival. The first image in the series was later realised as a large pigment print in an edition of 80, as part of the exhibition ‘Bridge’ held at the Museum of London Docklands in 2014.

133 The Thames Festival’s website describes the collage: “Focusing on researching the etymology of place names along the river’s shores and tracing their global namesakes, [Curtis] has created an artwork that presents new geographical fictions as well as reflecting the history and far-reaching influence of the Thames.” Available at http://totallythames.org/events/info/drawing-the-thames, accessed 2 January 2016. While The Thames has not been reviewed in the art press, the significance of its collage technique has been commented on: “Curtis collages maps together to make fictional hybrids so this artwork is at once familiar and disturbing, making us think about the influence of the Thames beyond the capital and in the imagination.” Available at http://londonist.com/2013/09/thames-festival-download-an-alternative-thames-map, accessed 26 June 2016. The phrase ‘fictional hybrids’ notes the sense of a new, fictional entity being created from distinct ‘parent’ entities, becoming a hybrid.

Fig. 44. The Thames (Section 1: From London Bridge, Arizona to Salt Island, British Virgin Islands) by Layla Curtis (2013)
'DOG ISLANDS' are the first words I seem to notice each time I look at this image. Right of centre, they are not the largest words to be found in the image - I also read ‘GREENWICH’, 'WEST GREENWICH', 'East Greenwich' and 'BEEF I.'. This image is perhaps the signature image of the series of ten collages, the mostly distinctively recognizable as London, UK, as it features the familiar loop of the River Thames in the east of the city. It is also the image I am drawn to most strongly as a viewer of Layla Curtis's artworks, as my eye has become accustomed to identifying this loop of the river and tracing up from it to locate my home on the map of London. More so than Curtis's collaged interventions in places with which I do not feel a personal connection - such as Gateshead - the immediate details of the changed names, colours, lines and symbols have multiple significances as soon as I start to read. 'DOG ISLANDS' has taken over the usual location of the Isle of Dogs, and while the river retains the familiar shape of the Thames, its toponymy has altered so that I might now call it the 'Northwest Passage', the 'STRAIT OF MALACCA', 'Sir Francis Drake Channel' or 'ORCA BAY'.

The 'Northwest Passage', then, flows through a relatively rural landscape, at least in contrast to the densely built urban landscape usually depicted as existing in the area surrounding this loop of river. An area of city, perhaps interconnecting suburbs, appears in the top left corner of the rectangular image, on the north side of the 'Northwest Passage-Thames'; 'New London' or 'LONDON' is the name of this settlement, which apparently extends to the north and the west, off the edge of this map sheet. It is arranged around the 'MOHAVE MOUNTAINS', or perhaps these mountains act as a dividing landmark for the undepicted local population, with those to the south-west, between mountain and river, considering themselves as residents of a separate town, 'Lake Havasu City'. This is itself adjoined to the small settlement of 'RALEIGH', very close to what
appears to be the most easterly crossing-place of the Northwest Passage-Thames, a road crossing that remains toponym-less at this map scale.

The whole south side of the river remains relatively free of urban development, with the exceptions of a relatively major road connecting with Raleigh, Lake Havasu City and the larger conurbation of London/New London, and a settlement further east called 'East Greenwich', with outlying areas known as 'Old Greenwich', 'North Greenwich', 'West Greenwich Centre' and 'Greenwich Village'. On the north side of the Northwest Passage-Thames lie 'BEEF I.', 'Cyril E. King Airport' and 'Silverton', themselves amid a rural, undeveloped area with almost no symbols or toponyms.

The series of ten collaged images may be pieced together, as 'ordinary', or non-artist, map sheets may be when rendered at consistent scales. Thus, moving from sheet one, From London Bridge, Arizona to Salt Island, British Virgin Islands, into sheet two, From Sugar Island, Maine to Cut n Shoot, Texas, one way to explore and read the altered geography evoked in this work is to follow the course of the Northwest-Passage-Thames sequentially through the numbered images, ending with sheet ten, From Cape Verde Islands to Thames, New Zealand, at the mouth of the estuary in the indeterminate zone where the zone of water demarcated as 'river' comes to an end and gives way to the saltwater zone demarcated as 'sea'.

The sequence may be followed unproblematically until reaching the final four collaged sheets, at which point the conjoined images open out the scope of the area depicted to accommodate the widening (or narrowing) estuary. It is worth noting that this mode of 'reading' the series of collages is not the only approach that could be taken to their interpretation, and indeed no reading of cartographic imagery is so strictly linear as the mode of reading called for in interpreting prose. I explore this sequential approach, then, as a way of structuring my reading in a way that is in sympathy with the order of imagery proposed by the artist, reflecting, as it does, the progressive enlarging and widening of the river channel itself as
Fig. 45. Detail of The Thames (Section 1: From London Bridge, Arizona to Salt Island, British Virgin Islands
it flows into and becomes the sea. Such an appeal to ‘naturalness’, of the mode of interpretation reflecting the form of the object of interpretation, is itself open to critical deconstruction; reversal of direction would be one alternate approach that would continue in an accord with the form of the river, reflecting the flow of the rising tide from east to west, as well as the direction of travel of vessels, cargoes, and knowledges upriver into the centre of the city and of the colonial political formation in the abstract. Other worthwhile approaches to interpretation may be non-sequential, may focus on textual reading and etymological interpretation, or comparative readings of the topography of the cartographically imported areas.

Acknowledging the potential for diverse interpretative approaches, then, positions a sequential west-to-east reading as non-definitive, subjective and provisional, inflected by the present study’s theoretical concern with cartographic capacities for formulating abstract viewpoints.

The collage series was also realised as a series of freestanding display boards, located along the South Bank of the Thames in London, in September 2013 as part of the Thames Festival. Section 1 in particular was repositioned on a series of display boards in positions to the west of the depicted sections of the river. This temporary site-specific mode of presentation disrupts the usual cartographic encounter with public information maps displayed in the area depicted, in order for the viewer to locate themselves deictically in the image – ‘I am here’.
Fig. 46. The Thames (Section 2: From Sugar Island, Maine to Cut n Shoot, Texas)
In section 2, From Sugar Island, Maine to Cut n Shoot, Texas, the river passes south of ‘Prince Albert Sound’ in place of the former Royal Albert Dock, while on its southern shore the towns of ‘DARWIN’, ‘Woolwich’, ‘THAMES CENTRE’ and ‘Riverbank’ can be seen in the area of Plumstead. The river continues through ‘GREAT DISMAL SWAMP’ on the southern side, and a collection of interlinked towns on the northern side named ‘Ford City’, ‘Fordland’ and ‘Ford’ in the location of Dagenham, famous for its Ford production plant. A further area of ‘GREAT DISMAL SWAMP’ appears in the area of Rainham, and slightly further to the south-east Rainham Marshes and Purfleet are detoured as fairly rural areas punctuated by the towns of ‘Riverside’ and ‘Oil City’.

Sheet 3, From Convict's Bay, Bermuda to Tilbury, Canada, follows the river south-east, beneath the absent M25 motorway, to the imported islands of Indonesia. Here ‘Wallace’s Line’ replaces the M25’s Dartford Crossing; this feature refers to the faunal boundary named in 1859 by Alfred Russel Wallace, marking a transitional zone in species distribution between the ecozones of Asia and Wallacea, a transitional zone between Asia and Australia\textsuperscript{135}. The area of London’s ‘transition’ into Kent on the Thames’ southern banks, and Essex on its northern, takes on a division whose significance is ecological and historical in place of a marker, in the form of the M25, whose significance is socio-economic as well as cultural; as London as a major conurbation spreads particularly into Kent and Medway, its boundaries become increasingly indistinct, and the M25 frequently provides a tacit reference point for Londoners needing to demarcate what

\textsuperscript{135} For an account of the Wallace Line see Whitmore (1981) Wallace’s Line and Plate Tectonics. Curtis also refers to the Wallace Line (available at http://totallythames.org/TheThames3 accessed 05.03.2016) and surrounding maps of Wallace-related place names: “Maps of Wallace, Port Wallace, Wallaceburg, Wallace Center, and Russell Lake are clustered around the section of the map that would normally depict Grays – a small town on the north shore of the Thames and home to British naturalist and explorer Alfred Russel Wallace from 1872-76.”
is and is not ‘London’. The ‘INDIAN OCEAN’ extends to the east of the Indonesian island of ‘SULAWESI’, with the dotted red line of the ‘Northwest Passage’ resuming its route eastwards past ‘Wallace Center’, ‘Wallace’ and ‘Wallaceburg’ on the northern banks. Extending into sheet 4, *Pocahontas, Arkansas to Conrad, Iowa*, Tilbury docks are replaced by Tilbury in Canada, whose website asserts that Tilbury was founded in 1887, and is one of twenty-three communities in the municipality of Chatham-Kent\(^{136}\) in the province of Ontario, extending the toponymic relations between Canada and the Thames estuary. The Port of Tilbury, now part of the wider Port of London, was established in the nineteenth century, and is an important location for imports to the UK in the twenty-first century, including undocumented migrants.

The towns of ‘Dickens’ and ‘Pocahontas’ are close to each other on the southern side of the river, and as it turns to the north, a number of small settlements named ‘Conrad’ repeat across the topography. Turning eastward onto sheet 4, *Petrolia, Canada to Shell Beach, Massachusetts*, the theme of petrochemical industry finds expression in a cluster of towns on the northern shore named ‘Oil City’, ‘Oil Field’, ‘Oil Trough’ appearing multiple times, combined with ‘Esso Oil Terminal’ just offshore of the area twice-named ‘OIL’, itself facing, across a subsidiary river, a settlement named ‘Gas’. The ‘Northwest Passage’ continues eastward as the river widens into sheet 6, *From Admiral Cove, Canada to Colony Texas*, where the route of the ‘Northwest Passage’ bifurcates into an area of strong naval associations and imported islands; one branch of the ‘Northwest Passage’ here leads to the eponymous ‘Admiral Cove’, itself conjoined with an island marked ‘NAVAL RESERVATION’ and ‘National Defence’, while the main route diverts to pass south of a small cluster of islands named ‘Duke of York Arch’ – an imported group of uninhabited islands named ‘Duke of York Archipelago’ located in the ‘real’ of the Northwest Passage in the Nunavut region of northern Canada. These are joined by ‘ADMIRALTY ISLAND’ and the small island of ‘Colony’.

\(^{136}\) Available at www.tilburyontario.com accessed 22.07.2015.
The widening reach of the estuary is now accommodated by doubling map sheets to the north and south, such that sheet 6 is adjoined by sheet 7, *From South End, Canada to Northumberland Island, Canada*, to the north, and by sheet 9, *From Medway, Maine to New London Bay, Canada* to the south. The sequence is completed by sections 8 and 10; sheet 8, *From Drakes Bay, California to Tristan da Cunha* detours Shoeburyness on the Essex coast, and the Thames estuary giving way to the North Sea, while sheet 10, *From Cape Verde Islands to Thames, New Zealand*, depicts the town of ‘Thames’, on the Coromandel Peninsula of New Zealand’s North Island, in the place of the town of Leysdown-on-Sea, Kent.

A number of islands have been introduced into the estuary, and multiple branches of the ‘Northwest Passage’ bound areas of isolines surrounding the ‘CHATHAM ISLANDS’, ‘Coals Island’ and the large island of ‘MONTGOMERY’, itself another end point of one of the routes of the ‘Northwest Passage’. The altered town of ‘Thames’, the most easterly area of land lies on the shore of the ‘FIRTH OF THAMES’, apparently bounded by the ‘Northwest Passage’ as it makes landfall at ‘Thames’, while the gridded, blue ‘PACIFIC OCEAN’ is marked out to the east.

The Northwest Passage now flows out toward the ‘Pacific Ocean’, though not into it; this altered 'Passage' finds its end point not at ‘the East’, anticipated source of gold and spice for early European capitalists and mariners, but at the detoured town of ‘Thames’, imported from New Zealand, and taking the place, as I interpret it, of the coastal town of Margate in Kent. En route to ‘Thames’, the Northwest Passage also bifurcates and is interrupted more than once, suggesting the ebb and flow, so to speak, of interest in the concept of the Northwest Passage in the European imagination through the sixteenth century to the present (Williams, 2002). As attempts are made in the twenty-first century to identify and interpret the effects of climate change in the Canadian Arctic circle, the question of the emergence of a reliable sea route from the Atlantic through the Arctic to the Pacific remains a real one, as patterns of
thawing and freezing are in flux. The presence of an altered Northwest Passage in the cartographic image of the River Thames, then, is not simply an appearance of a specific historical and cultural phenomenon; this complex temporality and history must not be elided in asking what it is that we may understand the synoptic viewpoint of cartography to be synopsizing.
Fig. 47. The Thames (Section 10: From Cape Verde Islands to Thames, New Zealand)
In the present of this study, Thames is described to the prospective visitor by newzealand.com, "the official tourism website for New Zealand"137, in this way:

Explore local history in a colonial mining town on the doorstep of the scenic Coromandel Peninsula. Plan your wilderness adventures over great coffee. Thames is the main centre of the Coromandel Peninsula. The town was formed in 1870 from two smaller settlements - Shortland and Grahamstown - which were founded to support gold mining in the early 1860s. The lure of gold and logging caused the town to grow rapidly. At one stage it looked likely to surpass Auckland as New Zealand's main centre. But, as often happens, the gold ran out and the town's population stabilized.138

In exploring the altered geographies within these collages, and in doing so, wishing to go beyond the vague critiques put forward by reviewers and institutional presentations of the works139, I cannot aim to re-tell an altered history. To do so is beyond the scope of a study on cartographic abstraction, but also, perhaps, not really called for by these images. The cartographic image is the discourse that appears to be initially and most centrally at stake here. However, implicit in the presence here of toponyms from distant reaches of the world map to the metropole, the colonial centre, are historical geographies of imperialism and colonialism that warrant closer attention than being subsumed under a broad rubric of British imperial history. To think in terms of signification, in perhaps a very

137 Available at http://www.newzealand.com/uk/utilities/about-newzealand/, accessed 03.09.2014.
139 For example, the description given by the Totally Thames website states that Curtis has “created an artwork that presents new geographical fictions as well as reflecting the history and far-reaching influence of the Thames” (available at http://totallythames.org/events/info/drawing-the-thames, accessed 26 July 2015).
broad interpretation, the toponyms here come to signify 'imperialism' or 'empire' rather than the particular geographic referent conventionally agreed to be indicated by the toponym - the bay, the town. To focus more closely on the referentiality of the detourned toponymy would provide an opportunity to open out some initial answers to the question of what is happening in this picture.

In an interdisciplinary study such as this, it is worthwhile to attend to some of the approaches and conventions that have been developed in 'other' disciplinary areas informing this research, in particular in postcolonial studies. As Proudfoot and Roche write, "post-modern concern with authorial positionality has been identified as one of the defining characteristics of postcolonial scholarship. Recent commentaries on imperial and postcolonial geographies have argued that it is no longer possible to write from the erstwhile imperial 'metropolitan centre' in an un-reflexive way that ignores the constitutive assumptions of dichotomy and binarism inherent in this positionality (Ryan, 2004). We are adjured to be alert to the constructive nature of difference, even though we may be unable fully to disentangle ourselves from its consequences" (2005, p.2).

**The Thames as the Northwest Passage**

One of the toponymical references that is used throughout *The Thames*, and comes to structure it, is the renaming of the River Thames to 'Northwest Passage'. This 'imported' toponym begins to be applied to the Thames, still thinking in terms of a west-to-east reading, at present-day Blackwall, in Section 1, and the Passage leads, by Section 10, not out to sea but to the New Zealand / Kentish town of Thames. It is not a straightforward matter to indicate what it is that the phrase Northwest Passage names; its most familiar reference must be the European idea that a navigable ocean passage could be found and exploited in the North American Arctic, that would connect the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, and 'open' the Far East and the South Pacific to easy and profitable European
The Northwest Passage has had an extensive existence on European maps beginning in the sixteenth century, when, as Glyn Williams notes, “the successors of Columbus slowly realized the massive, continental dimensions of the new lands across the Atlantic” (2002, p.xv). Where the American continent presented an impassable barrier for ocean-going exploration (ibid), the possibility for discovering a feasible passage for shipping remained as a productive cartographic and geographic fantasy into the nineteenth century. For Williams, the name

in time would carry emotive implications, of men and ships battling against hopeless odds in a frozen wilderness

[...]The main features of this vast region were named after these explorers: Davis Strait, Baffin Island and Baffin Bay, Frobisher Bay, Hudson Strait and Hudson Bay, Foxe Basin, James Bay. Once those names stood like hopeful signposts on the maps, pointing the way to the Pacific; but for all the endurance and bravery of the navigators there was no way through (ibid, p.xvi).

One thing that is signified by the label Northwest Passage is exploration itself, despite frequently proving to be of secondary or tertiary importance in the orders given to expeditions (Vaughan, 1994, p.65, 67 and Williams, 2002). Exploration and discovery were not pursued as ends in themselves. Instead, the desire for opportunities to generate profits led interest in the Northwest Passage: “The desire for discovery for its own sake played no part in their thinking [...] the Northwest Passage promised an almost magical enlargement of British trade” (Williams, p.151). To assert, then, that the ‘names stood like hopeful signposts on the maps’ is to occlude the larger question of what end this de-personalized notion of hopefulness may be directed to, and for which readers of maps these toponyms may be received as a sign of positivity, optimism, indeed,

140 The two key accounts I draw on in this section are by Glyn Williams (2002) and Richard Vaughan (1994).
hopefulness. For the reader of the contemporary ‘map’ presented in *The Thames*, the phrase ‘Northwest Passage’ may no longer indicate a historic concern of geographers, but may at once appear as a present reality through search results such as: “On 26th September 2011 the Royal Huisman 36m explorer motor yacht Arcadia finished her east-west transit of the Northwest Passage.”¹⁴¹ Climate change is shifting the behaviour of Arctic sea ice, such that the navigability of the Northwest Passage is now no longer (only) a generative myth but a practical reality¹⁴².

At the level of signification, then, the status of what we may take to be the signified remains subject to change. Altering the cartographic depiction of the River Thames through the introduction of this ‘other’ toponym offers multiple interpretive possibilities that I think must be held in play simultaneously; there is an element of ‘return’ in the name generated by European geographic discourse appearing in a depiction of one of the key locations from which that discourse was disseminated, a suggestion that the mythic and fantasy may play important roles in understandings and visualizations of place, an interest in the capacity of naming to call into being the thing named, and whether and how disruptions may be posed to the aesthetic and representational coherence of the cartographic image of the Thames.

*The zenithal viewpoint in cartography*

¹⁴¹ Article available at http://www.charterworld.com/news/royal-huisman-36m-superyacht-arcadia-northwest-passage, accessed 20 April 2015. The article goes on to detail the itinerary of the superyacht: “Royal Huisman 36m superyacht Arcadia covered a total of 3,278 nautical miles, of which 1,587 were completed between Ilulissat and Cambridge Bay, and a further 1,691 between Cambridge bay and Nome, Alaska – beautiful yacht charter destination. The route from Pond Inlet took in Bylot Island, Devon Island, Prince Leopold Island, Fort Ross (Somerset Island), through Bellot Strait to Jenny Lind Island, Cambridge Bay (Victoria Island) and on to Nome Island via Banks Island, Beaufort Sea, Chukchi Sea and Bering Sea.”¹⁴² The presence of the Northwest Passage as a toponym in *The Thames* also suggests a further association; at a local, non-mythic or fabled level, Northwest Passage is the name of an inlet at Lord Howe Island, in the southern Pacific Ocean, off the east coast of Australia.
Having discussed an approach to reading and interpreting *The Thames*, I want to turn to consideration of the cartographic viewpoint under scrutiny in this chapter; the cartographic synoptic view. I want initially to consider in detail the concept of the ‘zenithal view’, or ‘zenithal gaze’, which is an idea forwarded by Ola Söderström and that is taken up subsequently, and reasonably influentially, by John Pickles in the broader context of the cartographic conceptualization of space. I focus on the concept of the zenithal view in order to articulate the ways in which I conceive the ‘synoptic view’ as distinct from Söderström’s concept. A key difference emerges between the zenithal and the synoptic in terms of embodiment, whereby, as I will argue, the zenithal gaze has helped to foster a range of ways in which its elevated viewing position may be ‘inhabited’ by technological forms. Interrogating the cartographic synoptic view in close connection with the zenithal gaze enables a definite distinction to be made between the two modes, and a clearer reading of what is at stake in Curtis’s pictorial disruption of the synoptic view.

Writing in 1996, Söderström’s article ‘Paper Cities: Visual Thinking in Urban Planning’ introduces the concept of the zenithal gaze in the context of the historical development of urban planning, arguing for understanding urban planning as a modality of visualization that becomes able to both construct the city as an abstract object of contemplation and analysis, and to operate on it as a material disposition in space. Highlighting urban planning’s central reliance on visualization for its practical efficacy, Söderström claims that “[t]he enabling faculty for the realization of this operation [organizing objects in space] is vision” (1996, p.250). Söderström seeks to take “seriously in its full complexity the question of the ways urban development and the modalities of representation of the city mutually structure each other” (1996, p.250). Representation is understood as a constitutive practice, necessarily bound up with producing and authorizing abstract methods for conceiving of places in their particularity, in order to operate on those places, to have both physical and conceptual effects.
In his *A History of Spaces*, Pickles repeats Söderström’s methodological approach of proposing three ‘boreholes’ – perhaps more mundanely rendered as case studies – to afford a closer focus on significant moments in the development of “a series of essential bifurcations in the modalities of visualization of urban space” (1996, p.251). Söderström argues that a transition may be clearly identified from the ‘bird’s eye view’ images of cities that were widely circulated through the sixteenth century, to an increasing incorporation of a more abstract mode of viewing – conceptually – from directly ‘overhead’ the viewed area, with a corresponding gradual decrease in the use of oblique viewing angles, or anamorphosis.

I join with Söderström’s assertion that “[w]hat interests me in representations is the actual work that they do […] They ‘process’ reality according to a system of procedures comprising selection, schematization, and synthesis” (1996, p.252, emphasis in original). This emphasis on attending to the ‘work’ that representations, and modes of representation more broadly, can do is also prominent in Harriet Hawkins’ work, *For Creative Geographies*, and I take up both Söderström’s and Hawkins’ emphasis on work, ‘external efficacy’ (Söderström, 1996, p.252) and the creative possibilities that can be enabled through close questioning of what it is that we may understand to happen in the course of engaging with visual representations. One central way in which representations do work in the world is through their “persuasive power […] – in other words, the capacity for certain representations to win over public opinion” (Söderström, 1996, p.252). Söderström argues that the abstract mode of visualizing urban space as though seen from above all points at once is so naturalized that the procedures and choices that have shaped its production are no longer evident, and the ‘public opinion’ emerges in which such abstract visualization becomes “taken for granted” (ibid, p.259).

Söderström describes a radical shift in urban visualization as being brought about through the combined effects of Alberti’s and da Vinci’s innovative approaches to urban graphic depiction from the fifteenth century and into
the sixteenth. Alberti’s instructions for the reconstruction of a geometrical plan of Rome re-introduced mathematical techniques for depiction of the city, and thereby “(re-invented the geometrical plan which constitutes what we now commonly take to be a city plan” (Söderström, 1996, p.256). This development was decisive in that it “in some ways marked the end of the evolution in planimetry from the ideal to the specific” (ibid) and was primarily “concerned with the physical materiality of the city and no longer with its symbolic meaning” (ibid). The further transition in this period was from Alberti’s geometrical and perspectival visualization to da Vinci’s ‘ichnographic’ visualization, in this case of Imola, produced in 1502.

‘Ichnographic’ is usually used to differentiate from ‘perspectival’, and indicates

a city […] represented as if viewed from an infinite number of viewpoints, all perpendicular to each topographical feature. Such a view is not to be seen in reality; it is an abstraction requiring a high degree of skill to measure and record. Ichnographic plans constituted a new conceptual attitude toward the representation of cities, in which quantitative topographical relationships were given visual priority over both symbolic values and the actual appearance of the city. In ichnographic plans the abstraction of physical reality resulted in an image conveying selective information about a city which other kinds of plans were able to represent much less accurately (Pinto, 1976, p.35).

This type of city plan entailed greater accuracy in depicting the locations and ground plans of buildings such that metrical distance between depicted features is preserved, as well as relative size and orientation of these features (Söderström, 1996, p.256). This distinction is perhaps more consequential for Söderström’s particular focus on urban planning as a
Fig 48. A plan of Imola (1502), Leonardo da Vinci
mode of visualization; for the purpose of identifying the significance of both of these mathematically-constructed forms of visual abstraction in inaugurating and naturalizing cartographic synoptic viewing, I turn to Söderström’s discussion of the shift from bird’s eye views of cities to what he terms the zenithal gaze; which I further refine into the synoptic view.

Söderström asserts that while the techniques for producing ichnographic and planimetric\textsuperscript{143} depictions were known from the sixteenth century, in terms of public familiarity and widespread distribution, the far more common form of urban visualization was the bird’s eye view (Söderström, 1996, p.259). Jacopo de’ Barbari’s bird’s eye view of Venice from 1500 is frequently cited as a particularly characteristic and influential instance of the form. While Söderström notes that “as with Alberti’s plan, Jacopo’s view presupposes an astonishing level of abstraction, since no single point of view could possibly furnish anything approaching the overview provided” (ibid) by this image, it nonetheless appears to convey the vision of a bird of prey, albeit one that “not only […] contemplate[s] the city from on high, but it also scrutinizes the architecture and layout of the canals down to the minutest detail” (ibid). This form of viewing already performs a significant degree of abstraction, as it homogenizes particularity; the bird’s eye view “totalizes a plural experience, concentrating into one gaze a multiplicity of particular visions of the city” (ibid). Söderström sees ‘zenithal viewing’ as describing a conceptual shift away from “situated representation – that is to say, one which admits its own point of view and its selective and partial nature – to a representation which erases the traces of its own mode of production by claiming to exhaust the reality to which it refers […] whilst geometrical representation claims to be a mimetic double of the represented city” (ibid, p.260).

\textsuperscript{143} Planimetric views de-select for depiction variations in height on the mapped surface. See Monmonier (1996) pp.32-33. “A planimetric map compresses the three-dimensional land surface onto a two-dimensional sheet by projecting each point perpendicularly onto a horizontal plane” (ibid, p.32). That is, the planimetric view posits a regular two-dimensional plane – the surface of the globe formed by the cartographic grid – as an abstract form to underlie the variety and difference of the three-dimensional surface.
The transition that is at stake, then, between ‘bird’s eye’ viewing and ‘zenithal’ viewing is not straightforwardly a progression, either chronologically or in terms of an increasing complexity of the constructed viewpoint. Rather, it is a subtle yet consequential shift toward the naturalization of the various modes of abstract viewing, as though from directly above the viewed area; these views – the ichnographic city plan, the zenithal gaze - are governed by abstract mathematical principles that totalize the urban space, and move away from particularity and imaginatively-embodied viewing. Söderström characterizes the naturalization of geometrical cartographic depiction as a paradoxical process, since it entails a sudden shift of the gaze from the horizontal and oblique, in the case of perspective, to the vertical and consequently much less ‘natural’ viewpoint of the geometrical plan. If the horizontal gaze places itself at the level of the citizen and authorizes empathy, the zenithal gaze literally places itself at an abstract level, since the viewer must abstract or extract him- or herself from everyday, ordinary experience of urban space in order to understand it. (ibid, p.260)

It is this placing of itself at the level of the abstract that I wish to argue is the characteristic conceptual move that is made in the transition to the zenithal gaze. I hope it is more than a terminological point to seek to further extend Söderström’s zenithal concept into that of the synoptic; in doing so I aim to make conceptual room for the zenithal view to be both widely generalized to cartographic depictions that address all types of space, rather than the closely urban focus of Söderström’s concept, and to assert a stronger claim for its abstracting capacity. To be more particular; I suggest that the terminology of the zenith does not go far enough in evoking the highly de-particularized, dis-embodied and thoroughly abstract viewpoint that is inaugurated through the transition to ichnographic or synoptic cartographic depiction.
The term 'zenith' itself describes the highest point of an arc, and so evokes the movement through space of an object or body from which viewing may conceptually ‘take place’; in the synoptic viewpoint, I argue, it is this very ‘taking place’ that is left behind, so that synoptic viewing explicitly cannot be coherently associated with the concept of an embodied form of viewing, even if such conceptual ‘embodiedness’ may rely on the notion of the bodies of planets or god(s). I also propose this extending of the zenithal concept in order to acknowledge the importance of the transition from forms and practices of oblique viewing into forms and practices of increasingly abstract ‘vertical viewing’; the terms that lend themselves to this form do not seem to me to adequately capture the scope of its resolutely disembodied quality of abstraction. Ideas of viewing ‘from above’, ‘vertically’, of viewing from ‘directly overhead’ or from the highest point (including the zenith) all describe views that may be – even if ‘only’ conceptually or imaginatively – inhabited by a viewing body, whether animal, machine, or hybrid (‘drone viewing’ or the ‘drone’s eye view’ is discussed in chapter five). What I see as distinctive, and consequentially so, about the synoptic view is its resolute departure from the conceptual possibility of inhabitation, or embodiment. In designating the synoptic view, I aim to open out the opportunity to scrutinize what we may understand this viewpoint to synopsize, and I develop the argument, below, that approaching this question by way of a close engagement with cartographic art shows that cartographic viewing comes to synopsize time as well as space.

In order to direct this discussion toward these wider questions, I first consider collage as both an artistic method, deployed particularly in Curtis’s cartographic artworks, and as an epistemological approach to developing new knowledges and deconstructing or detournig existing materials and sites of knowledge production.

**Collage as artistic and critical method**
Martha Rosler has characterized collage, as an artistic method, as “a productive fiction” (Rosler, 2007, p.96). Without wishing to over-burden the concept of collage to the point of a loss of its usefulness, in this section I aim to foreground the understandings offered by various contemporary artists of their own experience of both making collage and feeling and thinking the importance of making collage. I aim to take seriously the senses of violence and aggression that artists find in collage-making, without uncritically valorizing these experiences and intentions. In this study, I see collage less in relation to notions of artistic self-expression and overt political critique, and more in relation to the silent and naturalized epistemic violence that much visual discourse, particularly cartography, is able to enact and support, particularly in the context of colonialism-imperialism as is prominent in Curtis’s series *The Thames*. A ‘productive fiction’ would also be a useful description of ‘the map’ itself.

Thomas Hirschorn has emphasized the sense of subjective interpretation that artists find in collage:

> A collage is an interpretation. It’s a true, real, entire interpretation, an interpretation that wants to create something new. Doing collages means creating a new world with elements of this existing world. [...] I want to break the scale and I want to break the angles and the perspective. I want to put the whole world into my collages. I want to put everything in, the whole universum. I want to express the complexity and contradiction of the world into a single collage. I want to express the world that I am living in, not the whole world as the entire world but as a fragmented world (Hirschorn, 2007, p.44, emphasis in original).

The desire Hirschorn articulates to both 'put the whole world' into his collages and also to express this world as a 'fragmented' one resonates with my reading of *The Thames*, as fragments of the geographical and
cartographic 'world' are collaged into a 'new world' - a phrase that becomes more significant in the context of Curtis's incorporation of toponyms, in particular, relating to European ocean voyages of exploration and colonial incursion in the Americas, given the epithet 'new world' by a European culture that arrogated to itself the entitlement to bestow such labels (as with Williams' 'hopeful signposts on the maps'). Hirschorn also uses 'world' here to signify both the fullness and extent of the geographical world, and the personal sense of all that is experienced, known and thought by an individual; both of these worlds are characterized as fragmentary, complex and difficult to apprehend. He continues:

It's about the entire History and not just a single fact. With my work, I want to reach, to touch History beyond the historical fact. The question is always: What is my position? The question is about myself, today. I want to confront the chaos, the incomprehensibility, and the unclarity of the world, not by bringing peace or quietness nor by working in a chaotic way, but by working in the chaos and in the unclarity of the world. [...] The images that I use in a collage are an attempt to confront the violence of the world and my own violence. I am part of the world and all the violence of the world is my own violence, all the wounds of the world are my own wounds. All the hate is my own hate (ibid, emphasis in original).

Although Hirschorn is not commenting on cartography here, nor explicitly on epistemic violence, he articulates what I interpret as an interest in both acknowledging and claiming a stake in a generalized notion of violence as a condition of being, making imagery, using language, and explicitly appropriating and altering images that are the product of the labour (both manual and intellectual) of others. In the context of cartography, the process of appropriation and reconfiguring through paper collage necessarily involves cutting, dismantling and effectively destroying the
physical object; I understand the non-appearance of these processes in
the resulting collage to provide an analogue of the epistemic violences that
are productive of the original, often celebrated, cartographic image.

Rosler emphasizes the quality of 'unlikely' juxtapositions leading to a sense
of contradictoriness in the resulting evocation of space:

Collage, for me, suspends the perceiver between the
possible and the impossible or the unlikely. I am interested
in all kinds of collages, but the kinds that interest me most
draw attention to spatiality, to the spatial dimension. That
can mean an improbable relation of the fragments not only
to each other but possibly also to the space within the
frame, creating a 'no space' or a contradictory one (Rosler,
2007, p.96).

While I have interpreted *The Thames* as producing something like Rosler's
'improbable relation of fragments' on an initial encounter, the relation of
cartographic fragments, I argue, gives on to a different sense of coherence
than that proposed by the 'non-artistic' cartographic image, that perhaps
may be characterized as a coherence arising from an explicit
acknowledgement and claiming of relations of mutual imbrication between
and among places, histories and knowledges; a claiming that the protocols
of non-artistic cartographic description forecloses. As Rosler continues:

The frame’s open edge sends the viewer out again,
unclosing the finality of the work, which becomes a
proposition in which one plus one leads to something
entirely different. The presence of intruding elements
within a scene, for example, writes a whole new view of the
world and its workings. For those who care to make a
diagnosis, the montage invites you to perform an analysis,
but there is always a simple shock of collision to begin with
(ibid).
The 'shock of collision' that Rosler here ascribes to collage in general is reversed in Curtis's map collages, I argue, including *The Thames*, as the collages retain an initial appearance of a familiar cartographic aesthetic recognizable from topographic maps, and it is only on closer scrutiny that the images give way to ‘intruding elements’, “rupturing the surface with the previously hidden, ripping a seam into a seamless tale” (ibid). While I do not take up Rosler's terminology here, her emphasis on time is significant, and I discuss the role of time in *The Thames* in more detail below:

I prefer the word montage to collage because it suggests an additional dimension, that of time; and time can also be bent, extenuated, or abridged. Montage [...] suggests sequences held together by things other than glue. It is not for nothing that collage (montage) was declared the quintessential medium of the twentieth century, but we seem to have simply extended the franchise forward. It is a truism that fragmentation besets modernity, and collage/montage is a symptom, a strategy, and a form of resistance (ibid).

John Stezaker, who works with found photographs and postcard images in his numerous collage series, suggests perhaps a more nuanced approach to the vantage point that may be constructed, and critiqued, through collage as a mode of critical image production:

The challenge was to represent the vantage point of the consumer rather than that of the producer of images, and to evolve a practice that never departed from the position of the consumer whilst somehow betraying something of the strangeness of that vantage point (Stezaker, 2007, p.116).

This position is also in question in *The Thames*, and Curtis's cartographic works more broadly, as the mode of collaging and thereby appropriating
existing imagery is not at once assimilable to a straightforward binary of consumption as against production. An ambivalent position is performed in relation to the appropriated material, as the artist both consumes - indeed, destroys - while at once seeking to produce and reproduce altered meanings and effects.

**Synoptic viewing as collage**

Having considered collage as an artistic mode of production per se, I now wish to bring this discussion into the context of how cartographic synoptic viewing may be understood in relation to, and as itself, a mode of visual collage. I suggest that the synoptic view, itself the signal cartographic view, operates by means of compilation, combining together data, depictions and knowledges that often find their genesis elsewhere – not just an ‘elsewhere’ of the given image as a unified entity, but many ‘elsewheres’ invoked by and arising from processes carried out at a wide range of times and by a wide variety of agents. These processes include gathering survey data, selecting data ranges and types, appropriate modes of symbolization and generalization; the processes of production of all cartographic imagery.

Laura Kurgan offers a close reading of the well known 'Earthrise' and 'Blue Marble' images of the earth from space (see Kurgan, 2013, pp.8-13), and I turn to her discussion briefly here in part as an account of the ways in which the fundamental cartographic technique of compilation is concerned in more than synoptic viewing. Kurgan identifies an exemplary case study of photographic-to-digital compilation in the succession of Blue Marble images produced from 1972 through to 2012. As Kurgan describes,

> Earthrise is a photo of the Earth taken while orbiting the Moon. It is a perspectival view - the foreground offers a sort of ground and seems to suggest the position of a viewer, so that you can almost imagine being there, looking across the lunar surface. The Blue Marble is
perhaps more unsettling, because it is without perspective, a floating globe, an abstracted sphere, something like a map (2013, p.9).

I would depart from this reading of *The Blue Marble* as an image 'without perspective', as it remains, at this stage, an image produced via a single photographic apparatus located in one position in relation to the photographed planet. The image employs a single-point perspective, while still achieving the effect of being 'unsettling' in depicting the earth in isolation from its relationships with other bodies, such as the moon in *Earthrise*. A further pairing of images was released by NASA in 2002, known as *The Blue Marble 2002*, this time "a composite image stitching together quarterly observations" (ibid, p.10), and another pair in 2005, called *The Blue Marble: The Next Generation*. The lack of clouds in the 2005 images is striking - only "cloud-free" (ibid, p.11) satellite imagery was used in compiling these elaborate composite images of the earth. Compiled from imagery gathered during 2004, the image is particularized even in the course of its generation through the abstracting process of compilation, such that it functions at one level as a depiction of the earth in the specific period of 2004. The final pair of images to date is known as *Blue Marble Next Generation 2012*, and further refines the particularizing thrust of the 2005 pair, in compiling imagery generated on a single day, January 4 2012 (ibid, p.12), with the consequence that clouds are also reinstated into the global image. "These versions are not simply photographs taken by a person traveling [sic] in space with a camera. They are composites of massive quantities of remotely sensed data collected by satellite-borne sensors" (ibid, p.11).

The 21st-century images in the series are all digital composites, which continue to employ a simulation of single-point perspective as they also introduce increasing levels of compilation of imagery taken at different times, and all retain the cartographic convention of orienting the image of the world with north to the top. Kurgan argues that the images' "afterlife is
indicative of an important shift in the way we represent the planet - and the political stakes of those representations" (ibid, p.10), particularly as the images' modes of production are much less publicized than the images themselves. At a glance, and without contextual information, while we may imagine ourselves to be viewing a photograph

image number AS17-148-22727 [the original Blue Marble], handcrafted witness to earthly totality, in fact what you're seeing is a patchwork of satellite data, artificially assembled - albeit with great skill and an enormous amount of labour. This is not the integrating vision of a particular person standing in a particular place or even floating in space. It's an image of something no human could see with his or her own eye, not only because it's cloudless, but because it's a full 360-degree composite, made of data collected and assembled over time, wrapped around a wireframe sphere to produce a view of the Earth at a resolution of at least half a kilometer [sic] per pixel - and any continent can be chosen to be in the center [sic] of the image (ibid, pp.11-12).

The later images draw on the aesthetic precedent, established in the first Blue Marble, to depict the earth as a lone entity against a black ground. The original image, which was a 'true', or at least non-compiled photograph, made this 'lone earth' format available for the subsequent compiled digital images, conferring something of its claims to an authentic, revelatory viewing experience on the images which, as Kurgan notes, render 'something no human could see with his or her own eye'. The 'viewed' earth has here been first rendered into the form of data, which is subsequently manifested in the form of an image 'wrapped around a wireframe sphere'. The details of when, and with the involvement of whom, this data is generated is not part of what is brought to visibility in the image as an entity that is circulated and reproduced. Indeed, the complex
technical processes of the production of these images are not retained in the images, particularly in that they continue to reproduce a photographic aesthetic without being photographs. It is in this point that Kurgan's account of the Blue Marbles is helpful in marking out a distinction between compilation and collage. Collage broadly names images made up of other images that continue to retain some distinctiveness or identifiability of the original images. By contrast, compilation renders the compiled into a newly coherent image, and does not overtly retain the traces of its method of making.

While compilation is, as I have suggested, a fundamental cartographic process, it need not take the form we see in the perspectival renderings of the global image discussed by Kurgan. In the synoptic view, compilation is directed not at simulating perspective, but rather at removing it altogether. The visual production of any apparent point of view is eschewed in the synoptic view, in favour of the simulation of viewing from directly overhead all parts of the viewed area simultaneously. Where the later Blue Marbles 'wrap' data around an underlying spherical form, aimed at depicting the earth as a sphere, in the synoptic view data is assimilated to an underlying regular grid, aimed at depicting the earth as a regular surface.

The distinctive characteristic of the synoptic view is the extension of the conceptual aerial viewing position to address all parts of the depicted area simultaneously as though viewed planimetrically from directly overhead. This mode is already thoroughly abstracted from any corporeal mode of viewing from above, which necessarily involves perspective and anamorphosis. In synoptic viewing, anamorphosis is excluded, such that a highly coded and thoroughly abstract viewpoint becomes the most naturalized and characteristic mode of viewing associated with cartography in general.

I have noted the characteristic, evident in cartographic imagery, to occlude depiction of the process of its own production in its final form; a quality that is also central to the mystificatory nature of the commodity form within
capital, which I discuss in more depth in chapter seven. A key aspect that is elided in this production process is time; the synoptic viewpoint appears not only to view all spaces of the physical area simultaneously, but also all times. It can also claim to view a more delimited timeframe than all time. For example, if we specify that the world map projection as a generic image visualizes the world's landmasses and oceans in configurations that are themselves historical, then instead of interpreting the synoptic view (that is at work in the world map projection) as viewing all times simultaneously, we can interpret it as depicting a delimited span of some millions of years. Where each individual cartographic image that uses the synoptic view delimits the visualized timeframe, I suggest that the synoptic view as an abstraction performs the capacity to synopsize and incorporate time into the cartographic image. A further mode through which time is occluded is the conventional non-selection of variation in natural light for cartographic depiction, and the time involved in generating survey data for inclusion in a single cartographic image does not appear in the cartographic image. Thus, the interpretation of the synoptic view as giving visual access to a unified present of the depicted place is misleading. The depiction is necessarily, and complexly, composite.

Rosenberg and Grafton’s history of the timeline, Cartographies of Time, addresses approaches to the depiction of time including events. Where the timeline “appears as a graphic instantiation of history itself” (2010, p.244) I argue that the synoptic view demotes and disavows the role of time, and the instantiation of history, in its images. Describing a twelfth century diagram depicting three eras in time (ibid, p.58), including the future, Rosenberg and Grafton note “the synoptic power of his [Joachim of Fiore] maps of time” (ibid); in this case, ‘synoptic power’ is used to denote a certain ‘melding’ of “the uniform, year-by-year time of the world chronicles with the more irregular genealogical version of time” (ibid). In this case, then, ‘synoptic power’ is the capacity to ‘meld’ two incommensurate modes for depicting and conceiving of time. My usage of synoptic in terms of cartographic viewing is compatible with this use but not
the same; rather than ‘compiling’, or ‘melding’, two incommensurate modes of visualization, the synoptic view carries out a more extreme and versatile compilation and commensuration of conceptual viewing positions, data, and forms of graphic depiction. As I have suggested, this composite, compilatory, and commensurating character of the synoptic view is operative at more than one level of abstraction.

The non-appearance of crucial aspects of the process of production in the resulting image has relevance for a much wider range of visual production than cartography in particular. In terms of the synoptic view and its relationship to collage in The Thames, I suggest that what is distinctive about collage’s capacity to explicitly draw attention to its own process of production is the attention it is able to focus on cartography’s own complex processes of production. In this light, I turn to discussion of ways in which we may interpret, or de-code, not only the abstract space of The Thames, but also, vitally, its time.

Metropole and colony: implication, co-constitution and contamination

Colonial identities (beyond the binary formation of colonized and colonizer) have been seen as mutually constructed (Bhabha 1994), as have colonial geographies. As Julie Codell describes, “metropole and colony, however convenient an abstract dichotomy, were never fixed or discrete but always overlapping and intersecting” (2003, p.16). Visuality is also identified as deeply involved in the relationships between Europe and its colonies, as Sumathi Ramaswamy argues in terms of “the mutual implication of the global overseas empires of Europe and modern regimes of visuality and their reciprocal constitution” (Jay and Ramaswamy, 2014, p.1). Without wishing to follow the potential down-playing of the physical and epistemic violence in colonial and imperial domination to be read in these uses of ‘mutual’ and ‘reciprocal’, I do wish to take up the emphasis on implication and construction. A deep sense of connection and embeddedness between artworks, as active representations, and the world in which they operate emerges from contemporary discourses in colonial and
postcolonial theory, notably articulated by Edward Said in the context of the relationship of the novel to "its historical world" (Said, 1994, p.13). Said claims that, "understanding that connection does not reduce or diminish the novels’ value as works of art: on the contrary, because of their worldliness, because of their complex affiliations with their real setting, they are more interesting and more valuable as works of art" (ibid, emphasis in original). I take this point to bear on works of art much more broadly than the novel, and to be particularly suggestive in the context of cartographic art that engages so directly with the 'complex affiliations' between cartographic imagery and changing conceptions of the world that is evoked and operated on by such imagery.

Once we loosen our notions of representations as mimetic and move to a more dynamic, creative and constitutive understanding, identities, and, further, places, may now be considered beyond the rigid terms of binary formations, to be recognized as complex, shifting, and open to re-constitution and re-negotiation. Codell notes the profound influence on Britain of “the influx of ideas, fashions, culture, and food from the colonies” (2003, p.16), and further that, “[a]s Raymond Williams has shown in The Country and the City, relations between perceived ‘centers’ [sic] and their peripheries generate constant conflict, appropriation, and re-modelling, to produce what Simon Gikandi calls ‘a culture of mutual imbrication and contamination’” (ibid).

The term ‘contamination’ is arresting in the context of cartography’s epistemic violence, and 'radical' cartography’s affirmative stance toward the ‘recovery’ of peripheral and marginalized perspectives, geographies and knowledges (see chapter one). The idea of 'contamination' can perhaps act as a marker of opposition to the affirmative thrust of some critical cartography towards 'recovery' and counter-mapping (see also chapter one), pointing up the discomfort that often attends the confrontation with discourses, and artworks, that manage to unsettle the interpreter rather than simply to affirm and illustrate ideas that are already
held. Where much cartographic art may reasonably be adjudged to rehearse critically familiar notions of countering hegemony, representing the peripheral and writing more inclusive and politically correct histories, I find in the case of The Thames (though not all of Curtis’s cartographic oeuvre) that while this criticism is to some extent applicable, the work opens out further questions of the implications of such ‘mutual imbrication’.

In the particular case of The Thames, we see the erstwhile ‘colonial centre’, London, and more particularly its river, visually re-constructed by the geographies and spatial practices of colonialism-imperialism. This notion is perhaps least problematic in relation to identities and cultural practices that may appear to be straightforwardly immaterial, but more complex when applied more 'literally' – that is, materially - regarding place. On one reading, The Thames series is a picture of precisely the colonial centre, the river flowing out from the heartland of England via the waterways of the world to the locations, becoming visually present by way of their toponyms, that came to constitute the geographical empire itself. British history and geography therefore undergo a "continuing renegotiation in the erstwhile imperial heartland" (Proudfoot and Roche, 2005, p.5).

The image of the river and the city that emerges from The Thames may be interpreted as quaint, harmless, decorative or didactic, but I argue for an interpretive approach that emphasizes the material constitution of the colonial centre dialectically - materially - through its geographies. Roche and Proudfoot characterize this co-constitution in terms of ‘material flows’: "as sites of identity and interaction, these colonial places were also partly constituted by their relationship with other places, and were connected to them by discursive flows of information, knowledge and belief, as well as by more material flows of capital, commodities and labour" (2005, p.3).

When viewers of The Thames attempt to engage with the altered toponymy to be found in it, we are presented with a range of interpretative directions that we could pursue to inform ourselves about the geographies and histories at stake in this artwork. Wanting to take seriously the variety
of circumstances in which a contemporary work of this kind may be viewed, I want to attend to (though not to labour) the positionality of my own viewing and interpretation, as a viewing subject who lives and thinks in the depicted 'erstwhile imperial heartland'.

**Our partial positionality**

[T]he British empire integrated and fused things within it, and taken together it and other empires made the world one. Yet no individual, and certainly not I, can see or fully grasp this whole imperial world (Said, 1994, p.4).

The river, the city and cartographic image of ‘empire’ are all synopsized into a new image, opening out a new discursive opportunity for reconsidering and further nuancing the mutual imbrication, the complex and dynamic concatenation and reinscription, of periphery and centre, colonized and colonizing, and perhaps mapped and mapping subjects. Homi Bhabha has emphasized the importance of thinking and engaging ‘beyond’ binary formations, and poses the notion of ‘beyond’ in the context of his reconsideration of multiculturalism:

Political empowerment, and the enlargement of the multiculturalist cause, come from posing questions of solidarity and community from the interstitial perspective. Social differences are not simply given to experience through an already authenticated cultural tradition; they are the signs of the emergence of community envisaged as a project - at once a vision and a construction - that takes you 'beyond' yourself in order to return, in a spirit of revision and reconstruction, to the political conditions of the present (1994, p.4, emphasis in original).

While I do not claim that *The Thames* in any way illustrates this framing of the multiculturalist project, it is the importance of the notion of a
conceptual movement 'beyond' oneself, and one’s present conditions of visual interpretation, that I apply to the cartographic reconfiguring undertaken in this artwork. Bhabha uses the notion of ‘beyond’, in the context of multiculturalism and cultural hybridity, to describe a process of ‘revealing’ the constitutive discontinuities in our collective self-understanding:

'Beyond' signifies spatial distance, marks progress, promises the future; but our intimations of exceeding the barrier or boundary - the very act of going beyond - are unknowable, unrepresentable, without a return to the 'present' which, in the process of repetition, becomes disjunct and displaced. The imaginary of spatial distance - to live somehow beyond the border of our own times - throws into relief the temporal, social differences that interrupt our collusive sense of cultural contemporaneity. The present can no longer be simply envisaged as a break or a bonding with the past and the future, no longer a synchronic presence: our proximate self-presence, our public image, comes to be revealed for its discontinuities, its inequalities, its minorities. Unlike the dead hand of history that tells the beads of sequential time like a rosary, seeking to establish serial, causal connections, we are now confronted with what Walter Benjamin describes as the blasting of a monadic moment from the homogenous course of history, 'establishing a conception of the present as the "time of the now"' (ibid, pp.5-6, emphasis added).

A state of what could perhaps be thought of as ‘rupturedness’ designates the status of the present; Bhabha’s remarks return me to Rosler’s characterization of the potential of collage, quoted above: ‘rupturing the surface with the previously hidden, ripping a seam into a seamless tale’. Ramaswamy also argues that "the image interrupts and intervenes,
disturbing the discursive field of colonial and postcolonial studies" (2014, p.5). The descriptive terms are very resonant: 'blasting', 'rupturing' and 'ripping', 'interrupting' and 'disturbing'. When brought into the new context of cartographic collage, they speak to the counter-violence enacted on the level of the physical deconstruction of the original paper maps that the artist has cut, and the toponymic and locational re-ordering that is at once 'revealing' and aggressive. In considering my positionality as a viewer in the metropole, who looks for the location of 'home' in the image called The Thames (Section 1: From London Bridge, Arizona to Salt Island, British Virgin Islands), I draw on Ramaswamy's characterization of Sunil Agnani's idea of 'hating empire properly', adapted from Adorno's notion of 'hating tradition properly':

hating empire properly is a peculiar combination of an antagonistic relationship to empire, alongside a (tragic?) immersion in it, 'a subtle form of inhabitation'. This form of subtle inhabitation of antagonism and immersion, of hating and (tragic) loving at the same time, is especially true for our postcolonial encounter with empire's images, many of which remain objects of great beauty and value (Ramaswamy, 2014, p.13).

Rather than revealing or indicating, the cartographic image The Thames takes up a more 'antagonistic' role in performing its own 'postcolonial encounter with empire's images', rupturing, disturbing and interrupting. This disturbing does not only operate at the level of the image's toponymy, but also at the level of the synoptic view deployed in the image. Multiple cartographic grids underlie the multiple cartographic fragments that disturb the picture of London, such that the exclusivity and stability of the singular cartographic grid is 'ruptured'. Multiple, overlapping grids now constitute the image. The synoptic view, then, synopsizes this overlapping, multiple surface, and presents rupture and multiplicity without disrupting the aesthetic unity of the 'original' map image.
In the case of the display board realisation of some images from *The Thames*, the viewer is standing in the metropole in order to view these public images, without having the deictic experience of being positioned conceptually within the viewed image – here the viewer stands near, being able to view, the river, while looking at a depiction of another part of the 'same' river. Both the river that is viewed physically and the river that is engaged with via the image answer the toponym ‘River Thames’. The image of the downstream river is in one sense 'collaged' into the visual space of the river as experienced by the physically-present viewer.

I cite Bhabha, Rosler and Ramaswamy in the spirit of disruption and often discordant renewal that is customarily identified with collage as an artistic practice, per se, and similarly ascribed to practices of counter-mapping (discussed in chapter one) as a whole. I do so in order to support my argument that critical cartography is itself in need of critical re-assessment and expansion. As a sub-disciplinary area of the sub-discipline of critical cartography, cartographic art is often called upon to straightforwardly illustrate or support critical positions that are primarily enacted in the form of written discourse. In this register, artworks are instrumentalized for what they can be claimed to show, say, and reveal about cartography itself.

However, I follow here the commitment argued by Ramaswamy, that "images are not mere illustrations or passive reflections of something already established elsewhere through the vast verbal archives of these modern industrial empires [...] The image is a site where new accounts of empire, the (post)colony, and Europe itself emerge that depart from - even challenge - the more familiar narrative line(s) of nonvisual histories" (2014, p.3). It is in this register that existing accounts that continue to seek to deploy the image as standing in for something else critically fall short. These framings continue to position the image per se, and the cartographic image in this case, as primarily having the capacity to reveal, expose or replace something that is not the image, and whose importance is constituted in discourses that are non-visual. In his discussion of the
‘cartographic uncanny’ in Curtis’s work, Matthew Hart proposes that Eyal Weizman’s critical work, for example, “reveals the imperial violence of the cartographic imagination” (2006, p.43), and further that a collage by Curtis may “replace much wordy theorizing about the interpenetration of nations, histories and markets” (2006, p.45). Without aiming to overlook the relevance of Hart’s critical approach, I find in these remarks a reductive satisfaction with the critical ‘gesture’ of ‘revealing’. I argue instead that what may be of more critical value in responding to and thinking with such works is their productive engagement with the broadly abstract modality of cartography, which I am referring to in this study as cartographic abstraction.

**The Thames and cartographic abstraction**

Emerging from the foregoing discussion of *The Thames*, the zenithal gaze, the synoptic view, collage, and positionality in relation to the cartographic image are several points that are worth elaborating.

First, I have identified a development, at the conceptual level, in the move from the bird’s eye view to the zenithal gaze. This transition is a subtle yet consequential shift toward the naturalization of the various modes of abstract viewing, as though from directly above the viewed area. The move from perspectival viewing to fully de-positioning abstract viewing appears to eschew symbolism, but comes to install a new abstract viewing regime that figures the earth as a uniformly viewable and knowable surface. The transition that is at stake, then, between bird’s eye viewing and zenithal viewing is not straightforwardly a progression, either chronologically or in terms of an increasing complexity of the constructed viewpoint.

Second, I have argued that the development of the cartographic synoptic view, from the ichnographic plan, means that the synoptic view ‘places itself at the level of the abstract’ (in Söderström’s terms). The cartographic synoptic view thus enacts a resolutely disembodied and disembodying
viewpoint, one that can no longer be conceptually 'inhabited' by an embodied viewer. This move away from particularity and imaginatively-embodied viewing is a decisive move towards a more 'totalizing' and abstract form of conceptual viewing.

Third, I suggest that the cartographic mode of production of abstractions, specifically of the cartographic synoptic view, itself undergoes a productive de-structuring in *The Thames*. As Ramaswamy argues, "the image interrupts and intervenes, disturbing the discursive field of colonial and postcolonial studies" (2014, p.5). In this way, *The Thames* interrupts and intervenes in the discursive field called up by this set of cartographic images. The claim of the synoptic view to offer a unifying, organizing image is disrupted here by the inclusion / appropriation of multiple synoptic images into one image.

Fourth, the synoptic form of cartographic visualization may be newly understood, particularly through considering collage, as open to interruptions, new layerings of images and their geographies. Rather than 'replacing wordy theorizing' and being regarded as existing to reveal or indicate something *about* imperialism-colonialism or *about* history, the cartographic images of *The Thames* perform a visual de-stabilizing of the authoritative claims of the synoptic view. This de-stabilizing does not reject or endorse the terms of synoptic viewing but seeks to enlarge and disrupt its possibilities.

**Conclusion**

I suggest, then, that what is at stake, and what is critically worthwhile, in approaching specific cartographic artworks as well as cartographic art as a growing genre, is not quite what they or it may *reveal* about the functioning of cartographic abstraction as a modality of real abstraction. Rather, I suggest that the cartographic mode of production of abstractions, specifically the series of cartographic viewpoints under analysis in this study as a whole, itself undergoes a productive de-structuring and a de-
stabilizing in *The Thames*. The cartographic mode of forming the synoptic viewpoint is re-enacted here with a critical orientation towards disruption, defamiliarising, and violence, as against the conventional orientation of non-art cartography towards producing coherence, legibility and interpretative stability. The multiple histories and geographies that are themselves dialectically produced by, and productive of, imperialism-colonialism are here rupturously assimilated – synopsized – into a renewed cartographic formation, the synoptic viewpoint of which no longer produces a monolithic coherence, objective knowledge, or an authoritative vision. The altered synoptic viewpoint performed in *The Thames*, rather, produces a multiple coherence, ambivalent knowledges, and a cartographic vision eschewing of authoritative claims.
Chapter Seven

Towards cartographic abstraction: a material modality of thought and experience

In this chapter I outline some proposed relationships between the modes of cartographic abstraction at work in the formation of a complex range of viewpoints that are both deployed in, and themselves problematize, modes of cartographic viewing. I revisit and develop some of the issues identified in chapter one as the theoretical concerns that I take up from critical cartography. I then rearticulate my theoretical proposals in the more particular area of the abstract viewpoints that I argue cartographic depiction instantiates and enacts. Through the close readings of critical cartographic artworks in the preceding chapters, I have identified a range of critical approaches to cartographic viewing. I now seek to develop the theoretical aspect of cartographic abstraction further. In order to do so, in this chapter I want to take stock of the suggestions and conclusions about cartographic abstraction that have come out of the analyses of abstract viewing positions, and draw from them a working theory of cartographic abstraction.

In the second part of the chapter, I address a series of issues arising from more philosophically, and particularly materialist, accounts of abstraction. Here I articulate a trajectory of thought engaged in theorizing the materiality of abstraction, and interpret cartographic abstraction in terms of real abstraction, or, in Alberto Toscano’s formulation, ‘materialism without matter’ (Toscano, 2014). I connect my theory of cartographic abstraction to existing debates about abstraction in relation to Marxist and materialist approaches to philosophy. I demonstrate the relevance of critically approaching the Marxian-informed concerns with ‘visualities’ and the production of appearances in connection with commodity fetishism and the exchange abstraction. I suggest that this exploration of cartographic
abstraction, grounded in interpreting artworks, gives access to a more
detailed account of the functioning of real abstraction in the contemporary
social formation.

*A theory of cartographic abstraction, cartographic viewing, and
viewpoints*

Throughout the previous chapters, I have put forward a series of analyses
of abstract viewing positions and how they are constructed through
cartographic techniques of depiction. In this section, I return to considering
the various viewpoints that have been examined so far. I take the
opportunity here to re-examine the concept of the ‘viewpoint’ with which I
have been working, and to position it in relation to cartographic abstraction.
I suggest that the viewpoint as an abstraction is one feature, or mode, that
we may find at work in cartographic abstraction. Considering it in
conjunction with forms of cartographic viewing that do not work in quite the
same way will allow for a fuller theorisation of cartographic abstraction.

Questions of vision, visuality and the constitution of “visual subjectivities”
(Ramaswamy, p.4) have been central to the field of visual culture, as well
as being important to art history’s concern with perspectival practices of
depiction. In placing close readings of artworks at the centre of my
approach to theorizing the functioning of cartographic abstraction, I both
draw on, and diverge from, established lineages of research addressing
the relations between viewers and viewed objects. As Sumathi
Ramaswamy argues in a postcolonial register, “empire and art – or more
broadly, power/knowledge and visual subjectivities – are mutually
constituted and entwined, both in the colonies and in the metropole”
(Ramaswamy, p.4). I draw on this recognition of ‘entanglement’ in the
constitution of visual subjectivities in the theory of cartographic abstraction
put forward here. It is worth briefly considering the deep existing
relationships between modes of abstraction and the production of
subjectivities, and to position the theory of cartographic abstraction in
relationship to these established areas of scholarly concern.
Critique of Cartesian perspectivalism

Cartesian perspectivalism has come to be seen as the predominant, and hegemonic, mode of visuality of Western modernity. Emerging with Renaissance visual art and strengthened through Descartes’ positing of a geometrical and completely regular form of space existing objectively outside the mind of the observing subject, this form of visuality privileged and constituted a sovereign subject. Perspectival techniques aimed at rendering three-dimensional objects convincingly on a two-dimensional surface, using the framework of a cone or pyramid of lines extending away from the implied viewer to a vanishing point within the image (Jay, 1988, p.6). While a variety of permutations of perspective were developed and used, the ‘Artificial Perspective system’ (Hale, 1981, p.244) seen as originating with Brunelleschi in fifteenth-century Florence, and subsequently articulated by Alberti, is often taken to be the signature mode of perspectival depiction. The effects of the Albertian model of vision have been far-reaching. As Amelia Jones observes, the terms of this model "came to determine the contours of modern to contemporary conceptions of the making and viewing subject in European culture" (Jones, 2013, p.365).

This paradigm has been widely problematized, as Martin Jay argues: “Cartesian perspectivalism has, in fact, been the target of a widespread philosophical critique, which has denounced its privileging of an ahistorical disinterested, disembodied subject entirely outside of the world it claims to know only from afar” (1988, p.10). Further problematising the notion of Cartesian perspectivalism as hegemonic, Jay in particular has proposed to understand it as a predominant mode of the organization of the visual within modernity, but a mode that is not exclusive of others (Jay 1988 and 1994).

In terms of positioning the viewing subject, and constituting it in relation to that which is viewed, Cartesian perspectivalism proposes a monadic subject, distantiated from the viewed as it apprehends “an external and
In his influential account of a paradigm shift in visual practices, centred on the figure of the camera obscura, Jonathan Crary (1988, 1990) links the critique of Cartesian perspectivalism to the emergence of nineteenth century investigations of the physiology of the eye and the physical processes of sight. The model of the distantiated viewer, apprehending a rational and homogeneous space, is problematized by studies of visual phenomena. After-images, and the recognition that visual effects could be produced corporeally (Crary, 1990, pp.135-150), and not as a result of seeing something objectively existing in the world outside the viewer's mind and body, challenged the notion of the sovereign, yet disembodied, viewer posited by Cartesian perspectivalism. The viewer could no longer remain distanced and monadic, as the body came to be recognized as capable of originating sensory experience, “to be the site and producer of chromatic events” (1990, p.141), rather than passively and objectively receiving sensory input from the objective world outside. As Crary argues, “this discovery allowed them to conceive of an abstract optical experience, that is of a vision that did not represent or refer to objects in the world” (1990, p.141). The link of referentiality between mind and world is therefore disrupted. For Crary, the paradigm shift away from the dualistic model of the camera obscura gave onto a perceiver whose vision was measurable and rationalized. Also following the critique of perspectivalism and the notion of the distanced viewer and knower, Donna Haraway has argued for an understanding of knowledge as situated and always-embodied, in order to "reclaim the sensory system that has been used to signify a leap out of the marked body and into a conquering gaze from nowhere" (Haraway, 2013, p.356).

While this study is influenced by the range of issues that have emerged in the wide-ranging critique of perspectivalism - the nature of the knowing
subject, relations of power between viewer and viewed, the question of objectivity and subjectivity - these concerns cannot be applied directly to the cartographic image. The history of cartography, and present concerns in critical cartography, disclose a very different relationship (than that of art history) to the idea of the individual author-artist. For the cartographic image, Cartesian space continues to be an operative paradigm. Where, broadly, the 'image' for art history has undergone a critique of perspectivalism, and with it the critique of the viewing subject as the site of objective vision, the cartographic image has not been dependent on perspectival techniques, and so the inquiry into its methods of constitution of the viewer cannot proceed along the same lines as those laid out in the art historical critique. The cartographic image implies and constitutes its viewer using distinctive visual techniques whose relationships with perspectival and painterly techniques have not yet been critically explored.

I turn, then, in the latter part of this chapter, to the alternative paradigm of abstraction in the Marxian tradition, in order to identify the concept of concrete abstraction as the foremost approach to conceptualising space, and a very different conception of the viewing subject. First, I re-visit the abstract viewpoints that have been articulated and investigated through the foregoing chapters, to draw them together as a coherent theory of cartographic abstraction.

**Synopsis and synthesis: the synoptic viewpoint, the bird's eye view, and the zenithal gaze**

Turning first to the cartographic synoptic view, as we saw in chapter two, I outlined what I have argued is the signature viewpoint deployed in cartographic depiction. This view is formed through the construction of a compiled image of the viewed area, that provides a virtual view from directly above all parts of the chosen area simultaneously. In favour of coherence, legibility, and interpretative stability, this mode of depiction 'foregoes', or 'de-selects' for depiction diverse features of the subject of the map. Through its form, it enacts a capacity of totalising extension. The
synthesising, synoptic capacity of cartographic visualization is here understood as part of the modality of visual abstraction through which the viewer is constructed and positioned in a complex relationship to ‘the viewed’. Indeed, the idea of what is being viewed, and by whom, is very much in question in the concept of the synoptic view.

As related modes of conceptually viewing from above, ‘bird’s eye’ viewing was seen to shade into ‘zenithal’ viewing, whereby the planimetric mode of elevated cartographic viewing became increasingly naturalized in use, particularly in depiction of cities. Where the bird’s eye view deploys horizontal and oblique viewing, the zenithal gaze moves to a conceptual viewing position directly above the viewed area. The bird’s eye view has not fallen out of use even in contemporary cartographic depiction, but nonetheless the conceptual view from directly above the viewed area became predominant through a shift toward the naturalization of the planimetric mode of abstract viewing.

In the ‘transition’ to the zenithal gaze, and beyond to the synoptic view, the distinctive conceptual move is that of the viewpoint positioning itself ‘at the level of the abstract’. I have adopted Söderström’s turn of phrase here, and use it to indicate the decisive moment of de-embodiment in the viewing position, as Söderström’s ‘zenithal gaze’ forms a viewpoint that no longer posits an embodied viewer. As the synoptic view is a synthesis of already-abstract views, it ceases to refer ‘back’ to evoking a viewpoint that can be conceptually inhabited by an embodied viewer. I distinguish here between Söderström’s conception of the zenithal and my own; I have argued that the terminology, and thus the conception, of the zenith does not go far enough in evoking the highly de-particularized, dis-embodied and thoroughly abstract viewpoint that is inaugurated through the transition to cartographic depiction based on the principle of synopsis. The term itself describes the highest point of an arc, and so evokes the movement through space of an object or body from which viewing may conceptually ‘take place’.
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By contrast, in the synoptic viewpoint, I argue, it is this very ‘taking place’ that is left behind, so that synoptic viewing explicitly cannot be coherently associated with the concept of an embodied form of viewing, even if such conceptual ‘embodiedness’ may rely on the notion of the bodies of planets or god(s). At the level of form, the synoptic view assimilates all details of the particularity of the viewed place into a regularized viewing plane. The viewer no longer has any implied physical relation to the land or place that they view, whether in terms of height, directionality, or locatedness. The synoptic view admits only a vertical apprehension, and in this way removes the possibility of conceiving of its form of viewing as having an embodied locatedness in relation to the viewed. What is distinctive, and consequential, about the synoptic view is its resolute departure from the conceptual possibility of inhabitation, or embodiment.

Considering the Apollonian view in relation to the synoptic, bird’s eye and zenithal forms, it is, again, very concerned with a theoretically embodied mode of visualization. We saw that Denis Cosgrove contextualizes the globe form as a powerful imaginary that has, though not straightforwardly, contributed to fostering ideas of unity and harmony in association with viewing the whole earth. The Apollonian perspective or Apollonian eye is a viewpoint positioned outside and above the earth, such that it may be conceptually apprehended as a discrete entity. This is in contrast with the ‘flat’ mode of synoptic viewing; because its mode of visualization engages with the viewed as a flat, planimetric surface, when the synoptic view attempts to visualize the earth as a whole it does so in the form of the world map projection, rather than the globe.

The Apollonian viewpoint, however, posits an individualized location ‘from’ which it is possible to conceptualize the earth as a unified form, viewed from above and outside. Cosgrove argues for a reading of this perspective as “at once empowering and visionary” (ibid, p.xi). The Apollonian gaze is closely identified with the position of god, or a god, in the figure of Apollo, and in this way is embodied figuratively and fictionally but not corporeally,
or humanly. The Apollonian perspective emerges as a cultural form that has fed into conceptualisations of human unity, constructed through the agency of the perspective itself; this perspective is a ‘god’s eye view’ in terms of its relationship with the cosmographic tradition and the construction of a viewpoint that was conceptual before it was actualized in space flight and satellite photography. In this way, the Apollonian viewpoint has contributed to the development of technological forms of viewing - including the satellite and the space telescope - that come to realize the concept, and the fantasy, of viewing the earth from space. The abstraction of the Apollonian viewpoint, therefore, first posits a viewpoint from outside the earth, and then fosters its technological realisation, or its inhabitation, through human-made objects. And more than objects, of course, humans have also come to inhabit the Apollonian view, via technological objects enabling space flight. Indeed, the human inhabitation of this viewpoint, as we saw in chapter two, has contributed enormously to the naturalisation of photographic and digital ‘views’ of the whole earth.

‘The panoptic’ has been proposed in this study, in close relationship to Apollonian viewing, as a mode of cartographic abstraction. While I have drawn upon the panopticon as a figure for cartographic viewing because of its distinctive relevance for the analysis of the specific artwork Targets, I suggest that it does nonetheless have a relevance for cartographic abstraction more widely. The panoptic posits an embodied form of viewing that also depends upon a tension, an uncertainty, between being embodied or not, between presence and absence.

The efficacy of the original formulation turned in large part on those persons who are subject to viewing not having certain knowledge as to whether they are being viewed at any given moment or not. Lyon has suggested that “the panoptic urge is to make everything visible; it is the desire and the drive towards a total gaze, to fix the body through technique and to generate regimes of self-discipline through uncertainty” (Lyon, 2006, p.44). In this I see a movement slightly away from, or at odds with, the
observation that the panoptic turns on undecidability regarding the presence or absence of the viewer. The ‘panoptic urge to make everything visible’ moves away from the notion of visibility as a means to an end, and re-positions it as the desired end in itself. I suggest that this tension, or perhaps confusion, between visibility as a means and as an end is itself relevant to a parallel ‘urge’ toward visibilisation in cartographic practice. Where critical cartography has so thoroughly identified the practical relationships between mapping and Western domination, the ‘panoptic urge’ may show a relationship at the level of the form of viewing involved. That is, in addition to the synoptic view’s capacity to assimilate and render knowable that which it visualizes, we may perhaps identify a complementary drive toward visibilisation as both a means to an end - domination - and an end in itself - visibilisation. In this way, the addition of the panoptic view to the analysis of the synoptic view further discloses, and questions, the totalizing tendency of the synoptic view.

Embodiment and de-embodiment are important themes that run through my analyses of abstract cartographic viewpoints. As we saw in chapter one, I use ‘de-embodiment’ to indicate the viewpoint’s capacity to posit a viewing position that may not be physically inhabited by a viewing person; that is, to posit a de-embodied cartographic subject. Some of the modes of viewing addressed here do posit an embodied human viewer; some posit a viewing position that is conceptually compatible with embodied viewing (and, in the case of the Apollonian, has fostered its own realisation). By contrast, the god’s eye view posits a viewer that has the attributes of god rather than a human subject - omniscience and omnipresence. The efficacy of the god’s eye view lies in its capacity to structure a viewing position through which the human viewer may conceptually inhabit a position through which all may be seen and known. The limited horizon of situated human viewing is extended, through the abstraction of the god’s eye view, to encompass potentially any place and time. In cartographic terms, I argue that the god’s eye view structures more particular modes of viewing, notably the drone’s eye view and the synoptic view.
In the synoptic, the zenithal, the bird's eye view, the Apollonian, and the panoptic, the modes of viewing are more concerned with embodiment and the idea of conceptual inhabitation of the viewpoints. In the next grouping of viewpoints, the modes of viewing are more thoroughly already-de-embodied, or already-networked. In the final viewpoints discussed, we see a turn back towards embodiment, in terms of the totalizing character of cartographic viewing, through looking at the case of the antipodes as a cartographic construction, and through considering cartographic signification and the role of sound.

In the preceding chapters, I have identified the 'god's eye view' as a 'higher level' cartographic abstraction, such that it is not directly experienced, but has the capacity to organize other modes of cartographic viewing. While the god's eye view is not a concept that is particular to cartographic depiction, I have argued for interpreting this fairly broad concept in a more specific way, in the register of cartographic abstraction. In this context, the god's eye view designates a viewpoint, whether visual or conceptual, that affords (or purports to afford) total knowledge, oversight, and access to unmediated truth. The god's eye view affords sight, in particular, of objects, actions or landscapes from a highly elevated or abstracted position.

Pickles has characterized the ‘god-trick' as an illusion of universal knowledge, power and control, perpetrated by 'the rationalizing universal gaze' (Pickles, 2006, p.185), and Gregory has termed it ‘the ability to see everything from nowhere in particular’ (Gregory, 2014). The god's eye view provides a model for the interpretation of human agency in elevated, technological settings. I use ‘god's eye view', then, to denote the cartographic convention of using views from ‘above' the mapped subject,

144 As I focus on modes of viewing, I do not discuss the area of cartographic practice such as automated interpretation of cartographic imagery in targetting (see Paglen, 2014; “the machines were starting to see for themselves”). It would be productive, I think, to consider ‘operational images’ in the context of a non-visual form of knowing derived from the omniscient character of the god's eye view.
that presents the appearance of claiming 'the ability to see everything from nowhere in particular'; in the context of the synoptic view, simulating viewing from directly overhead of all parts of the mapped area simultaneously. I propose the god's eye view as a 'higher level' abstraction in order to distinguish its more general capacities, of naturalising an externalized and elevated perspective, from the more specific capacities of the synoptic view, for example, which naturalizes an externalized and elevated perspective through performing a de-embodying and synthesising view.

This notion offers a point of conjunction and tension between the figures of the Apollonian gaze and the panopticon. Both viewing forms turn on the construction of a set of viewing relations that claim control and a position of agency for the viewer. The notion of absolute control is often labelled as a capacity that only one in the position of god would have, and as such it is both fictive and agentic. Because the notion of god is a fiction, or a social construction, there is no entity that underwrites the capacity for agency in the god’s eye view; in spite of this, as I argue, it is able to have effects in the world, and to perform some degree of agency in terms of constituting other viewpoints. The god's eye view, then, is a figure of the illusory capacity of cartographic viewing to establish viewpoints that are disembodied, non-inhabitable by a physical viewer, and thoroughly abstract. In this light, the god’s eye view emerges as a complex, enduring and adaptive cultural construction, which provides a model for the interpretation of human agency in elevated, technological settings.

The drone's eye view has been proposed as an abstract viewpoint that is not itself only cartographic, but that is nonetheless significantly organized through cartographic abstraction. The 'drone's eye view' here denotes both the popular conception of drones as all-seeing, and its status as an abstraction that organizes this fetishized appearance. In this I draw on
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145 John Pickles has characterized maps in general as having a “productive and fictive character” (2006, p.93).
Gregory’s insistence on the materiality of the networked form of drone vision to situate it in the register of production and reproduction of an abstract viewpoint, bringing the problematic of drone vision into the analytical terms of cartographic abstraction. This abstract viewpoint functions to contribute to the ongoing reproduction and extension of distanced and networked viewing-from-above, both drawing from and refuting the older abstraction of the cartographic god’s eye view. The drone’s eye view is understood as incorporating at once the aspects of fantasy that shape drone discourse and the realities of (military) drone practice.

The drone’s eye view, then, identifies drone viewing as incorporating both conceptual ‘extremes’, of the all-seeing drone as a solipsistic figure of military agency, and the networked, abstract and material nature of the view that the drone constructs. These potentially contradictory understandings condition, and thereby have a role in the reproduction of, the other. The fantasy of total vision both drives and is reinforced by its technological manifestation. Rather than understanding the god’s eye view as having been superseded, then, I argue for interpreting it as continuing to operate and to influence contemporary understandings of cartographic viewing, remote viewing, such as the technological forms embodied in satellites and drones, and the capacity of aerial viewing to perform and convey agency. I suggest that, as an abstraction, the god’s eye view transfers some of its attributes to the more specific abstraction of the ‘bomber’s eye view’, such that this viewpoint comes to be popularly understood in a simplified form, and, importantly, in a form that conflates attributes of the god’s eye view with the bomber’s eye view. A disproportionate degree of sight comes to be associated with the position of the bomber, which I suggest is transferred from, or conferred by, the god’s eye view as the organizing abstraction in question. The fantasy of full visibility and full knowledge that is so strongly connected with the contemporary discourse of the drone is one of the most important manifestations of the god’s eye view.
In terms of the theoretical concern with embodiment in this study, the drone's eye view, while networked, distributed, and increasingly 'autonomous', continues to be inhabited by human subjects whose experience also becomes part of the discourse that shapes the future conditions of possibility of the drone's eye view.

Drone viewing, indeed, is always situated inasmuch as it 'takes place' from somewhere particular, frequently a 'somewhere' that is on the ground rather than in the sky, often located in another continent. The abstraction of 'the drone operator' is also always embodied by real persons working in distributed yet networked locations. This necessary embodiment works against the fantasy of de-embodiment found in the god's eye view; the god's eye view can be understood as part of the networked and interdependent character of the drone's eye view, that is constituted across multiple sites, persons, technologies and practices.

In discussing the capacity of cartographic depiction to enact a mode of conceptual remote viewing, I have analysed antipodal relations, or 'the antipodes' as a cartographic abstraction. The antipodes is a particular form through which the broader question of cartographic remote viewing may be specified and investigated in more depth. The abstraction of the antipodes becomes a productive factor in the formation of knowledge relating to antipodal locations, on the part of the viewer. The viewing position is structured as one through which 'knowledge' is produced of abstractions and abstract relations in the conceptualisation of remote and unknown regions of the globe. In this way, the cartographic abstraction of the antipodes has formed part of the material conditions underpinning the historical production of imagery of the Southern continent.

The 'higher level' or more general cartographic abstractions of latitude, longitude and the globe form have a productive role in antipodal conceptualisation, and the cartographic grid is a distinct, though not separate, abstraction that organizes the spatial concept of the antipodes. By means of the cartographic abstraction of the antipodes, the position of
the viewer is structured and organized as one through which ‘knowledge’ is produced. This particular knowledge is of abstractions and abstract relations, as they are deployed in the conceptualisation of remote and unknown regions of the globe. In this way, a cartographic abstraction is both central and, importantly, productive in the capacity of cartography to perform a conceptual mode of remote viewing.

The classical and medieval philosophical concept of antipodal relations was subsumed by the form of the cartographic grid: "By 1500, the old mappaemundi had come to be replaced, among the learned at least, by a new type of map derived from Ptolemy's Geographia, which had been reintroduced to Western Europe early in the fifteenth century." (Padrón, 2014, p.212) In this way, the antipodes persisted as an abstraction used for visualizing unknown persons and places, but was re-constituted by the grid form. The ‘addition’ of the grid to the more situated and place-bound conception of antipodal relations allowed for its transformation and extension into a figure of oppositional relationality, through which conceptualisations are formed of the globe as a unified form, and relations of otherness are figured among persons. This transition is subsequently consolidated in the shift from the antipodes being understood to denote the Southern continent, and then Australia and New Zealand, and latterly any diametrically opposed points on the surface of the globe. This transition de-centres Europe as the originary location of viewing and of knowledge formation, and in this way the antipodal concept is de-particularized and globalized.

Where ‘the antipodes’ as an abstraction is productive and active in the process of constituting a Western visualization of unknown regions of the globe, and, later, the Southern continent in particular, it does not take the form of a viewpoint. Rather, the antipodes is a cartographic abstraction that enables and facilitates cartographic visualization on the part of the West, or the Western imagination. This abstraction makes possible what I have called cartographic remote viewing, whereby a visual and spatial
conception of lands and persons that are unknown to experience comes to constitute what may be known and understood of remote locations. Particularly significant to this complex mode of cartographic visualization is the role of the grid. With the advent of the grid as a predominant form in cartographic imagery, as Ricardo Padrón has argued, “geometric space - abstract and homogeneous - came to be deployed for the first time in Western culture. The consequences of this development were felt by Europeans and non-Europeans alike, especially as the universalist claims of the new, abstract spatiality empowered modern, Western European culture at the expense of premodern others.” (2014, p.214) As a way of viewing the unknown from a distance, or cartographic remote viewing, the antipodes is, I suggest, strongly bound up with cartographic practices of viewing without itself functioning in the same way as an abstract viewpoint. It functions as part of the broader modality of cartographic remote viewing. The antipodes, and remote viewing, contribute to the broader framework of viewpoints and abstract techniques that together constitute cartographic abstraction as a material modality of thought and experience.

Lastly, in terms of reconsidering the modes of viewing that have been proposed in the thesis, we turn to another mode of cartographic viewing and spatialisation that does not directly posit a viewpoint. Moving beyond the terms of viewing only, the staging of cartographic abstraction that we saw in the installation River Sounding draws on a range of registers other than the visual, and it gives the opportunity to expand the consideration of cartographic abstraction as well. To the extent that a viewpoint is at stake in this discussion, then, it is a viewing position that is immersed within the cartographically structured space of the installation. The viewing experience positions the viewer physically, in a way that the other viewpoints do not, and positions the viewer within the cartographic space. This is in marked contrast to the viewpoints that, as we have seen, posit the viewer in a position conceptually above the viewed space, as in the synoptic view, the bird’s eye view, and the Apollonian gaze. In the drone’s eye view, we saw the importance of bodies inhabiting positions on the
ground as part of the networked nature of that abstract viewpoint. This theme of being on the ground, and viewing at ground level, also emerges here, but quite differently. This is a mode of positing the viewing subject that is much more directly physical and embodied than we saw in the aerial viewpoints. The listening and viewing subject is structured as a subject in motion, moving through the cartographic space and experiencing it from one position at a time, as opposed to viewing one image that provides an overview.

It is important to consider whether this more experiential staging of a cartographic space has a direct bearing on forms of abstraction present in cartography. In analysing the re-spatialisation of the mapped place, we saw a version of the cartographic project of miniaturisation and the re-spatialisation of the mapped into the two-dimensional terms of the cartographic image. In this three-dimensional case, however, the mapped place is re-spatialized into a smaller, and importantly different shaped space. Clear points at which the installation space and the mapped space could clearly be said to concur, or directly ‘map onto’ one another, are few. However, the simultaneous functioning of both the visual and the sonic registers opens out, I suggest, the possibility for experiencing cartographic space more dynamically. Without a strict correspondence across spatial, visual and sonic registers, a much freer play of phenomena is encountered by the viewer-listener-embodied visitor. In this way, we see a cartographically constructed conceptual space emerge that positions the viewer within, rather than above, the cartographic space. This cartographic space also engages with sound, as part of the entity being mapped as well as part of the mode of its presentation in the conceptual space. In this way, we may suggest that a materialist mode of abstraction is at stake in this conceptual space, that re-spatializes the mapped place, and positions the viewer within, rather than above, the abstract cartographic space.
Real abstraction as a materialist provocation

I have engaged with the theoretical framework of real abstraction in this study as a ‘materialist provocation’ – it is an area of Marxian thought that is attracting increasing scholarly attention, in part, I think, because of its interest in identifying abstraction as part of everyday life and being within commodity societies, and the actions of commodity exchangers as being fundamentally mediated through abstraction. Sohn-Rethel’s compelling claim that commodity exchangers engage in ‘thoroughly abstract action’ leads me to attempt to explore this claim with reference to how we may develop new theoretical approaches to cartographic visuality. Where cartography has already been explored in terms of ‘making worlds’ (Hawkins, 2014, Pickles, 2006, p.93), I wish to ask about how those ‘worlds’ are ‘made’, engaged with and reproduced at the level of the individual who uses maps, visualizes mapped content, and internalizes and acts on those understandings.

Anselm Jappe has argued that Sohn-Rethel’s main virtue (in relation to the issue of real abstraction) is in having posed the problem: “Sohn-Rethel’s real merit is to have articulated the whole issue of real abstraction. But the answer he gives cannot be accepted unconditionally” (Jappe, 2013, p.9). Where Jappe sees Sohn-Rethel as having erred is in abjuring the central Marxian concept of abstract labour, as the source and substance of capitalist abstraction, to install in its place the notion of ‘exchange abstraction’. For Jappe, this theoretical move indicates a certain ‘hollowing out’ of the explanatory power of the framework of real abstraction, such that, without abstract labour, real abstraction is unable to account for the abstract content of exchange, and positions abstraction as a strictly formal, or even psychological, phenomenon (Jappe, 2013, p.12). The value of the question being posed, for Jappe, is that in doing so, Sohn-Rethel "contributed to drawing attention to the importance of the category of 'real abstraction' for the understanding of the hidden core of capitalist society" (Jappe, p.14). Without attempting to fully adjudicate this question, I wish to
retain Sohn-Rethel’s provocative emphasis on identifying the action of exchangers as thoroughly abstract. It is this identification, of abstraction existing outside of the thought and consciousness of individuals, that I pursue in terms of cartographic ways of seeing and knowing.

In agreement with Denis Wood’s claim that ‘maps are about relationships’, I also claim that this understanding helps us to re-position the map, and the cartographic image more broadly, including artworks, as fundamentally concerned with social relations. These social relations exist among persons, places, and conceptions relating to persons and places. I draw on Sohn-Rethel’s emphasis on the materiality and social nature of capitalist abstraction to approach cartography, and cartographic visualization, as simultaneously practice and object; an abstract practice that is productive of abstract ‘objects’, or abstractions as things. My own trajectory of thought about cartography has begun with critical cartography, and attempts to develop that sub-discipline’s concern with the material, social and political efficacy of cartography by bringing its insights into contact with the understanding of the efficacy of social abstraction to be found in theories of real abstraction. In this way, I argue that ‘the map’ as such, and its procedures and techniques, may be ‘re-visualized’ as the site of the production of distinctively abstract ways of seeing and knowing. With these concerns in mind, I turn to a consideration of real abstraction, drawing on the discussion we saw in chapter one.

Theorizing real abstraction

In this part of the chapter, I draw on a range of Marxian and materialist perspectives on the role of abstraction within capital, and identify connections between the mode of cartographic abstraction that I theorize and the socially synthetic capacity of capitalist abstraction. I draw from a series of Marxian perspectives on abstraction to draw together my own account of material abstraction. I argue for identifying cartographic abstraction in light of this account of real abstraction, while not being directly an expression or production of real abstraction.
Sohn-Rethel notes Marx’s first mention of abstraction as originating in material phenomena as *A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy* of 1859, “where he speaks of an abstraction other than that of thought” (Sohn-Rethel, 1978, p.19). The idea of an abstraction that does not originate in thought has been developed subsequently by Sohn-Rethel, as we saw in chapter one, into the theory of real abstraction. This area of Marxian thought builds from Marx’s identification of abstraction as a fundamental force in capital, as well as his insistence on deploying a complex method of abstraction with which to study the object – capital – which is itself so thoroughly mediated through abstractions. ‘Real’ or ‘concrete’ abstraction is Marx’s understanding of the way in which abstractions are produced by persons – or more properly, the social, as against individual persons acting autonomously – but which then become socially operative, and take on the reality status of concrete things. Real abstraction is a way of describing this process and what it produces.

Peter Osborne identifies a problematic within philosophical approaches to abstraction, in “the commonly held view, across a wide variety of theoretical standpoints, more or less explicit, that there is some inadequacy inherent to abstraction per se” (2004, p.21). In talking about the ‘epistemological melancholia’ associated with philosophical approaches to abstraction, he illustrates “the melancholy, which at times takes on tragic tones. For Simmel, for example, ‘the fact that the higher concept, which through its breadth embraces a growing number of details, must count upon increasing loss of content’ is ‘the tragedy of human concept formation’” (ibid, p.22). Osborne poses the problematic of epistemological abstraction in particular in terms of a loss of perceptual,

---

146 Sohn-Rethel is referring to Marx’s statement from the *Contribution*, “This reduction [to simple labour] appears to be an abstraction, but it is an abstraction which is made every day in the social process of production” (Marx, 1859/1970, p.24). Jappe sees Sohn-Rethel as making one of his key interpretative errors in relation to this statement. As Jappe argues, Sohn-Rethel “identifies Marx’s conceptual précis of the value form’s development with an historical outline in the belief that ‘simple value form’ ever actually existed (an error that Engels, together with very nearly the entire body of orthodox Marxism, had previously fallen victim to)” (2013, p.11).
cognitive and sensory access to the ‘object’, the ‘real’, which perception, cognition and sensory experience purport to apprehend. Contesting this ‘melancholic’ interpretation of abstraction, Osborne proposes to “clear the way for a thinking of the idea of ‘actual abstractions’ as the medium of social experience in capitalist modernities” (ibid, p.21). This emphasis on the experience of abstractions, and on abstraction as experience, is central to my conceptualisation of real abstraction more broadly, and cartographic abstraction more particularly.

Identifying the ‘melancholy’ associated with formulations of conceptual abstraction, Osborne usefully asserts that, “[i]ncreasingly, it seems, from a variety of different standpoints, abstraction – understood here as conceptual abstraction – is accompanied by both a certain melancholy (loss of the real object) and a certain shame (complicity in the domination of the concept and hence repression of the other, more vibrant, more creative aspects of existence)” (2004, p.21). I have discussed the notion of complicity in the context of the potential for panoptic viewing to be deployed ‘against’ synoptic viewing (in chapter three), as a mode of the reclaiming, or re-inhabiting, of agency within the subject positions constituted partly through cartographic abstraction. I see this formulation as compatible with Osborne’s conception of abstractions as both experienced, and as the medium of experience within ‘capitalist modernities’.

Osborne draws out a distinction, or opposition, that appears in philosophical discourse between conceptual and non-conceptual modes of abstraction. Where conceptual abstraction can embody a certain “epistemological negativity” (ibid, p.22), associated with the problematic of the ‘loss of the object’, an emphasis on ‘actual abstractions’ affords a recognition of the complexity involved in ‘rehabilitating’, or reconstructing, an account of the experience of subjectivity being mediated through forms of abstraction. Osborne argues that
abstraction is, historically, philosophically double-coded: it is an epistemological virtue as well as a vice. While abstraction may, in its modern psychological form, be associated with a withdrawal from the reality (or particularity) of the object of experience, and hence a certain epistemological inadequacy, its deeper philosophical history is that of a focusing in on the essence of an object […] as a condition of the possibility of knowledge. Abstraction is a condition of knowledge, of thinking the object; and abstraction is, apparently, a loss of the sensuous particularity of the object (ibid, p.22).

This ‘double-coding’ presents the moment at which a turn toward materialist approaches to abstraction offers a conceptual ‘way out’ of the impasse in conceptual abstraction. The apparently aporetic contradiction between loss of the object and the possibility of access to thinking the object is, I suggest, obviated by the turn towards a conception of abstraction that accepts it as a material modality of both thought and experience. By ‘material modality’ in this context, I mean to indicate the attempt to treat thought and experience as continuous with, part of, materiality. This formulation stands against a rigid distinction between ‘thought’ and ‘matter’, or mind and body. An objective and external ‘real’ is no longer seen as rendered permanently unavailable to thought and experience, but rather as made and remade, or produced and reproduced, through active, constructive forms of abstraction. In this way, the loss of the object of cognition is re-positioned as a process of the active production of visibility and occlusion. This is the sense in which I propose the usefulness of adopting specifically visual approaches to investigating the functioning of abstraction; where both conceptual and visual occlusion are figured as produced rather than as a perceptual loss, the production of occlusion and mystification becomes susceptible to analysis and, prospectively, intervention.
Double movement or reversibility of abstraction

The question of the ‘reproach’, in Osborne’s terms, or the apparently contradictory strains in critical thought on abstraction, is figured in analyses by Timothy Bewes and Alberto Toscano as, respectively, a certain ‘reversibility’ and a ‘double movement’ of abstraction. Bewes argues that “[w]ithin the Marxist critical tradition, abstraction has figured as a reversible concept, designating two apparently contradictory tendencies: dematerialisation and concretisation” (2014, p.1199). I take leave of Bewes’ formulation of this problem at the point at which he seeks to use it to assert the possibility of “a thought that is not subject to abstraction” (ibid); I follow instead Osborne’s insistence on understanding abstraction as a condition of possibility for thinking the object. I also follow Toscano’s formulation, whereby the limiting conceptualisation of ‘reversibility’ is figured instead as a ‘double movement’, suggesting a (dialectical) simultaneity of movement as opposed to a movement in the direction of either dematerialisation or concretisation.

Toscano proposes a critical ‘recovery’ of “an understanding of materialism as the critical analysis of real, social abstractions” (2014, p.1221). This argument seeks to dissociate materialism from a certain “polemical affirmation of matter’s anteriority to thought” (ibid, p.1222) which has contributed to the perpetuation of a categorial opposition between matter and thought, materiality and ideality, and materialist and idealist philosophies. Toscano cites literary theorist Marc Shell to assert that “[t]hose discourses are ideological that argue or assume that matter is ontologically prior to thought”147. Toscano argues that Marx ‘displaced’ the rigid distinction between materialism and idealism, ‘exploding the contradiction’ in order to posit ‘the category of practical activity’148 as the grounding of a materialist philosophy and politics that is able to confront

the ‘culture of abstraction’ that marks the contemporary capitalist social formation.

In terms of the question of abstraction’s reversibility or double movement, then, I see Bewes’ formulation as having the drawback of figuring the terrain of abstraction as a binary, or two irreconcilable poles. By contrast, I draw on Toscano’s more nuanced and materialist idea of a double movement to understand the critical possibility of real abstraction more multiply as offering a framework for moving among the range of levels of abstraction that are at work in constructing capital-mediated-subjectivity in the contemporary social configuration. I see this as the broader context for this study, which seeks to ‘focus in’ closely on one mode through which abstraction itself is mediated and manifested in the present moment; that of cartographic abstraction.

**Real abstraction in Sohn-Rethel**

As we saw in chapter one, Sohn-Rethel articulates a development of Marx’s assertion of a form of abstraction ‘other than that of thought’; real abstraction. As Sohn-Rethel describes, “abstraction can be likened to the workshop of conceptual thought and its process must be a materialistic one if the assertion that consciousness is determined by social being is to hold true” (1978, p.18). In this formulation, then, real abstraction is an avowedly materialist process, but not one that is disconnected from thought. For Sohn-Rethel, the consciousness itself is formed “by the procedure of abstraction” (ibid). Further, as Toscano notes, “Sohn-Rethel’s derivation does not move from the density of empirically observable and palpably material social relations to the supposedly distorting and transcendent illusions of philosophy; rather, it takes its cue from Marx’s conception of value as a social form to ground ideal abstractions in real abstraction” (2014, p.1229).

The role Sohn-Rethel sees for abstraction as a social force is therefore not entirely negative, or melancholic, as it has a fundamental role in the
origination of consciousness itself, and in the possibility of ‘thinking the object’. This is not yet a developed concept of real abstraction proper, for which Sohn-Rethel turns to an examination of the ‘commodity abstraction’.

Sohn-Rethel takes an unorthodox position in declining to identify abstract labour as the source of the abstractness found in the commodity. Abstract labour is the commensuration of all specific instances of labour, and it is this commensuration that equates all forms of labour in terms of the value they produce. The category of abstract labour gives rise to exchange value, that quality-less form of value that renders all labour commensurable and all commodities exchangeable. This is a quantitative differentiation, which gives rise to the exchange value of the commodity, as opposed to the qualitative differentiation giving rise to the commodity’s use value. Marx identifies abstract labour as the specifically capitalist form of labour, as a historical category that arises out of the social relations present in the era of the capitalist mode of production broadly understood. Sohn-Rethel insists that when the value that has taken on the commodity form subsequently takes on the money form, it does so as “an abstract thing which, strictly speaking, is a contradiction in terms” (ibid, emphasis in original). This apparent ‘contradiction’ is resolved through the insistence on identifying a materialist mode of abstraction, that is, real abstraction.

Beverley Best also identifies, via Marx, “a social mechanism of abstraction as the defining characteristic both of the capitalist mode of production and of his method of analysis of that object”, and that this “singular mechanism of abstraction structures all activity and spheres of activity in capitalist society” (Best, 2010, p.6, emphasis in original). Abstract labour is the ‘social mechanism of abstraction’, and it is realized and effectuated as a social relation in the commodity exchange, the exchange abstraction.

The ‘fundamental’, ‘singular’ mechanism of abstraction in societies organized on the basis of commodity exchange, is abstract labour, for Best, whereas for Sohn-Rethel, the decisive moment of the social effectivity of abstraction is to be located in the exchange abstraction itself.
Emphasising the materiality of capitalist abstraction, Best asserts that, “It would be a mistake to conceive of the mechanism of abstraction as a strictly formal process played out on real, concrete labor [sic]. Nor is abstraction a cognitive process where real, individual labor practices are reduced in thought to their common denominators; abstract labor is not an idea” (ibid, p.17). It is this assertion of the materialist character of abstraction within capitalist social relations that I draw on in support of Sohn-Rethel’s formulation of real abstraction as actualized in commodity exchange.

The most important aspect of the commodity abstraction, for Sohn-Rethel, is that it originates in people’s actions rather than their thoughts. He emphasizes that although the concept of value exists only in the human mind, it is originated not by the mind but by the social action of real people engaging in commodity exchange, who generate this abstraction without having any awareness of it. Sohn-Rethel sees the existence of real abstraction as the ‘discovery’ that really sets Marx’s analysis in opposition to the philosophical tradition, although this opposition was not fully explored by Marx. Sohn-Rethel makes critical mention of Louis Althusser for understanding the commodity abstraction metaphorically, where it must be understood as real, social and material.

The abstraction from use is not something done in either the mind of the seller or buyer, capitalist or consumer, and it is not a charade in which all parties pretend that time is not passing. Rather it is the engagement of the parties in a thoroughly abstract activity, exchanging objects for the money form of value, the universal equivalent, apprehending the object as a commodity and proceeding to deal with it according to that one aspect of its rich, specific and varied realness. It is what is being done that is of utmost importance for Sohn-Rethel, rather than what is being thought or not-thought during the act of exchange. “It is the action of exchange, and the action alone, that is abstract” (1978, p.26). The abstraction is firmly
established as taking place within action, within external reality, emphatically not as a process of thought, reflection or consciousness.

Sohn-Rethel describes the buying and selling of commodities as the only way in which a society can cohere when it is predicated on private production of use values. The social bond becomes one of dependency as production, and the knowledge and skills required for production, become increasingly specialized. “The only solution to their interdependence is commodity exchange” (1978, p.29). Sohn-Rethel calls this social bond the ‘social nexus’ and the ‘social synthesis’, and emphasizes the selling of commodities as the decisive action over their production, or the circulation sphere over the production sphere.

Sohn-Rethel states that Marx was explicit on the point that the value abstraction never actually attains a representation as such, as itself, as the value abstraction, but is represented instead in exchange as the equivalence between commodities. Money is here seen to be “a metaphor of the value abstraction it embodies, not this abstraction itself” (1978, p.34). This point emphasizes that money is not itself value, but a representation of value. More specifically, it is a representation not of the value abstraction itself but of the commensurated use values embodied in all commodities. As we saw above, the value abstraction does not attain its own representation as such but is mediated through the representation of use value.

In the context of a society in which social relations are mediated through the exchange abstraction, then, Sohn-Rethel’s theory of real abstraction enables a focus on the social and political effectivity of abstraction in the wider context of capitalist social relations. This foregrounding of abstraction as social process opens the opportunity to investigate other modes of abstraction in terms of their social effectivity, and in this light I have proposed my interpretation of cartographic abstraction.
It is worth commenting in more detail on the key themes that I draw from this consideration of the debate on real abstraction. The social and practical effectivity of abstraction is perhaps the central element of interest in contemporary debates on real abstraction. As we saw above, concepts of real abstraction are grounded in, or share, Sohn-Rethel’s commitment to the idea of abstract action as a defining moment within “capitalist reality” (Toscano, 2008, p.286). This emphasis on activity, particularly in the act of commodity exchange, is underscored by Toscano, who asserts that “it is the social activity of abstraction, in its form as commodity exchange, that plays the pivotal role in the analysis of real abstraction” (ibid, p.281).

Where activity is understood as abstract, and therefore as generating abstract social relations and a whole ‘culture of abstraction’, I apply this understanding to the field of cartographic visualization. This move enables a critical focus on a particular area of social activity within contemporary capitalist reality, that is, the production of cartographic visualizations and understandings of space, place and social relations. Mapping and its production of highly coded and abstract depictions of the world are thus positioned as practices that are socially effective, in contributing to the constitution of the contemporary ‘social synthesis’. While I do not interpret cartographic abstraction in direct relation to Sohn-Rethel’s concept of the exchange abstraction, I do interpret cartographic abstraction as being comprised of abstract practices and conceptions, that arise within contemporary capitalist reality. Cartographic abstraction is a modality of thought and experience that I identify as operative in the contemporary production of cartographic conceptualisations of space and sociality. Where cartographic abstraction has its existence within the contemporary capitalist reality, a reality that is marked by abstraction, I argue for the value of further theorizing cartographic abstraction in light of the analysis of real abstraction.

A second important theme that I draw from the debate on real abstraction is the question of the contradiction between loss of the object and the possibility of access to thinking the object. I have suggested that this
impasse is obviated by the turn towards a conception of abstraction that accepts it as a material modality of both thought and experience. The theory of real abstraction offers a crucial resolution to the problem of the ‘double movement’ of abstraction. Understood as a social process, rather than a process only of thought or of practice, real abstraction identifies abstract action as a source of abstraction; while Sohn-Rethel investigates this abstract action at the level of the fundamental abstract action in commodity society, that is, commodity exchange, I apply this approach at the level of a practice that may already be identified as concerned with producing abstractions, that is, mapping and cartography. The map is fundamentally concerned with producing abstract conceptualisations that are socially effective, and in so doing, it deploys techniques of depiction that both abstract from their object, and construct a new abstraction that cannot be adequately apprehended at the level of thought alone. Because the map is engaged in the production of abstractions, through abstracting methods, it is necessarily engaged in questions of how to negotiate particularity. This negotiation - seen particularly in the fundamental cartographic techniques of projection, generalisation and scale - is part of the capacity of cartographic imagery to produce abstractions that function both materially and conceptually.

While I have not drawn directly on the concept of space as a concrete abstraction, as theorized by Lefebvre (Stanek 2011, Lefebvre 1991), in my analyses, its pivotal contribution to the theorisation of spatial abstraction demands engagement. Lefebvre’s ideas have had a wide-ranging influence on current scholarship addressing spatial practices, including experimental geography, and so to some extent the understanding of space as socially produced, and as an integral part of capitalist reproduction, has a presence in this study. Lefebvre constructed his theory of space as a concrete abstraction through analogy with Marx’s approach to theorizing labour, and drew on Marx’s concept of concrete abstraction in order to theorize space as “a product of historically specific material, conceptual and quotidian practices” (Stanek, 2008, p.62).
Lefebvre understood concrete abstraction as a social abstraction (ibid, p.68), produced through practices rather than thought or convention.

Without undertaking a comparative analysis of contemporary uses of varying inflections of abstraction, it is possible to indicate some useful distinctions. Where Lefebvre positions concrete abstraction as an instance of social abstraction, John Roberts assimilates Lefebvre’s concept into a wider category of ‘spatial abstraction’, incorporating the more recent developments in critical spatial thinking such as globalization (Roberts, 2010, p.136). Roberts also distinguishes between social abstraction and real abstraction, identifying social abstraction in terms of “the material and symbolic structures of domination” (Roberts, 2014, p.94) that attain expression in the forms of the built environment and division of space. Real abstraction, on this view, denotes “the organization of production and consumption through the discipline of the value-form” (ibid), which is broader than Sohn-Rethel’s specification of the exchange abstraction, in one sense, but a more limited reading in the sense that Sohn-Rethel’s formulation sees itself as able to give an account of the ‘social synthesis’ itself, beyond the ‘organization of production and consumption’.

As my formulation of cartographic abstraction builds from the interpretation of artworks and engages primarily in theorizing viewing and the positionality and subjectivity of the viewer, it is not immediately congruent with concrete, spatial or real abstraction. However, I think cartographic abstraction offers possibilities for constructing stronger links with these approaches to abstraction, that are directly grounded in Marxian theory. The theoretical possibilities for understanding visual practices of knowledge production and subjectivation in the register of social abstraction are considerable. Further, although I am broadly in agreement with Jappe’s assessment of Sohn-Rethel as largely having the merit of having posed the problematic of real abstraction, further development of work on real abstraction and subjectivation could build useful links between cartographic ‘ways of seeing’ and Sohn-Rethel’s proposals relating to the
form of thought, and social being, in commodity societies. I see cartographic abstraction as part of Osborne’s concept of ‘actual abstractions’ as the ‘medium of experience’ in contemporary capitalist society; in this light, cartographic abstraction contributes to the contemporary need for deeper theoretical and practical understanding of the relationships between thought, experience and the abstract production of subjectivity.

**Concluding comments**

The account I offer here of cartographic abstraction takes its theoretical cue from, but is not a working-through of, theories of real abstraction. In asking about how cartographic worlds are made, at the broad level, I have attempted to take seriously the identification made by theories of real abstraction of abstraction as existing outside of the thought and consciousness of individuals. Further, I have pursued this commitment in terms of cartographic ways of seeing and knowing.

Sohn-Rethel’s emphasis on the materiality and social nature of capitalist abstraction speaks to the abstract character of cartography, and cartographic visualization, as simultaneously practice and object; an abstract modality of practice that is productive of abstract ‘objects’, or abstractions as things. My own trajectory of thought about cartography has begun with critical cartography, and attempts to develop that sub-discipline’s concern with the material, social and political efficacy of cartography by bringing its insights into contact with the understanding of the efficacy of social abstraction to be found in theories of real abstraction. In this way, ‘the map’ as such, and its procedures and techniques, may be re-positioned as the site of the production of distinctively abstract ways of seeing and knowing.

Cartographic ways of seeing render the world, or the viewed, into an abstract surface, viewed by a subject who is posited by the cartographic image in particular relations of knowledge production. The cartographic
viewer, in this way, conceptually transcends the problem or the limit of locatedness. The abstract positionality of the viewer is constituted through the functioning of cartographic abstraction, in the formation of viewpoints. The form of the abstract cartographic viewpoint posits the viewing subject, and as we have seen, the viewing subject is in some cases interpellated as de-embodied. This is most notably the case in the cartographic synoptic view, the signature viewpoint of modern cartographic imagery.

While cartographic abstraction is not reducible to, or directly mappable onto, the coordinates and concerns of real abstraction, I have proposed this theory of cartographic abstraction as a productive inquiry into how the subject of an ‘abstract thing’ may be understood to be constituted by and through that abstract thing. Where the map itself is such an ‘abstract thing’, this study has attempted a genuinely interdisciplinary investigation of this group of ‘objects’ that takes seriously the idea of social abstraction as a theoretical starting point.

In the context of a society in which social relations are mediated through commodity exchange, whereby subjects – as commodity exchangers – routinely engage in ‘thoroughly abstract action’, then, Sohn-Rethel’s theory of real abstraction enables a focus on the social and political effectivity of abstraction in the wider context of capitalist social relations. This foregrounding of abstraction as social process is already shared by critical cartographic accounts of the map and its viewer, and drawing on this shared concern allows a critical focus on the social effectivity of cartography in terms of visuality; that is, a theory of cartographic abstraction.
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