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VICTORIA GODDARD

Discomforting ethnography and contentious biographies: the case of Argentina

This article explores the entanglements of emotion and thought that are a pre-condition to personal and professional engagements with place, particularly where temporal and spatial dislocations produce unexpected gaps and connections between the ethnographer and her or his research subjects. Personal memory and experience provide an awkward but necessary guide when exploring Argentina’s history of violence and its connection to different kinds of survival and recognition that shape the interactions between different political and generational cohorts. I explore the contradictory ways in which memory and politics may contribute to defining and redefining historical subjects by focusing on the example of a victim of violence who does not fit easily within the commemorative and politicised delineations of what is considered to be a ‘lost’ and, sometimes, a heroic generation. Through this exploration, the article aims to reconnect the personal and the political through a dialogue between ethnographic and biographical encounters as discussed in the Introduction to this collection.
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Introduction

In this article, I explore some aspects of the connections between biographies and ethnographic projects. Such projects can generate a range of different kinds of intimacies, and over time our biographies may be shaped by our encounters in the field as much as they may influence the particular trajectories of our ethnographic projects. There may be a mutual witnessing of lives, we may share in joys and sorrows, be there for the birth of children, the loss of parents, share the process of growing older, and reflect on the adventures and misadventures of the younger generation. At other times, there may be dissonances arising between the experiences encompassed in our biographical views of ourselves and of the field we attempt to explore ethnographically. Either way, it is useful to be thoughtful about the biographical and to pay attention to what a biographical sensitivity may bring to our notice and purpose as researchers.

Biography and autobiography have been considered useful methods by a number of anthropologists to elicit process, understand connections over time and space, and acknowledge the overlaps and tensions between individual and collective memories. Biography is likely to highlight the fragility of our boundaries, especially between private and public, the intimate and the political and the ways in which lives cut across our conceptual and ideological categories. Biography, and perhaps in particular autobiography, can also highlight the unstable and contingent qualities of the remembered past and how what we know, recall and understand may shock, surprise and disturb our sense of the world and how we relate to it.

Biographical projects raise questions about subjectivity and memory, and about the relationship of individuals to the social contexts in which their – our – lives unfold.
Given my own biography, this article reflects on questions of gender and generation, and their multiple entanglements with histories of kinship and state. These unfold through disruptions and continuities that are tangible in the tensions and convergence in experiences that cut across, or are located in-between, the public and the private (Feuchtwang 2007). The tensions and connections that relate to my own story of growing up in Argentina and leaving the country as a young adult have shaped my understanding of many fundamental aspects of Argentine society and history, presenting possibilities and challenges for an ethnographic project in the Argentine context. The conditions and qualities of belonging appear to be at the heart of the possibilities and challenges of such a project, placing the issue of memory and remembrance, as an expression of and an exercise in caring (Lambek 2007), as an appropriate moral and political starting point. Biographical entanglements may inform the direction of research, and add depth and intensity to the emotional, ethical and political challenges associated with the field.1

The emphasis placed in this article on gender and generations corresponds with the lives and priorities of my research subjects, the Mothers of Plaza de Mayo, but also draws on my personal trajectory and my connection to the time and the place that provide the horizon for their long-term struggle: Argentine politics and society since the 1970s and the Mothers’ demands on behalf of relatives who disappeared during the military-civilian dictatorship of 1976–1983. Here, I draw on different stories and put together fragments of stories told and retold, as partial memories and traces, highlighting the ongoing challenge of incompleteness of understanding and the revelations and surprises encountered as the distances of time and space work their way through what becomes available to be seen or grasped. While presenting the case for incompleteness in the ethnographic project, I will also explore how the ‘passions’ elicited by acts of violence can shape such a project in unexpected ways. Furthermore, while biographical entanglements might well create conditions for proximity and identification, they can also elicit an awareness of incompleteness and incommensurability of experience that can undermine the possibilities of articulating an authorial voice.

Empathy, and the capacity to put oneself in another’s place, are important elements when forging a political imagination and pursuing ethical ethnographic practice. But what might be the role of other sentiments, some of them deeply felt and perhaps also disturbing. How might the sphere of private experience inform the process of contributing to a public debate and project? Two examples illustrate the shifting bases of memory and experience, the possibilities and limits of sentiments, and the continuous folding in and unfolding of public and private, individual and collective, which

1 I was born in Argentina, where I lived until I left the country in the early 1970s. This meant that I experienced the events taking place there prior to and following the 1976 coup from the distance afforded by living in Europe. The tension between proximity and distance resonates with Skultans’ experience of fieldwork in Latvia and the process of writing up her research, with an important difference being that she left Latvia as a small baby, fleeing the Soviet invasion. This difference informs the detail and the shape of what might be accepted as an ‘assumption of a common destiny’ (Skultans 1997: 1) with my own interlocutors.

From Italy and the United Kingdom, I followed the Mothers of Plaza de Mayo who, with very few exceptions (such as the Buenos Aires Herald under the editorship of Robert Cox) were ignored or maligned by the Argentine press. On family visits to Buenos Aires, I joined the Mothers’ ‘rondas’ (slow, circular walking around the obelisk at the centre of Plaza de Mayo) and marches and only decided to approach the movement from an academic perspective in the late 1990s.
we strive to account for in our analyses. A quote from a politician (of my generation) encapsulates many of these issues, as they arise in the examples discussed below.

Como a los Nazis les va a pasar ... As happened with the Nazis ... [wherever they go, we will seek them out], we have sung, on so many marches, demonstrations, escraches, road-blocks, over the years and across the county. We have sung it on the 24th March [anniversary of the 1976 coup] everywhere, from Congress to the Plaza de Mayo, in the escraches to the genocidal criminals, on the anniversary of the Night of the Pencils, in the demonstrations commemorating the Blackouts of Ledesma or in the last march in Santiago [del Estero]. Because the torturer Musa Azar, denounced for the crime against Leyla and Patricia in Santiago del Estero, is a genocidal criminal from the last military dictatorship [...]. This slogan, sung to a catchy and cheerful tune, which was invented by the children [of the disappeared], is a warning to the state terrorists [...] In the name of our comrades. And of the 30,000 [disappeared]. (http://argentina.indymedia.org/news)

These words, which draw on a slogan that is ubiquitous in human rights demonstrations in Argentina, belong to Patricia Walsh, one-time senator and daughter of the writer and journalist Rodolfo Walsh. In her statement, Patricia Walsh refers to the numerous commemorative interventions that relate to the campaign of state-sponsored violence and repression perpetrated by the 1976–1983 dictatorship. Woven into her summoning of acts of memorialisation for the victims of state violence during Argentina’s ‘dirty war’ is the remembering of a more recent case of murder in which representatives of the state were implicated. In the province of Santiago del Estero, the ex-head of intelligence of the provincial government was convicted of crimes relating to the disappearance, torture and murder of two young women. By naming the two women – Leyla Nazar and Patricia Villalba – in this context, Walsh connects the perpetrators of state terror during the military regime and the apparently unlimited power of economic and political elites in a post-military, democratic era. Walsh enunciates the recognition of these victims by connecting them to the 30,000 people who disappeared during the ‘dirty war’, and locating them within a wider history of fascism and state violence in the 20th century. At the same time, she highlights the enduring efforts of citizens to come together to devise ways of expressing collective remembrance and protest.

The contradictory relationship between memorialisation and historical amnesia has been highlighted in many theories and, at least in part, has been attributed

2 Eschraches are forms of popular protest first implemented by the H.I.J.O.S. or the Children of the Disappeared in their campaigns to make public the whereabouts – and normal everyday toings and froings – of known perpetrators of state violence during the military dictatorship of 1976–1983. They combine graffiti, songs, placards and posters to draw attention to the perpetrators’ presence in a particular neighbourhood. It is now used more widely; for example, in Spain the movement of mortgage debtors (Plataforma de los Afectados por las Hipotecas; PAH) uses escraches as a form of protest against evictions and to extend awareness of the plight of those at risk of losing their homes.

3 The road block was used as a strategy of protest by the Piquetero movement, a movement formed by unemployed workers and their families in the wake of the large-scale job losses that followed the wave of privatisations and neoliberal reforms in the 1990s.

4 On the eve of his disappearance in 1977, Rodolfo Walsh made public his Open Letter to the Junta, accusing the Argentine military government of planning and conducting a systematic campaign to crush all forms of opposition. This was aimed at preparing the ground for a radical new economic model which, as he predicted, would lead to widespread destitution and hardship.
to the difficulties inherent in bringing about successful transmission of memory and value across the generations or, to highlight the specificity of the present context, of uncertainty and instability, which reflects the impossibility of making past experience relevant to the present in the contemporary world (Nora 1989; Le Goff 1992 [1977]; Connerton 2009; Hobsbawm 1995). This impossibility is related to the instability of place and to the growing distance that separates everyday life and place, and the detachment between meaning and locality. For Connerton, this detachment relates to the realm of experience, or to what he refers to as the ‘human-scale-ness of life’ (2009: 5). And, following Lukacs, he points out that amnesia is integral to the survival and reproduction of the edifice of capitalist production (Connerton 2009). The challenge is, then, to sense or understand what we must retain and remember as well as finding ways through which we might achieve this. Memorials and monuments may conceal more than they reveal in prompting and amplifying our memory of an event; memorialisation glosses over the event and elicits a process of forgetting by suggesting a misleading degree of closure (Argenti and Schramm 2010). For our purposes, memory is best understood as experiential and open-ended, contested and contextual (Connerton 2009) and above all, subject to human agency; as Argenti and Schramm (2010) remind us, remembering – and especially the collective remembrance of violence – is a political act. The examples discussed below reflect the politics of memory, which provides the context in which the relationship between narrative and action are explored, as they come together in the telling of stories that link us to the world (Arendt 1998 [1958]; Jackson 2013).

The ‘forgotten’ Norma Mirta Penjerek

On a quiet day in May 1962, the city of Buenos Aires was paralysed by a strike called by the CGT, the General Trade Union, in protest at the conservative government’s austerity policies. The elected government of Arturo Frondizi had been cut short by a military coup in March, and the mood of instability and discontent was palpable. On that day, the anger of workers brought the city to a halt and many residents decided to stay home. In a middle-class suburb of Buenos Aires, a 16-year-old schoolgirl disappeared on her way home from her English language class just 15 blocks away. On 16 July, the press reported that the body of a woman had been found in an abandoned field in a remote corner of the province. Reports suggest that the body recovered from the field in Llavallol did not match the description of the young girl who had disappeared all those weeks ago. The reports from that period also suggest that the investigation was a shambles and that crucial clues were lost from the site where the body was found, errors that were compounded by further procedural irregularities. The identification of the body was difficult and the evidence was contradictory. Nevertheless, the woman found in Llavallol was formally identified as Norma Mirta Penjerek and buried in the Jewish cemetery of La Tablada on the outskirts of Buenos Aires.

At the time of Penjerek’s disappearance, different stories about her abduction circulated, not least among young girls. Her story had a resonance and salience that eluded those of many other young women who had disappeared, many of them migrants who travelled to the city in search of a better life. Although fears relating to the ‘white slave
Trade’ (la trata de blancas) have a long history in Argentina and are a stubborn presence in the country’s imaginary, the rumours relating to Norma Penjerek stand out: they referred to evidence of orgies where powerful men indulged their every whim and fantasy at the expense of poor and vulnerable women; they commented on evidence of bizarre practices such as vampirism, and provoked a heightened sense of danger. Such stories covertly spoke about the excesses of power, about the capacity of elites to exercise unbridled power, a power that was expressed through lust and control over the bodies of others, revealing the vulnerability of the humble and the ordinary as well as the violence perpetrated by men on women and the ultimate fragility of young women’s lives. Such stories suggested that safety was only to be found in the home as the city streets posed enormous risks, even to those merely going about their everyday lives. The extraordinary could occur to the ordinary, in an ordinary place and on an ordinary day.

While these various rumours offered an implicit critique of hierarchy and power, of gender relations and class inequalities, and seemed to challenge the moral legitimacy of the political elite, Manzano (2014) has argued that it was precisely the elite that promoted these stories and encouraged their circulation, aiming to curtail the wave of change and experimentation that was sweeping through Argentine society, particularly among the young, during the 1960s. These changes affected the private and the public sphere, proposing new attitudes regarding sexuality, gender identity and personal relationships, as the ‘old ways’ came under scrutiny and alternatives were explored. They seemed to augur radical change and a future (Cosse et al. 2010); in which the very existence of the patriarchal family was uncertain. Enthusiasm for change might be checked by the fears and anxieties that the ‘Penjerek case’ could elicit among the young and their families. Moral panics were widespread throughout 1963 and were linked to measures such as policing public behaviour, raiding night-clubs, rounding up school truants and generally curtailing the freedom of the young. The tragic death of a quiet girl from a respectable family served as an example that justified the disciplining of the young – and young women in particular – and highlighted the safety of home, and putting things and people back in their rightful place. Such anxieties about young bodies, and the drive to control them, converged with broader concerns as Cold War rhetoric explicitly connected declining morals, corruption and heightened political activity with a landscape of danger that was articulated through anti-communist and nationalist ideologies.

The ‘Penjerek case’ became entangled with the social and political concerns of her time and became a footnote to wider and even more complex landscapes arising from the recent history of European Jews. While looking through the archives of the National Library in Buenos Aires in 2011, I was struck by the juxtaposition of two news items in one of the main national newspapers. Penjerek’s disappearance had coincided with the execution of Adolf Eichmann on 31 May 1962. Eichmann, accused of coordinating the deportations of victims of Nazi power in Europe between 1942 and 1944, had found his way to exile and a new life in Argentina in the 5 See Donna Guy’s excellent study of migration and the anxieties generated by prostitution and ‘white slavery’ in late 19th and early 20th century Argentina (Guy 1991).

6 I follow Stewart and Strathern’s observation that rumours and gossip are forms of power and ‘tend to form networks of communication in which fears and uncertainties emerge and challenges to existing power structures can be covertly suppressed’ (2004: x–xi).
early 1950s. In May 1960, he was abducted and smuggled out of the country to face trial in Israel.7

The juxtaposition of the news items on Norma Mirta Penjerek and Eichmann’s execution, and the coincidence of these events gave credence to a theory that explained Penjerek’s murder as a fallout of the Eichmann case. Her Jewish identity made her a target for retaliation in response to Eichmann’s execution. A closer look at the archives showed that there had been a significant rise in violent crimes against Jewish individuals, institutions such as schools, businesses and neighbourhoods from around the time of Eichmann’s arrest and until after his trial. In 1962, 19-year-old Graciela Sirota was kidnapped by a filo-Nazi group, beaten, tortured and a swastika burnt into her skin.8 She may have been targeted simply because she was Jewish, but another version suggests she was carefully chosen because her father owned the flat where Eichmann was kept captive until he was smuggled out of Buenos Aires (Goñi 2002). Young people were particularly vulnerable to attack, and rumours circulated about the presence in schools and neighbourhoods of neo-fascist groups that were purportedly linked to Eichmann and his family, but recruited among young Argentine men, many of them from elite families.

For their part, Jewish youths responded by organising to defend themselves. But young girls felt they were likely victims of aggression, alongside those who had left-wing sympathies, who defied conventions or were, quite simply, different.9

Recent research raises questions about a number of aspects to this story. In his study of Argentine Peronism, Raanan Rein questions the widely-held views regarding Argentina’s self-appointed role as a haven for Nazi collaborators. Instead, he points out that Argentina is comparable to other countries that, following the end of the Second World War, were intent on recruiting German scientists (see Brodersen 2015). He argues that Perón, and later President Frondizi, were very supportive of the state of Israel. Perón tried to win the support of the Argentine Jewish community, for example by establishing the pro-Peronist Organización Israelita Argentina. Gradually, relations between the community and the government improved and he argues that especially during the brief Frondizi government, Argentine Jews enjoyed security and prosperity until Eichmann’s capture in 1960 triggered anti-Semitic terror campaigns (Rein 2001).

Gaby Weber (2013) challenges the story of Mossad’s discovery of Eichmann’s whereabouts in Buenos Aires and his subsequent kidnapping by Israeli agents, suggesting that a far broader alliance of forces was involved. She too points to the state of flux that characterised alliances between different powers in the immediate post-war era, and in particular points to the good relations that existed between the governments of Adenauer in West Germany and Ben Gurion in Israel. She suggests that a combination of economic and political interests were at stake, notably in relation to the politics of nuclear power, where the possibility of nuclear disarmament was raised by Khrushchev at the Paris Conference of May 1960.

Graciela Sirota was attacked on 21 June 1962; on 28 June, the Jewish community called a strike which closed Jewish businesses across the country. They were widely supported by trade unions, artists and intellectuals (see Rein 2001).

It was mainly from my (female) Jewish school-friends that I learned about the climate of fear in which they lived, the insults and the threats they were subjected to, and how they sought safety in numbers. Although the Argentine right has a historical trajectory that is independent of the fallout from the collapse of the National Socialist regime, there were ideological and personal connections between the European and the local movements. At least one of Eichmann’s children, Horst Adolf Eichmann, was known to belong to Tacuara, a fascist group that carried out acts of intimidation and violence against left-wing and Jewish individuals and institutions after Eichmann’s kidnap and trial (see Brannan 1965). Many of the young men in the pro-Nazi groups filled the ranks of the Argentine Anti-communist Alliance that conducted a campaign of terror, which prepared the ground for one of the most brutal of a long series of military regimes. Nazi sympathies and anti-Semitism were a prominent feature of Argentine Ernesto and permeated the campaigns of abduction, torture and death of the military regime between 1976 and 1983.
The silence and forgetting regarding Penjerek has shifted of late. The outstanding questions pertaining to her disappearance have recently attracted public interest. While a book published in 2007 raised awareness of her case (Domina 2007), the internet has become the site where comments and stories proliferate, largely repeating earlier accounts of her disappearance, adding little new, nevertheless providing a kind of coherence to what had been loosely threaded rumours and conjectures. Other stories are proposed and possible endings are envisaged, such as the possible involvment of Norma Penjerek’s family in Eichmann’s identification and capture. For example, one suggestion is that in order to avoid the inevitable retaliation that would follow Eichmann’s trial and execution, she was spirited out of Argentina to live out her life on an Israeli kibbutz. Some contributors to the online discussion express concerns about the ultimate unknowability of her fate; others worry who might be buried in the Tablada cemetery. If it is not her body resting there, who lies in Norma Penjerek’s tomb? And if it is not hers, what further wrongdoing, what other immoral tampering and what improper burial might be encoded in the mysteries surrounding her story?

Grace, my neighbour in West London, happened to go to the same school as Norma Penjerek: the Liceo Nacional de Señoritas No. 12 Fray Mamerto Esquiú. This coincidence was brought to my attention when I mentioned in passing that I was interested in Penjerek’s story. Grace still remembers that time vividly, ‘as if it was yesterday’. She recalls going to school as usual and finding that one of her fellow pupils had disappeared. Grace recalls the profound disquiet of the students; she too was deeply affected and felt compelled to do something. She attempted to rally her fellow students, to demonstrate their repudiation of this act of violence against a young girl. But the plan was cut short when she was summoned by the headmistress, who reprimanded her and called in her father, presumably with a view to gaining his support. She recalls fondly that her father ‘elegantly’ showed his understanding and support for his daughter and refused to endorse the school’s reaction. Grace recalls the turmoil that swept through so many of the capital’s secondary schools at the time. Nevertheless, the authorities at her school had also made it very clear that the kind of public action she had proposed was quite unacceptable.10 Although there was a great deal of solidarity and show of support by trade unions, parties of the left and other groups towards the victims of anti-Semitic attacks, there seems to be little evidence of a campaign in the name of Norma Penjerek.11

Although Norma Penjerek remains a vivid and compelling memory of my childhood and that of others, it became clear through many informal conversations that she was not remembered widely. A modest survey based on a random sample of adult

10 Secondary schools, especially in the capital, were subject to violent attacks and were also sites of struggle and protest. Tacuara found supporters in some of the most well-known and prestigious schools in the capital, such as the Nacional Buenos Aires and the Nacional Sarmiento. One of the worst cases of violence took place in the Sarmiento on 17 August 1960, when students who were members of Tacuara attacked their Jewish fellow students. In the attack, Edgardo Trilnik, 15 years old, was killed (see Kiernan 2005). Currently, the Sarmiento secondary school is involved in developing an archive of the school’s history; in 2015, they focused on the school during the 1950s and early 1960s, including the Tacuara presence, compiling the testimonies of students from that generation (see Archivo Historico at https://es-la.facebook.com/ArchivoHistoricoSarmiento).

11 Despite the condemnation of Tacuara and its violent tactics, individual members of the group found themselves in key positions in right-wing groups, and eventually as part of paramilitary groups working for the government, while others renounced anti-Semitism while espousing violence as a strategy within movements like the Peronist Montoneros or the ERP and FAR.
residents in the city of Buenos Aires and its environs suggested that there were clear
gender and generational factors in relation to who remembered her and what was
remembered, although it would be impossible to draw clear demarcations between
those who recalled her and those who did not, and between those who recalled her as
a ‘case’ and those who had a more personal and embodied recollection of the events
surrounding her disappearance.12 With important exceptions, older men in the sample
recalled very disconnected fragments of the story; older women were more likely to
recall her accurately and promptly. Some remembered the impact the case had on their
own lives, as their families cancelled outings and forced them to remain in the safety of
their homes.

In most cases, younger men and women could not find a connection to the name
or the story surrounding it. Generational transmission of the memory of Penjerek’s
tragic story had been imperfect, in contrast to what took place with the victims of
state violence such as the disappeared or the victims of class-based sexual violence,
including Leyla, Patricia and Maria Soledad. Norma Penjerek was a forgotten victim.
The question was why and how this had occurred, what responsibility had my gen-
eration failed to live up to and why? And in forgetting Norma Penjerek, what else
was forgotten? What other experiences and lives were rendered invisible in the silence
and opacity surrounding her fate? Although several responses to our questionnaire
were revealing in what was remembered, misremembered or not remembered at all,
one response struck me as particularly significant. This was the case of a woman who
reacted promptly when asked if she knew about Norma Penjerek, stating that indeed
she did and that Norma Penjerek ‘was the first disappeared’. Her response is striking
because it condenses many layers of meaning: the exposure of youth to violence, the
complicity or direct involvement of agents of the state in the violence unleashed on
civilian populations and the emergence of a new historicity, a lens through which to
understand the past and its relation to the present as well as a reflection of the success
of human rights organisations’ politics of memory.

This situation contrasts with later cases in which widespread solidarity and pro-
test placed a personal tragedy firmly within the space of public debate. In 1990 Maria
Soledad Morales, a seventeen-year old student, was drugged, raped and murdered in
the Argentine province of Catamarca. As occurred with Norma Penjerek, there were
rumours that she was the victim of the arrogance and abuse of members of the elite,
or the ‘children of power’ (hijos del poder). Delays in the investigation, the evidence
of a cover-up and complicity among the authorities and members of the local elite to
protect potential culprits provoked widespread outrage. Several children of the elite
were under suspicion, including the city mayor’s nephews, the son of a parliamentarian
and the son of the chief of police. Martha Pelloni, a Missionary Carmelite and headmis-
tress of Maria Soledad’s school, led the protests, which were known as the ‘marches of
silence’ and brought together people from across the social spectrum.

The pressure brought about by persistent popular protest ensured that the case
was not abandoned and eventually two of the suspects were put on trial.13 The case had
wide-ranging repercussions and affected the highest levels of regional and national

12 In addition to my own enquiries, conversations and research on the question of Norma Penjerek,
Graciela Estevez carried out two brief surveys involving 30 respondents in 2007 and 2012.
13 The son of a member of Congress and member of the governing party was found to be the principal
culprit, aided and abetted by Maria Soledad’s ex-boyfriend. It is widely believed that more people
were involved in the murder and in the cover-up that followed.
government, including the collapse of a local political dynasty, resignations and federal intervention in the province of Catamarca. The marches, widely covered by the media, brought the case of María Soledad and the corrupt semi-feudal relations that prevailed in the province to the attention of the entire country. A book (Rey and Pazos 1991) and a film made by a well-known director, Héctor Olivera, in 1993 (*El Caso María Soledad*) also marked this case and helped to translate the tragic death of this young woman into a public event, with political and social implications.

**A changing landscape: gender and protest**

Since the 1960s and Norma Penjerek’s disappearance, profound changes have taken place in the country’s political culture and the contours of the public sphere. Most importantly, there have been highly significant developments in the range and repertoires of protest. The most significant and influential innovations were articulated by a group of women who, in 1977, came together to protest against the military government. Defying the iron grip of the military’s control over public space and their repression of all opposition and protest, these women were propelled by personal loss, the disappearance of their sons and daughters, to defy the military government. As Feijoó and Nari comment, it is quite remarkable that it was women who led the way in the struggle to break the stranglehold of the military regime and who pioneered new and effective forms of protest. In response to a government that attacked the life as well as the freedom of citizens, these women ‘erected the principle of life against a government that dismissed the value of human life. It was women who aroused a society that had become a silent accomplice in the face of these horrors’ (Feijoó and Nari 1994: 112). In defending life itself, they forged a new ethical and political language; the relationships and experiences of the private sphere provided the motivation and resources for this task.

The women who defied the military between 1976 and 1983 were, as Jelin, Feijoó and Nari and others have commented, breaking new ground in a society that was saturated with the exercise of violence by the state under the banner of what was referred to as the Process of National Reorganization (see Feijoó and Gogna 1990). These small groups of women who demanded to know the whereabouts of their children and their grandchildren defied military power through the force of their perseverance and imagination and shaped the terms of debates concerning the enduring problems of violence, political injustice and economic inequality, and continue to do so into the present day. From the safety of Europe, I followed the extraordinary actions of the Mothers of Plaza de Mayo, as a small handful of journalists defied threats and exile to report on ‘what was really happening in Argentina’. I received contradictory accounts from home, heard the stories of those who had fled under the pressure of threats to themselves and their families, who told of the sudden disappearance of colleagues and friends. Over the course of visits from the 1980s to the 1990s, I witnessed the blossoming of the presence of the Mothers in the public sphere and felt that with their quiet circling around the Plaza de Mayo under the hostile watch of the military – and much of the public too – they had achieved something that went beyond all expectations in standing firm and providing an enduring alternative and a persistent critique of the status quo. I was impressed, and moved, by their ability to arouse sentiments of love, passion, commitment and courage, by the fact that they could gather such vast and diverse
crowds and, increasingly, command the attention of those in power. I was, above all, interested in how they had forged a quite novel sense of public space and how they had initiated and fostered a new politics that has grown and responded to new challenges over more than 30 years. It seemed to me that their movement could be understood in an Arendtian sense of the political, as acting in concert, shaping and reshaping a public space for dialogue and contentious action.

Nevertheless, in approaching the question of the Mothers’ stories and actions ethnographically, I faced many obstacles that were to do with capturing, understanding and reflecting on the multiple dimensions of this politics, in which sentiments and passions played such an important part. Some discomfort arose from disconnections and dislocations between my story and the story of generations that unfolded in Argentina from the 1960s, a story of which I was a part, but from which I was also estranged through distance. I belong to the generation of the disappeared, and the mothers belong to the generation of my own mother. As an Argentine living abroad for several decades, my interest in them inevitably raised questions from them about my story. I was not always comfortable sharing this story in its entirety, not least because of a feeling that it was rather irrelevant when faced with the heroic stories of the children who were taken, tortured and disappeared. Consequently, our conversations rarely felt like an ‘exchange of stories’ (Skultans 1997: 1) and seemed instead to be more like a negotiation of potentially shared terrain that also recognised the possibility of incomensurability and difference between their experience, that of their disappeared children and my own trajectory.

While I failed in my attempts to find a way to capture in writing the range and depth of the emotional registers of the stories, events and the multiple performances of politics that took place under the banner of the Mothers and other human rights groups, these highlighted burning questions about the nature of the political and of the importance of ‘acting in concert’. It seemed that, despite hostility from much of the press and sectors of the public, the Mothers succeeded in transmitting to the new generations not only the memory of their disappeared children, but along with it, a sense of inclusiveness and the possibilities of creating new repertoires of protest. Over a period of more than 30 years, the Mothers helped open up a public space of protest and created a new language and practice of protest, extending the possibilities of public action and the boundaries of the political.

The extent to which the Mothers and the Grandmothers have shifted the terms of protest and politics in a radical new direction is striking. At the same time, many other struggles and changes have taken place since the 1960s, not least where women’s place in the public sphere is concerned. From the recognition of women’s invisibility to the recognition of the value of women’s domestic labour, women became increasingly visible protagonists in the sphere of politics and public life (Jelin 1996). They were active within neighbourhood groups, as their responsibilities as mothers and housewives...

14 In Vieda Skultans’ vivid description of her Latvian experience I recognise some of my own difficulties. She explains: ‘I did not feel intact after my fieldwork in Latvia. I had not anticipated the rawness of people’s pain nor the force and urgency of their drive to narrate the past. Their effect on me was an uncomfortable combination of exhaustion and a determination to do justice to the narratives entrusted to me’ (Skultans 1997: 9).

15 When the economy collapsed in 2001 and people took to the streets in apparently spontaneous protest, many of those who had never before protested or marched said that they had been moved to take action when they had found out that the Mothers had been targeted by the authorities.
compelled them to confront the erosion of living standards and livelihoods. Feminist groups emerged in the early 1970s and, although they were dissolved by the military after the 1976 coup, they returned with increased vitality during the transition to democracy. The diverse forms of women’s involvement, through housewives’ organisations, feminist organisations or human rights groups, contributed to the emergence of experiences, networks and organisations that recognised and addressed women’s issues. These were not isolated changes but mirrored and were reflected in wider, global debates and struggles.\(^{16}\)

The return to democracy enabled a regrouping of organisations and the establishment of significant institutions such as the Tribunal of Violence Against Women and organisations such as the ‘Lugar de la Mujer’ (‘Women’s Place’, set up in Buenos Aires in 1983), and many are active to this day. The aim of Women’s Place is to provide services and support women’s participation in line with the claim that ‘we are protagonists’ (Somos protagonistas). The website explains that: ‘Women have gone from the solitary private space, in which they did not count, to a public world which started, reluctantly, to listen to them’ (lugardemujer.org.ar). Grassroots initiatives, rooted in civil society, exist alongside institutional initiatives, such as the Comisión de la Mujer y la Familia, sponsored by the Ministry of Security of the Government of the City of Buenos Aires. It is primarily intended to address domestic violence and violence against women and children. This, then, is a very different political and physical landscape from the one in which rumours and reality converged to tell the story of the terrible fate suffered by Norma Penjerek. And it is important that this new landscape has been produced through the concerted action of many different actors. Yet despite these changes, the victims of violence in the home and in public space continue to be news, while at the same time the public perception of official ineptitude or indeed the complicity of the authorities (Caldeira 1996) is all too often confirmed. While our informant remembered Norma Penjerek as ‘the first disappeared’, others recall her as one of a long list of young women whose violent deaths remain unsolved (Carabajal 2011).

The Mothers and Grandmothers of Plaza de Mayo’s creation of a new lexicon of protest and their sustained challenge to those in power illustrate their trajectory from the private to the public, from their deep concern for their children to a wider, embracing project, or towards the ‘care for the world’ that Arendt identifies with authentic politics (Villa 2005; Kateb 2005 [2000]; Arendt 1990 [1963]). Their engagement with imagination, with care and passion, has done much to make their passage through history memorable, a quality that, as Kateb points out, is fundamental to Arendt’s concept of the political. For Arendt, the political ‘exists to be memorable, to become the stuff of political history’ (Arendt 1990 [1963]).

16 Women and families played an important and visible role in the Human Rights movement. As well as the Mothers and Grandmothers of Plaza de Mayo (Madres and Abuelas de Plaza de Mayo), there were also the Permanent Assembly for Human Rights (Asamblea Permanente para los Derechos Humanos, APDH), Families of the Detained and Disappeared (Familiares de Detenidos y Desaparecidos), the Ecuménico Movement for Human Rights (Movimiento Ecuménico para los Derechos Humanos or MEDH) and the Service of Peace and Justice (Servicio de Paz y Justicia or SERPAC). Over time, new generations contributed their own efforts and innovative forms of protest, notably the H.I.J.O.S. or Children of the Disappeared.

17 As Jelin notes, these issues were highlighted in the international context – for example, the declaration of International Year of Women in 1975 was an important focus for such debates. Inaugurated in Mexico City with a large international meeting that attracted a wide range of women, particularly non-elite women, the Year of Women created many opportunities for building solidarities and networks across national borders (Jelin 1996).
of stories immediately’ (Kateb 2005 [2000]: 133). Such stories are a crucial force in producing the political and, above all, have the power to connect self and other, to construct a public world, to reconcile the private self and the public and articulate a political commitment to the world (Jackson 2013). The stories that were made and shared alongside the production of memorable actions in relation to the disappeared, and the visibility achieved through many years of struggle, have eluded Norma Penjerek. But, as new stories unfold and new languages and modes of telling stories emerge, there is hope that her story or the various stories she may inspire will be told. The expectation is that now they will be told not as props for strategies of control through moral panics, but as stories that claim the right to be visible, integral and free.

**Passions and reason: Arendt on Eichmann in Jerusalem**

Eichmann’s trial has been considered a turning point in the process of rendering private suffering public and producing a collective memory. Hannah Arendt’s report on this important trial provoked admiration and dismay in equal measure (Arendt 1979). Her writing on Eichmann and his role in the organisation of Nazi genocide is relevant to our discussion, raising important issues about the responsibility of the writer, about ethical action and simply how to approach horror and suffering as well as adding important dimensions to her analysis of totalitarianism. Arendt’s report on the trial was considered by many, including close and dear friends, to be insensitive (as well as containing factual errors). In what Deborah Nelson (2004) has described as the ‘scandal of tone in Eichmann in Jerusalem’, Arendt was thought to be conceding too much ground to Eichmann’s views and to show a lack of sympathy towards his victims. While various explanations have been offered for her ‘tone’ and what seems an inappropriate recourse to irony in her depiction of the man and his trial, Nelson and others stress the importance of locating her approach within her broader political philosophy. Arendt was struck by Eichmann’s ordinariness and thoughtlessness, his inability to put himself in the place of another. These shortcomings of the man are crucial and illustrate Arendt’s diagnosis of the conditions of possibility of totalitarianism while apparently contradicting her approach to stories that, as they are told and shared, create new political possibilities (as described above). In On revolution (Arendt 1990 [1963]), published in the period immediately prior to the trial, she argues that the capacity of emotions such as compassion, sympathy and love to overwhelm us (and others) poses a danger to the political: the emotions can obscure the facts and have the effect of suppressing plurality in favour of an all-encompassing purpose or rationale. She argues that ‘The boundlessness of emotion and sympathy’ are dangerous because it ‘dissolves otherness by eliminating distance, which maintains the distinction between self and the other that makes plurality attainable’ (Nelson 2004: 233–4).

Arendt guides us in the process of thinking when faced with violence and atrocities. For example, she contextualised Eichmann’s ‘ordinariness’ historically and politically within the social and cultural conditions produced under totalitarianism. Reflecting on the matter of conscience she comments: ‘From the accumulated evidence one can only conclude that conscience as such had apparently got lost in Germany, and this to a point where people hardly remembered it and had ceased to realize that the surprising “new set of German values” was not shared by the outside world’ (Arendt 2005: 42).
Instead, we are urged to think and to reflect in relation to the world and our responsibility towards it, to doubt ourselves, to question, while engaging with others freely in the plurality of thought and experience; to face reality, which means living with doubt, contradiction and discomfort. Arendt does not deny suffering but rather urges us to go beyond it to come to grips with the facts pertaining to the conditions that give rise to it. It is all too easy for emotionally charged representations of suffering to distract us from the causes of suffering, while it is also the case that the display of suffering has not, so far, dissuaded those responsible for inflicting suffering from continuing to do so.19 The challenge is to be led neither by the passions, which can mislead and distract through their intensity and immediacy, nor by rationality, which can impose a homogenising, unifying metanarrative and discourse to the detriment of the plurality of social life. Instead, Arendt emphasises the importance of thinking, of reflection including self-reflection, and of staying in touch with reality, no matter how difficult this may be.

The jagged interface of memory and the shifting terrain of the past, the relational conditions for self-reflection, suggest that ‘biography’ may tempt us towards an overly coherent presentation of self and other and that the edges, the gaps and the inconveniences and discomforts of our relationship to self and other may have to be glossed over to present a biographical account. Perhaps it is preferable to talk about life as a kind of context and set of conditions of possibility that we may share, however incompletely and imperfectly, with others – recognising too that some lives, as lived trajectories, are both solitary and completely entangled with the lives of others (see Beatty, this issue).

Putting (some of) the pieces of the puzzle together; fragments of past experience, memories such as children fighting on a stairwell over who was really responsible for killing Christ, the distress of a schoolgirl when she learnt about the burning of books by Nazi sympathisers (her own family tree, grown over centuries in Germany and then brutally truncated by the Nazi regime, was quietly but visibly kept in a book in the family’s living room); the fearful stories of another schoolgirl about the threatening presence of philo-Nazi gangs in her very respectable neighbourhood, and all the stories of those who are afraid because of who they are – Jewish, or Communist, female, young, old – stories about social invisibility and about people who disappear and bodies that appear, sometimes barely appearing accidentally and sometimes appearing spectacularly and displayed to say: this is where power is and this is what it does. All these demand a story, one that can be apprehended, and work so that these experiences are made to matter.20

19 While empathy and the capacity to put oneself in the place of another is fundamental and compassion can lead us towards understanding, other passions pose a serious distraction partly because they foreclose dialogue and analysis and partly because they are powerful yet ephemeral. This seems to be the conclusions reached by Williams (2016) in his recent book on the pursuit of justice after the Second World War, where he alludes to Arendt’s writing on Eichmann’s trial.

20 It is difficult to understand the work of stories without considering their ability to elicit emotions. In relation to a different context and to debates regarding ‘humanitarian government’, Fassin (2012) raises the problem and potential risks of a politics based on suffering and compassion. At the same time, he points out that moral sentiments and emotions which, like compassion, lead us to pay attention to the suffering of others, have the capacity of linking action and values. While refusing a ‘government’ based on humanitarianism, it is nevertheless useful to think about the role of compassion in creating links, bonds, solidarities and actions that we might be quite comfortable defining as ‘political’.
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Skultans (1997) reflects on the multivocal qualities of her work on Latvian lives, which emerge from a dialogue about stories and memories made possible by the overlaps between her autobiography and the experiences of her interlocutors. The questions relating to Norma Mirta Penjerek and my own preoccupation with her short life, and, for that matter, the challenges faced by the Mothers of Plaza de Mayo, all relate to the impossibility of dialogue and the incommensurability of experience. Nevertheless, as the Mothers have shown, these stories, in the incompleteness of the truncated lives they reflect and represent, can and should be told. They matter in themselves and they are constitutive of powerful political actions. Arendt, reflecting on the notion of ‘life’, points out that:

The chief characteristic of this specifically human life, whose appearance and disappearance constitute worldly events, is that it is itself always full of events which ultimately can be told as a story, establish a biography; it is of this life, bios as distinguished from mere zoe, that Aristotle said that it ‘somehow is a kind of praxis’. (1998 [1958]: 97)

Action and speech, which were constitutive of the Greek understanding of politics, produce stories that can be told. Retrieving life through stories about lives is the work of ethnography and politics. Ethnography as a process, as an open-ended quest, with its insistence on attending to minutiae and to the importance of the small things, highlights the recognition of contradiction. The effort to accommodate multiple voices and plural perspectives and the insistence on self-reflection and preparedness for surprise – and discomfort – is compatible with the direction Arendt proposes for politics, but may also be useful as a direction for life.
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21 The temptation to succumb to emotions and to understand what fragments we may grasp as part of a ‘then’ in contrast to ‘now’ and be seduced by the metanarrative of progression – from violence and totalitarianism towards democracy and participation – is checked by Arendt’s ‘insensitivity’ and her insistence on thinking, which are a salutary corrective both in life and in ethnographic practice.


Ethnographie inconfortable et biographies controversées: le cas de l’Argentine

Cet article explore les enchevêtrements d’émotions et de pensées qui sont une condition préalable aux engagements personnels et professionnels avec le lieu, en particulier lorsque les dislocations temporelles et spatiales produisent des lacunes et des liens inattendus entre l’ethnographe et ses sujets de recherche. La mémoire personnelle et l’expérience constituent un guide maladroit mais nécessaire pour explorer l’histoire de la violence en Argentine et son lien avec les différents types de survie et de reconnaissance qui façonnent les interactions entre les différentes cohortes politiques et générationnelles. J’explore les manières contradictoires dont la mémoire et la politique peuvent contribuer à définir et à redéfinir les sujets historiques en mettant l’accent sur l’exemple d’une victime de violence qui ne rentre pas facilement dans les délimitations commémoratives et politisées de ce qui est considéré comme une génération « perdu » et, parfois, héroïque. A travers cette exploration, l’article vise à reconnecter le personnel et la politique à travers un dialogue entre rencontres ethnographiques et biographiques comme discuté dans l’Introduction à cette collection.
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