Stravinsky and Khrennikov: An Unlikely Alliance

by Alexander Ivashkin

Stravinsky left prerevolutionary Russia in 1914. In August 1925, he received an unexpected letter from Nadezhda Briusova, then deputy vice-chancellor of the Moscow Conservatory:

In response to your letter to […] comrade Novitskii:
[...] The Government has agreed to your return to Russia. It agrees to give you a full amnesty for all your past actions if such actions ever took place. Of course the government cannot guarantee your immunity in the case of any antirevolutionary behavior on your side. What is guaranteed is your full freedom in terms of traveling from and to RSFSR as you wish […]

Stravinsky replied within a week, on 18 August 1925:

Madam, I was very surprised to receive your kind letter sent on the 10 August, as I have never written to Mr. Novitskii, or anybody else, with such requests […]

This kind of “blackmailing” was perhaps one of the reasons why Stravinsky did not make a return visit to Russia until 1962. His critical attitude towards the communist regime in Russia developed even further in 1930, when he acquired Kniga o Stravinskom (A Book about Stravinsky) by Igor Glebov (the pen name of Boris Asaf’ev). Stravinsky made numerous sarcastic comments in the margins, e.g. “Eto – dla kommunistov” (This is for communists) (Plate 1).

In June 1961, Stravinsky conducted a performance of his own works at a festival in Los Angeles. The event was attended by a group of Soviet musicians – composers Tikhon Khrennikov and Kara Karaev, and the musicologist Boris Iarustovskii. In a letter to his old friend Piotr Souvchinskii, Stravinsky wrote on 12 June 1961:

Yesterday the festival was concluded with […] hopeless music by Tikhon Khrennikov and Kara Karaev. This is incredible rubbish, which I could not escape, particularly because they visited our place the day before for dinner, and they invited me to celebrate my eightieth birthday with them in Moscow […] What a horror […] I do not believe that this invitation signals a sincere and final change towards me and my music: because Khrennikov isn’t Iudina, and because the Shostakovich cult isn’t a myth. It is horrible to have to smile when I want to vomit.
Потому ли, что тут примешались инстинктивно-органические стремления в самом творчестве или оттого, что в развитии действия оперы наметился сильный внутренний конфликт, но третий акт «Соловьи» оказался самым глубоким, самым ценным и непреходящим. Соприкосовение со смертью всегда служило для русских композиторов стимулом к искренней глубокой и выразительной музыке, независимо от их верований и воззрений. Конечно, большую роль тут сыграло то, что между религиозным убеждением и верой в бессмертие и между непреложным с материалистической точки зрения фактом бесследного исчезновения личного сознания человечество «переживает» множество промежуточных стадий, вызванных непримиримыми со смертью инстинктами жизни и эмоциями страха. Скептицизм — одна из этих стадий, и он тем сильнее, чем сильнее личное, художественное творческое восприятие, столь упорно возвещающее власть жизни и, конечно, не легко примирающееся с черной дырой «по ту сторону». Эта непримиренность и инстинктивный ужас перед концом и создают, как я уже говорил, мир жутких или фантастически-гротескных, «добрых или злых», благосклонных или неблагосклонных образов. Они иррациональны и иллюзорны, но они в то же время сильны и властны, потому что их вызывают непосредственные жизненные ощущения и эмоции. В «потустороннем» сильна не выдумка, но выдумка меняется с возрастом человечества и с успехами науки, а сильно то, что выдумку питает: непреодолимый ужас смерти, вовсе не вызванный только суверием, а главным образом — инстинктом жизни. Как бы то ни было, но сопротивлению смерти человеческое искусство обязано высокохудожественными выразительными созданиеми, в которых горе и страх, скорбь и надежда содержат бесчисленное множество оттенков.

Plate 1: Igor Glebov, Kniga o Stravinskom (Leningrad: Triton, 1929), p. 97, with Igor Stravinsky’s annotations (Igor Stravinsky Collection).
Khrennikov’s account of this first meeting is quite different:

We were so happy to be able to attend a concert of Stravinsky’s music. […] I liked his Violin Concerto. This is a great work, as is Symphony of Psalms, a piece I knew before the Second World War […] The following night Stravinsky attended our concert. It was a great success. Stravinsky […] gave me a hug and kissed me.⁶

Soon after that, Stravinsky received a translation of Khrennikov’s paper published in 1948, through his friend, the composer Nicholas Nabokov:⁷

In Petrushka and Les Noces Stravinsky, with Diaghilev’s blessing, uses Russian folk customs in order to mock at them in the interests of European audiences, which he does by emphasizing Asiatic primitivism, coarseness, and animal instincts, and deliberately introducing sexual motives. Ancient folk melodies are intentionally distorted as if seen in a crooked mirror.⁸

In his memoirs, published in 1994, Khrennikov accused Boris Iarustovskii of being responsible for writing these negative comments on Stravinsky for Khrennikov’s speeches in 1948.⁹ Yet he read these speeches himself, and with great enthusiasm. In 1963, the same Iarustovskii (then a member of the USSR Communist Party Central Committee) published a book on Stravinsky’s music. Full of mistakes and discrepancies, this book was nevertheless the first Russian study of Stravinsky’s music since Asaf’ev’s Kniga o Stravinskom (1929). Iarustovskii sent the book to Stravinsky, asking for his comments. Stravinsky almost certainly never opened it: the copy is now in the Paul Sacher Foundation and is in pristine condition.¹⁰

For some reason, Stravinsky mistakenly believed Shostakovich and Khrennikov to be Soviet officials of the same rank. Shostakovich, however, always admired Stravinsky’s music and named him as one of his favorite composers on numerous occasions.¹¹ It was he who supported Khrennikov’s invitation to Stravinsky at a meeting of the Soviet Composers’ Union in 1961 (Kabalevskii, by contrast, was very much against Stravinsky’s visit).¹² Shostakovich was unable to meet Stravinsky on his first day in Moscow, and sent a telegram with his apologies.¹³ When Stravinsky died, the only telegram sent to the family from Soviet Russia was from Shostakovich:

I am shocked by the news of the death of the great Russian composer Igor Fedorovich Stravinsky. I share your heavy grief. Dmitrii Shostakovich.¹⁴

Khrennikov arranged for Stravinsky to meet Nikita Khrushchev, then the head of the Soviet state. The meeting took place just before the composer’s departure back to Paris on 11 October 1962. Khrushchev allegedly offered Stravinsky permanent residency in the USSR, and urged him to come back to his motherland, promising even to return his family mansion in Ustilug. According to Khrennikov, Stravinsky agreed.¹⁵ However, Khrennikov’s statement is not corroborated by any archival sources. Khrennikov also claimed that he asked Vera Stravinsky if her husband could be buried in
Russia, but again there is no documentary proof that the conversation took place.  

Stravinsky continued to receive occasional letters and telegrams from Khrennikov until December 1968. Up until 1967, Khrennikov repeatedly tried to discuss the possibility of another Stravinsky visit to Russia: “Радь приветствовать Вас в Москве в любое удобное для Вас время” (I would be happy to greet you in Moscow at any time). Stravinsky politely wrote: “Regret impossibility coming Moscow at the moment.” 

Khrennikov clearly used his connections with Stravinsky to further his own interests in Soviet Russia, and made efforts for Stravinsky to be seen as his “family friend.” In one typical maneuver, an anonymous telegram was sent to Stravinsky on 29 May 1963 saying: “Тихон Хренников будет пятьдесят на 10 июня. Союз композиторов СССР.” Stravinsky replied from Dublin: “Our very best wishes and congratulations to Tikhon Nikolaeovich Khrennikov for his fiftieth anniversary. Stravinsky.” Khrennikov’s daughter, Natasha, a theater designer, sent Stravinsky her sketches for costumes for a new stage production of Stravinsky’s *The Fairy’s Kiss* in Ruse, Bulgaria, in 1966. 

The very last telegram from Stravinsky to Khrennikov was sent on 12 December 1968 to convey New Year’s greetings:

Warmest wishes for the New Year to you and your family and please convey the same to all the members of the Composers Union.

Igor Stravinsky.

However polite, Stravinsky had no illusions regarding Soviet officials, Khrennikov included. When Nicholas Nabokov asked Stravinsky to recommend him to Khrennikov for a possible trip to the USSR, he replied by a telegram from Vienna, dated 3 January 1963: 

I have not written anything to Khrennikov yet because unfortunately circumstances have changed and there has been a shift to the right in the realm of the arts …

It is true that Stravinsky’s visit to Russia helped to dramatically change the situation for new music in the USSR. Paradoxically, Khrennikov, Jarustovskii, Kabalevskii, and other reactionaries in the Soviet Composers’ Union used Stravinsky’s visit to draw a veil over their own previous activities and to give themselves an underserved “aura.” Khrennikov, who strongly criticized Prokofiev, Shostakovich, and Stravinsky, and who made life almost impossible for both Prokofiev and Shostakovich in the 1940s and 1950s, later claimed to love their music and made untrue statements about his friendship with all of them. Clearly, Stravinsky understood the situation well, and treated each of Khrennikov’s advances with due caution. His reaction provides a salutary example for researchers today, especially those intent on rewriting history through excessively literal interpretations of archival materials without proper consideration of their context.
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