# **Goldsmiths Research Online**

Goldsmiths Research Online (GRO) is the institutional research repository for Goldsmiths, University of London

## Citation

Shokraneh, Farhad and Russell-Rose, Tony. 2020. Lessons from COVID-19 to Future Evidence Synthesis Efforts: First Living Search Strategy and Out of Date Scientific Publishing and Indexing Industry. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 123, pp. 171-173. ISSN 0895-4356 [Article]

## Persistent URL

https://research.gold.ac.uk/id/eprint/28401/

#### Versions

The version presented here may differ from the published, performed or presented work. Please go to the persistent GRO record above for more information.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Goldsmiths, University of London via the following email address: gro@gold.ac.uk.

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated. For more information, please contact the GRO team: gro@gold.ac.uk



Accepted by **Journal of Clinical Epidemiology** Submission Date: **01 April 2020** Acceptance Date: **16 April 2020** 

Title: Lessons from COVID-19 to Future Evidence Synthesis Efforts: First Living Search Strategy and Out of Date Scientific Publishing and Indexing Industry (Submitted)

#### Authors: Farhad Shokraneh<sup>1,2</sup>, Tony Russell-Rose<sup>3</sup>

- 1. Information Scientist, Cochrane Schizophrenia Group, Division of Psychiatry and Applied Psychology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
- 2. Institute of Mental Health, a Partnership between the University of Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK
- 3. Senior Lecturer, Goldsmiths, University of London

Dear Editor,

Nussbaumer-Streit *et al.* reported a timely study on the exclusion of non-English language reports in systematic reviews [1] but cautiously generalised the implications to rapid reviews rather than systematic reviews. The results complement the guidance in the new edition of the Cochrane Handbook [2]. However, the time of publication of this report coincides with the COVID-19 outbreak that introduces a geographical bias towards the inclusion of non-English literature. Although many researchers will try to publish in English, literature in non-English should not be ignored. We also thought it will be an added value to share our other experiences on literature search for evidence synthesis on COVID-19.

#### **Vocabulary Chaos and Controlled Vocabulary**

When the first case of COVID-19 was reported on 17 November 2019, neither the virus nor the disease it causes had a name. It is hard to describe and report such a thing in academic literature especially when journals' submission systems can mandate using at least five keywords to describe your work. As a result, researchers started creating names using terms related to geography (e.g. 'Wuahan Pneumonia'), some adding a date ('Coronavirus 2019'), and the others having a setting ('Wuhan Seafood Market Pneumonia Virus').

Until the Coronaviridae Study Group of International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) named it "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2" (SARS-CoV-2) [3] and WHO designated the disease it causes as COVID-19 [4], a variety of designations were used and are still being used to describe the same concept. Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) were being updated so frequently that it was hard to keep up. My colleague asked 'What if they change the Supplementary Concept tomorrow?'. Ironically, I had to change my search strategy the following day because MeSH changed the concept from 'Wuhan Coronavirus' to 'Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2'. Fortunately, NLM has documented these changes [5, 6]. When some of the Supplementary Concepts become a Subject Heading, they may change again. It may take decades until a well-known concept becomes a subject heading. Recent examples are 'Meta-Analysis' and 'Systematic Reviews' that were added to MeSH only in 2008 and 2019 respectively, when hitherto they were not even supplementary concepts.

#### Lesson One: Problem - Many Search Strategies, None Stable

Librarians and Information Specialists are frequently asked to design and run searches for literature on COVID-19. Apart from the fact that designing search strategies can be subjective and strategies may vary from one search expert to another, terminology is changing rapidly and search strategies that were accurate when first published can become unreliable and unable to retrieve relevant literature in a consistent manner. Although search experts rely on reported terms by the authors and indexing terms from indexing bodies - both shown to be insufficient for COVID-19 - we would all benefit from a single source of truth: a standardised and up-to-date way to find literature on a particular concept. Using a validated search filter could certainly help but the rapid growth of relevant literature and a lack of stable Subject Headings both mitigate against the reliability of this approach.

# Lesson Two: Solution - The First Living Search Strategy

Although COVID-19 is an extreme example, search experts are aware that changes in MeSH (Subject Headings annually and Supplementary Concepts weekly) and Emtree (thrice a year) may mean that search strategies may become out of date within a year and may require an update, and limiting the search to publication date or database entry date may offer only a temporary workaround. In addition, databases may change their records and add, modify or delete fields that necessitate changes to the syntax of search strategies. The need for living search strategies has always been there, but COVID-19 has brought this need into sharper focus. To date there has been no open platform to develop living search strategies and search experts have become accustomed to writing searches using word processing programs and sharing them in text documents (e.g. spreadsheet or PDF) with all the limitations this implies [7].

As a response we offer what may be the first living search strategy with live search results using the 2Dsearch platform. This search strategy is being updated and curated as the concept develops and as the new terminology appears in MeSH via the following permanent link:

https://app.2dsearch.com/new-query/5e8072c5e0b7360004cd2b74

We expect that this search strategy (**Figure 1**) is going to be updated continually in the coming weeks adding at least the following concepts that are currently inactive:

- COVID-19 diagnostic testing [Supplementary Concept]
- COVID-19 serotherapy [Supplementary Concept]
- COVID-19 drug treatment [Supplementary Concept]
- COVID-19 vaccine [Supplementary Concept]

≡	Search strategy: COVID-19 🕥 🧖 🤇 SHARE Layout: Nested 👻 👗 TREE VIEW	• SHOW RESULTS
	COVID-19 Search Filter 21956 618999	
	COVID-19 A OR First Case Date A OR	
	Mesh Betacoronavirus Mesh Coronavirus Infections nm Spike Glycoprotein, COVID-19 Virus nm COVID-19 Mesh Coronavirus nm Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2	
	ALL Corona Virus* ALL Coronavirus* ALL Coronovirus* ALL CoV ALL CoV2 ALL COVID ALL COVID19 ALL COVID-19 ALL HCoV-19 ALL nCoV ALL SARS CoV 2 ALL SARS2 ALL SARSCOV ALL SARS-CoV	Ť
	ALL SARS-COV-2   ALL Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome CoV*   ALL 2019nCoV   ALL Betacoronavirus*	다 Feedba
<b>⊚</b> + -		

Figure 1. Visualisation of COVID-19 Search Strategy

#### Lesson Three: Future - Updating Publishing and Indexing Industry

Since criticism continues against the traditional long process of publishing peer-reviewed papers and indexing delays [8], at the time of writing, more than a quarter of studies on COVID-19 are not published in any journal and are not indexed in MEDLINE or Embase. This means that any evidence synthesis effort must consider searching pre-print servers such as medRxiv and bioRxiv in addition to ClinicalTrials.Gov at least in rapidly growing topics [9]. It is unfortunate that none of the main bibliographic databases index these unpublished literature sources. This is the time for both academic publishers and indexing bodies to consider whether they are letting science down by not being more inclusive.

Bearing in mind these lessons, search experts such as librarians and information professionals are designing and sharing search strategies in all the possible ways and formats freely and openly. We hope these efforts could be systematised via a common platform in the near future. At the same time, this pandemic puts a great responsibility on information professionals' shoulders who are volunteering their time and expertise in designing search strategies to answer the most crucial questions. Now more than ever we need to share what we have learned.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare they have no conflict of interests.

#### References

- Nussbaumer-Streit B, Klerings I, Dobrescu AI, Persad E, Stevens A, Garritty C, Kamel C, Affengruber L, King VJ, Gartlehner G. Excluding non-English publications from evidence-syntheses did not change conclusions: a meta-epidemiological study. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2020 Feb;118:42-54. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.10.011
- Lefebvre C, Glanville J, Briscoe S, Littlewood A, Marshall C, Metzendorf M, Noel-Storr A, Rader T, Shokraneh F, Thomas J, Wieland LS. Searching for and selecting studies. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. 2nd Edition. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons, 2019: 67-107.
- Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Microbiol*. 2020 Apr;5(4):536-544. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0695-z
- 4. World Health Organization. Naming the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and the virus that causes it. Available as: <u>https://tinyurl.com/t82w9ka</u> (Accessed 1 April 2020)
- US National Library and Medicine. New MeSH Supplementary Concept Record for the 2019 Novel Coronavirus, Wuhan, China. *NLM Tech Bull*. 2020 Jan-Feb;(432):b3.
- 6. US National Library and Medicine. New MeSH Supplementary Concept Record for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *NLM Tech Bull*. 2020 Jan-Feb;(432):b7
- Russell-Rose T, Shokraneh F. Designing the Structured Search Experience: Rethinking the Query-Builder Paradigm. *Weave: Journal of Library User Experience* 2020; InPress. DOI: 10.3998/weave.12535642.0003.102
- Shokraneh F. Lessons Learned from COVID19 for Science Communication: Long Road from Submission to Getting Indexed in MEDLINE and Embase. Available at: <u>https://tinyurl.com/sxk5wkl</u> (Accessed 1 April 2020)
- Shokraneh F. Keeping Up with Studies on COVID-19: Systematic Search Strategies and Resources. *BMJ* 2020; <u>https://www.bmj.com/content/368/bmj.m1199/rr-7</u> (Accessed 1 April 2020)

# Highlights

- The guidance on excluding non-English literature in evidence synthesis may not be suitable for topics where studies are likely to be from a non-English geography.
- The routine practice of developing search strategies may not fit the rapidly changing concepts such as COVID-19 and we need living search strategies.
- Out of date publishing and indexing policies need to be updated.