



Ins and Outs of the Cultural Polis: Informality, Culture and Governance in the Global South

Journal:	<i>Urban Studies</i>
Manuscript ID	CUS-148-17-02.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue
Discipline: Please select a keyword from the following list that best describes the discipline used in your paper.:	Sociology
World Region: Please select the region(s) that best reflect the focus of your paper. Names of individual countries, cities & economic groupings should appear in the title where appropriate.:	Africa, South America
Major Topic: Please identify up to 5 topics that best identify the subject of your article.:	Community, Governance, Culture/Arts/Creativity, Informality, Policy
You may add up to 2 further relevant keywords of your choosing below.:	informality, cultural policy

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **INS AND OUTS OF THE *CULTURAL POLIS*: INFORMALITY, CULTURE AND**
4
5
6 **GOVERNANCE IN THE GLOBAL SOUTH**
7
8
9

10 **Abstract**
11

12
13 This paper provides an epistemological critique of informality by focusing on cultural governance in
14 two cities of the global South, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, and Dakar, Senegal. Aiming to enrich debates
15 about urban creativity and urban cultural policy, which are still mainly focused on and articulated
16 from the global North, we consider the broad field of 'informality' research as an entry point for
17 such a discussion. Using case studies from African and Latin American contexts, we focus on the
18 interstices of cultural policy and the borderlands of (in)formality, examining how governmental
19 institutions are entangled in informal processes, and how grassroots cultural interventions become
20 part of mainstream cultural circuits. The analysis sheds light on how these creative spaces of
21 cultural production, located in Southern contexts of urban extremes, contribute to the vitality of
22 informal urbanisms and unsettle predominant views that see them merely as sites of infrastructural
23 poverty and social exclusion. The paper suggests that a creative remapping of informality, through
24 an inquiry of the 'ins' and 'outs' of the cultural polis, could improve our translating capacity of
25 academic discourse into institutional/policy-related operations.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Keywords:** informality, *cultural polis*, governance, urban cultural policy, Rio de Janeiro, Dakar, global
45 South, civil society
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a revival of studies on urban informality restating the blurred boundary between the formal and the informal, the need to transcend binary thinking and approach informality, conceptually and methodologically, as inextricably co-constituted with the formal realm (McFarlane, 2012:92). These studies, however, have shown a limited, if any, engagement with issues of urban cultural policy, where informality is also present. This article starts from the premise that governmental institutions (whichever their geographies) are entangled in informal processes and that (informal) grassroots cultural interventions have become part of (formal) mainstream cultural circuits. In other words, there are always some *ins* (formality) in the *outs* (informality) and always some *outs* (informality) in the *ins* (formality). It thus argues for the need to pay attention to the *cultural polis*, a term that we have re-adapted from its original meaning as the ancient Greek city-state, and to genuinely reinvest this etymology of the city which, besides the ensemble of urban buildings and spaces, concerns the body of citizens and a citizenship embodied by an informed capacity to engage processes of practical and symbolic re-imaginings of the city.

Moreover, informality in the cultural sector has been predominantly discussed in terms of the cultural and creative labour market, shedding light on the precariousness, exploitation, freelancing, and gender, class and ethnic inequalities that characterise cultural work (McRobbie, 2016; Banks *et al.* 2013; Gill and Pratt, 2008). Yet informality also shapes the ways the state and civil society are inextricably related within the cultural field. Our focus here, therefore, is on the *cultural polis*, as it can progressively pave the way for grounded and informed governance, in a context that comprehends informality as a negotiated process that keeps redefining both governance practices and infrastructure services (Roy 2011; Simone 2004, 2010). Whether urban informality alludes to the whole city, that is, in being made up of kinetic, in motion, temporary, spontaneous, flexible, recycled and reinvented spaces (Mehrotra, 2010) or is defined as a new way of life with place-specific political

1
2
3 economies that spreads beyond the poor or the marginalised (Alsayyad, 2004), it implies a relational
4
5 process of cultural, urban and political contestation.
6
7

8 In particular, we seek to examine the usefulness of the term 'informal' in accounting for the nature,
9
10 functioning and dynamics of urban cultural governance, interrogating the relationship between
11
12 official policy forms and grassroots practices. In fact, this article highlights how political alternatives
13
14 can sprout through informal practices and become innovative sites of formal political
15
16 experimentation (Schindler, 2014:792), or cultural policy *de facto*. As informal practice of cultural
17
18 policy-making, such a deployment of the *cultural polis* permits deconstructing the very basis of state
19
20 legitimacy; indeed, both the Rio and Dakar's case studies, as we shall see, provide concrete grounds
21
22 to disrupt the strategic imposition of a moving boundary between formal and informal, which allows
23
24 public authorities to justify future developments and legitimise past ones. To insist, it confronts a
25
26 persisting instrumentalised dichotomy that serves to obstruct the dynamics of urban informality as a
27
28 mode of production of the city, especially in a context of incapacitated governments, lacking
29
30 personal, technical and fiscal capacity.
31
32
33
34
35

36 The analysis is divided into three main parts. In the first we discuss how the in/formal arrangement
37
38 is deeply intertwined in urban cultural production; how it unfolds in the cultural sector (both in
39
40 terms of economic and political/ functional and aesthetic/ substance and essence); and what it
41
42 implies in terms of urban governance (i.e. a plea for an open city). The second part offers an analysis
43
44 of case studies in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, and Dakar, Senegal, examining innovative, grassroots
45
46 initiatives that have sought to put alternative understandings of urban culture in action, while
47
48 expanding official policy constructs. Finally, we suggest that an engagement with the *ins* and *outs* of
49
50 the *cultural polis* can contribute new insights into informality and culture to urban studies,
51
52 transcending the outdated distinction between the formal and the informal.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Overall, the paper argues for the need of local authorities to acknowledge, engage with and support
4
5 'in-formal' cultural and creative practices, underpinned by a concern with solidarity and social
6
7 change beyond celebrations of commercial entrepreneurialism. By focusing on examples of cultural
8
9 urbanism in subaltern locations, we demonstrate the usefulness and limitations of the notion of the
10
11 informal for shedding light on the ordinary practices that also make up cultural governance. In turn,
12
13 these challenge narrow-minded notions of cultural policy, demand an engagement with the form of
14
15 the informal and emphasise the value of collaboration as a platform for innovative policy design.
16
17
18
19

20 **MAPPING COLLABORATIVE CULTURAL POLICY-MAKING**

21
22
23

24
25 Looking into the position of informality in the cultural sector rather than the position of culture in
26
27 the informal sector, this article explores how, once transcended in its reductive binaries, a
28
29 productive relationship between the *ins* and *outs* of policy-making has the potential to enrich
30
31 debates about urban creativity, cultural development and urban cultural policy. In doing so, we take
32
33 seriously spatial juxtaposition as a 'field of agonistic engagement' that is marked by a 'politics of
34
35 negotiating the immanent effects of geographical juxtaposition between physical spaces,
36
37 overlapping communities, contrasting cultural practices (Amin, 2004:39). We thus inquire into an
38
39 understanding of a *cultural polis*, aligning Amin's 'politics of propinquity' with Roy's view (2011:233)
40
41 of informality as 'a heuristic device that uncovers the ever-shifting urban relationship between the
42
43 legal and illegal, legitimate and illegitimate, authorised and unauthorised'.
44
45
46
47

48
49 We situate a *cultural polis* in contradistinction to the concept of 'creative city', which has originated
50
51 in and been strongly promoted from a Northern post-industrial context and has been criticised for
52
53 becoming an engine of aggressive neoliberal policies, leading to increasing disparities and
54
55 inequalities within cities. As such, we want to suggest a *cultural polis* that is concerned with the soft
56
57 resources, the linking value, the glue and the imagination of human bodies; the knowledge and
58
59
60

1
2
3 concern of its citizenry. In a *cultural polis*, different forces and energies come into play to articulate
4 this 'creative city' vision; but rather than focusing onto the *object*, that is, creative industry products
5 and services that can then be used to boost tourism or to re-brand inner-city areas, it emphasises
6 the *subjects* of transformative processes and integrates communities in the conception and
7 production of arts and culture for the wellbeing of citizens. From this perspective, it can be
8 understood as a modal platform, i.e. an ecosystem with high added value that increases, transforms
9 itself and integrates new functionalities, new users or partners quickly and easily.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 Thinking of cultural production from peripheral urban spaces, be that in Rio de Janeiro or in Dakar,
19 as unrelated or entirely marginal to mainstream cultural circuits is inadequate since it fails to
20 recognise the myriad connections, exchanges and movements that exist across different parts of the
21 city. The *ins* and *outs* of the *cultural polis* are, then, a reminder of the inherent tensions,
22 contradictions and polemical and combative moving territories of the city; they are also a reminder
23 of the need for collaboration in urban planning, whereby informal participants enter the field of
24 policy-making in a genuinely dialogic and critical manner. Such an approach reaffirms how
25 inextricably related, though still distinct, informality and formality play out in the composition of the
26 city, the latter being a work-in-process always in formation. It also implies a 'spatial literacy' in all its
27 multiple inscriptions (Mbaye, 2016:53-55), and an art and craft of governance (Pratt and Hutton,
28 2013) which does not stem from knowledge produced by expert systems, but is relational and
29 contingent. In this regard, it calls for a 'long-term, systemic rethinking of the processes and
30 structures of governance [...] [with an] embedded, open, networked, relational approach' (O'Connor
31 and Shaw, 2014:167-8).

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40 More importantly, our approach deconstructs the dichotomy between informality and formality,
41 which overemphasises formal discourses and practices and obscures, from its oppositional stance,
42 the legitimacy of informal practices, such as the illustrations of cultural activism provided in the case
43 studies that follow. Moving beyond this reductive binary implies transcending discourses about
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 'fixing' the city and actually seeking better ways of engaging informed governance mechanisms that
4
5 stem from what *is* rather than what *should be* – an approach even so more relevant in a context of
6
7 systematised policy transfers of urban creativity to Southern contexts. Beyond quick fixes to the city,
8
9 there remains an imperative for a dedicated and renewed commitment toward an effective *agora*,
10
11 that is, an open public space for civic gathering and assembling, a place for performing citizenship,
12
13 which can inform both social conversation and political action in regards to processes of urban
14
15 cultural governance (Canclini, 2016). The concept of *agora* has long informed urban studies concerns
16
17 through the related notions of public space, sociality, civility and public sphere. Beyond the
18
19 limitations of previous debates foreseeing the death of public space in light of its commercialisation,
20
21 privatisation and militarisation (Bodnar, 2015), the *cultural polis* and its *agora* reclaim urban public
22
23 space beyond neoliberal urbanism through an active process of civic encounter and the making of a
24
25 public culture.
26
27
28
29
30

31 In this regard, the concepts of 'governance composite' and 'emergency democracy' can be useful to
32
33 describe 'a process of things in the making' (Simone, 2008:30) where 'interlocutors are not partners,
34
35 in that their interchanges are not based on some common assumption about what brings them
36
37 together or an idea that their togetherness is based on advancing some common project. Rather, to
38
39 be interlocutors is to open up the possibility of some alternative kind of communication that itself
40
41 may generate new ways of working'. Put differently, the challenge here is for policy-making to
42
43 remain 'open' to the complex, multiple and contingent relations of urban composition that are
44
45 constituted by formal and informal practices. At the heart of the *cultural polis* are knowledge
46
47 exchange and local capacity building, which effectively work towards grounded collaborations,
48
49 following Miratfab's recent 'recognition of non-professional actors in planning as a field [...] [as well
50
51 as] the key role citizens and grassroots activists play in shaping their cities and communities' (Ward
52
53 et al., 2011:861). With informality contributing as a mode of urban production that creates and
54
55 manages differential spatial value (Roy, 2011), such a perspective is then coherent with Amin's
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 politics of propinquity which 'must be as much about what is struggled over [...], as it must be about
4
5 the conduct of local politics, in allowing agonistic engagement [...], and about who or what counts as
6
7 political, through its recognition of the acts of expression and organisation across local society'
8
9 (2004:39). However, such a process is not devoid of power relations but requires looking into the
10
11 political and ideological contexts through which cultural urban development emerges.
12

13
14
15
16 The following case studies of specific cultural sites thus highlight how ordinary people, everyday
17
18 citizens, manage to reclaim urban spaces through both formal and informal practices, and to
19
20 constructively build within the wastelands of traditional policy-makers and urban planners.
21
22 However, beyond a crude celebration of 'citizen entrepreneurialism that refuses to wait for the state
23
24 but instead takes matters [...] in its own management' (McFarlane, 2012b:2802), we are here
25
26 interested in what can be inferred and learnt from these constructive informal practices as far as an
27
28 art of urban governance (Pratt and Hutton, 2013) is concerned. Resulting of, responding to and
29
30 thriving in the interstices of inefficient and inadequate formal performance, the practices of these
31
32 ordinary citizens manage to make places that are their very own. They thus redefine the borders of
33
34 cultural policy-making, and effectively ground a rejuvenated *cultural polis* with or without, the
35
36 presence or absence, even at times hostility of municipal authorities.
37
38
39
40

41 42 **ON URBAN COMPOSITION AND AFFECT IN THE *CULTURAL POLIS***

43
44
45
46 As Latour reminds us in his 'Compositionist Manifesto', composition 'underlines that things have to
47
48 be put together (Latin *componere*) while retaining their heterogeneity'; but most importantly, it
49
50 draws attention away from the irrelevant difference between what is constructed and what is not
51
52 constructed, toward the crucial difference between what is *well* or *badly* constructed, *well* or *badly*
53
54 composed' (Latour, 2010: 473). In this regard, transcending the conventional labelling of in/formal
55
56 allows us to switch the focus of un/decipherable terrains (Roy 2011) towards a critical examination
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and questioning of the moral contribution of different practices within the *cultural polis*. In other
4
5 words, it emphasises how important it is to explore where constructive practices in cultural policy-
6
7 making are taking place, whichever the labelled registered (formal/informal); and encourages
8
9 further research to engage into a 'critical contemplation on our current vocational categorisation
10
11 and the motives behind it' (Marques et al., 2013:107).
12
13

14
15
16 In what Roy (2009) has termed 'civic governmentality', and besides the neoliberal logics of urban
17
18 entrepreneurialism, there is still some 'civility' that 'enables important forms of solidarity, sociality,
19
20 support and security that cannot be captured by social or economic entrepreneurialism alone'
21
22 (McFarlane, 2012b: 2809). Such an understanding resonates with empirical evidence from the
23
24 cultural sector, whereby entrepreneurs undertake ethical work. Indeed, restoring the concept of
25
26 'moral economy', Bank (2006: 456) shows how participants in the urban cultural economy 'are self-
27
28 consciously engaged in forms of practice that contain ideas about what is 'good' (and therefore
29
30 'bad'), exhibit moral ways of acting towards others and negotiate the balance between holding
31
32 instrumental or non-instrumental values'.
33
34
35
36

37
38 While still emerging in postcolonial urban studies literature, little interest has been devoted to the
39
40 political and social motivations of these participants and workers in the cultural field, where
41
42 attention has often been drawn to (nonetheless critical) processes of individuation and exploitation.
43
44 In this regard, this article draws attention to such imports from cultural economy scholarship in
45
46 articulating crucial dynamics in the field of Southern urban studies: it on the one hand provides
47
48 relevant cases of cultural practices that tend to be missing in (non-Western or Southern) urban
49
50 studies; and on another, it sheds light onto cultural planning practices situated outside of the
51
52 conventional scholarly map of this field. In doing so, this contribution may also illustrate and
53
54 demonstrate how 'resistance strategies generated in subaltern urban spaces could be transmitted
55
56 from the global South to the global North' (Schindler, 2014:801-2).
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 *In fine* then, we want to argue that the *cultural polis* provides an actual terrain to explore more
6
7 hopeful and generative possibilities in cities where a particular entanglement between politics,
8
9 businesses and urban marketing tend to produce policies oriented towards cultural
10
11 entrepreneurialism as well as political resistance through alternative cultural and arts forms (Dinardi,
12
13 2015). In Southern urban contexts in particular, cultural expressions and artistic production affirm
14
15 their dual nature, as essential resources in the livelihoods and lives of urban citizens: they can both
16
17 provide an emotional foundation to urban development, and articulate a political efficacy to the
18
19 postcolony's (Mbembe, 2001) ideologies and practices. Contrasting the examples of Bela Maré and
20
21 the *MCU*, official recognition from public institutions is crucial to a progressive *cultural polis*,
22
23 whether through *ponto de cultura* or municipal cultural programming such as *Tandem Paris-Dakar*.
24
25 However, to genuinely enact the *cultural polis* with all its generative possibilities, formal recognition
26
27 calls for an effective facilitation and accommodation, actual, continuous and committed support,
28
29 that embraces the values, ideologies and perspectives of (in)formal, ordinary cultural policy-makers
30
31 *de facto*. As the Bela Maré example will stress, and the experience of the *MCU* shows, this means
32
33 democratising access to the means of cultural production – such as the building, money and MoU of
34
35 the *MCU* – as well as acknowledging the potential of cultural invention and collective creation led by
36
37 young people for political action and social change. Put differently, the latter implies accepting that
38
39 at times local authorities '*don't understand*' and even '*know nothing about*' what happens at the
40
41 grassroots level, and thus need to assuredly endorse and empower citizens' informed/al expertise,
42
43 with the aim to learn from, as well as improve communication channels with, civil society
44
45 organisations.

46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 **RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL: Galpão Bela Maré**
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Rio de Janeiro *favelas* have become a global emblem of urban informality. Despite their specific
4 features and different topography, morphology and history (Varley, 2013), they can be broadly
5 characterised by an inefficient state provision of basic services and infrastructure; informal relations
6 of work and income generation; self-built and highly dense housing in environmentally vulnerable
7 locations; lower-than-average economic and educational indicators; and a plurality of identities and
8 strong social conviviality, with a presence of black, indigenous and migrant populations
9 (Observatório de Favelas, 2009). The city, with its six million people, is a striking example of social
10 polarisation, where wealthy and poor extremes share the same territory in an atypical mishmash of
11 social distance and spatial proximity.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 At times these peripheral areas are celebrated in view of their sustainable, flexible and
25 entrepreneurial character, seen 'as spaces open to new possibilities for creativity, urban innovation
26 and social experimentation... where what is 'in process' is in permanent experimentation' (Jáuregui,
27 2011:59). At others, they are stigmatised as spaces of poverty, violence and crime, and defined
28 through their absences, lack, precariousness and dissonant aspects in relation to formal urban
29 patterns (De Souza e Silva, 2014:61).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 When Galpão Bela Maré, a contemporary visual arts centre opened its doors towards the end of
41 2011, it sparked controversy. What role should a modern contemporary arts venue play in a *favela*,
42 especially when it is in Maré, that is, Rio's largest and most convoluted area in the North zone?
43 Originated in 1940s, Maré is made up of 16 diverse communities with over 140,000 inhabitants. It
44 still functions under territorial control of rival, armed drug-trafficking gangs and criminal networks.
45 Military police operations are frequent and disruptive for local life, often involving armed
46 confrontations with drug traffickers and random shootings. Maré's civil society has long reported
47 human rights violations and an increasing militarisation of public space, and continues to fight
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 racism, social stigmas and dominant media portrayals that reduce the diversity and complexity of
4
5 local life to drug dealing and crime.
6
7

8
9 The arts centre opened in a recovered warehouse, two blocks away from Brazil Avenue, one of the
10
11 main roads into the city. It was created by a local social organisation, Observatório de Favelas, with a
12
13 transformative aim: to create a space for cultural exchanges between different city parts and re-
14
15 create the *favelas* as public space for social encounters that could contribute to fill a vacuum of
16
17 cultural infrastructure locally. It inaugurated with an arts residency and education programme
18
19 (*Travessias*) through collaboration between national and international artists, and also showcased
20
21 the work of Imagens do Povo, a collective group of social photography, research and training. In
22
23 2014, the centre was designated '*ponto de cultura*', an official recognition by the state in support of
24
25 community-based cultural activities as part of the points of culture national programme.
26
27
28

29
30
31 Bela Maré, thus, joined the multiplicity of *favela*-based arts initiatives that in producing new
32
33 aesthetic languages, forms and experimentations nurture the city's *solo cultural* (cultural soil), from
34
35 where new knowledges, action possibilities and cultural practices then sprout (Barbosa and
36
37 Gonçalves Dias, 2013). In the last two decades a surge of periphery-based cultural groups have
38
39 opened up the field of culture policy making towards more democratic, plural and diverse forms of
40
41 expression. This 'periphery's culture' movement, guided by a concern with social justice, has become
42
43 a powerful counterpoint to dominant social *apartheid* discourses in the city (Costa and Agustini,
44
45 2014:9). In Maré, the Cultural Guide of Favelas, a collaborative mapping of local cultural practices,
46
47 identified 174 existing initiatives ranging from music, dance and fashion, to theatre, crafts, circus and
48
49 photography, including a community museum with permanent exhibitions, arts workshops,
50
51 educational seminars and oral history research projects. These practices inscribe Maré with new
52
53 inventive meanings in a context marked by social exclusion, violence, and urban fragmentation, and
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in so doing, remind us that 'the urban is not ready-made, but always in formation' (McFarlane,
4
5 2012:101).
6
7

8
9 From outside, Bela Maré resembles a large warehouse; its interior aesthetics allude to a modern,
10 contemporary art gallery. It has an established team of five paid staff: a coordinator, two education
11 officers, a porter and a cleaner, and sometimes further collaborators are hired to work on specific
12 projects. At the time of writing there is no voluntary, unpaid staff. The centre hosts events in
13 partnerships with other educational and arts organisations from outside the *favela*, creating
14 unconventional social connections and cultural exchanges. Next door sits Maré's arts centre (CAM)
15 which houses an award-winning contemporary dance school (Lia Rodriguez's) as well as a range of
16 arts and cultural activities. The centre also hosts Bela Labe, a technology laboratory with three
17 permanent and four temporary staff, who conduct research projects on *favelas'* data.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 >> **Figure 1 here**
32
33
34

35 Despite what could be seen as an emerging, *favela*-based, mainstream cultural institution in the
36 making, Maré's arts production remains largely invisible beyond its borders. While the focus of
37 cultural policies in Rio de Janeiro has widened in the last decade towards providing financial support
38 beyond its wealthiest areas – South zone and centre –, the latter still concentrate most of the city's
39 public infrastructure, investments and services. Popular practices such as *Baile Funk* (funk parties)
40 have been deemed illegal and are still banned in some *favelas*. Allegedly associated to drug-
41 trafficking, violence and excessive eroticisation, *Baile Funk* was expected to meet a number of legal
42 requirements that became stumbling blocks for organisers. The criminalisation of this cultural form,
43 through conservative media and political parties, religious groups and police forces, remains one of
44 the main forms of violence against Rio's poor and black young people (De Souza e Silva, 2014:68).
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 If we conceive of informality as a form of practice rather than a labour or a territorial categorisation
4
5 (McFarlane, 2012), some of Bela Maré's actions can indeed be deemed informal. Some health and
6
7 safety regulations are not particularly observed on site and arrangements with local suppliers are at
8
9 times informally made. Post-grant accounting is also an issue for cultural organisations from
10
11 peripheral areas which often do not have enough administrative resources in place to comply with
12
13 the state's bureaucratic audit structures. If informality also denotes a complex relationship between
14
15 the state and civil society, we can examine how Bela Maré as a non-profit organisation has
16
17 interacted with the state's cultural secretariat:
18
19

20
21
22 *They [local authorities] thought we didn't have to be paid because we are a non-profit*
23
24 *organisation. They don't understand; they get confused. So they think we only need money*
25
26 *for projects... but who is going to maintain this structure? Who is going to pay the*
27
28 *receptionist, the internet, the water, the electricity, the sanitation, the pavement? (Jailson de*
29
30 *Souza e Silva, Observatório de Favelas founder, interview 2014)*
31
32

33
34 Doing not-for-profit cultural work was mistakenly seen as doing unpaid volunteering. While public
35
36 support had been granted for the initial organisation of the arts centre main exhibition, claims have
37
38 been made in relation to ensuing funding cuts based on governmental officers' misconceived views.
39
40 The main challenge for organisations such as Observatório de Favelas, then, become for official
41
42 cultural policies to find ways of supporting the artistic training of *favela*-based young people, so as to
43
44 democratise access to the means of cultural production and acknowledge the potential of cultural
45
46 invention and collective creation in the city's periphery.
47
48

49
50
51 The case of Bela Maré raises a number of questions about urban informality. Is it a formal cultural
52
53 institution located in an informal setting? To what extent is the concept of informality useful to
54
55 define its structural, legal, institutional and/or organisational dimensions? Bela Maré, far from being
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 a disorganised or squatted venue, unsettles a common, taken-for-granted conception of Maré as an
4
5 informal settlement merely defined by poverty and violence, and produces a new representation
6
7 that builds on arts and cultural collaboration with other venues, groups and initiatives, engaging
8
9 with the official cultural-policy domain. It also problematises the meaning of informality as that
10
11 which is unplanned or spontaneous (Castillo, 2001:105, cited in Varley, 2013:346) or that which
12
13 involves irregular processes of land use, as the building was actually bought by the Observatório.
14
15

16
17
18 Apart from a rich cultural economy, Maré's entrepreneurship is remarkable. A census of economic
19
20 entrepreneurial activity by the Observatório de Favelas (2011-2013), with the support of local
21
22 residents' associations, revealed the existence of 3,182 commercial undertakings, that is, one every
23
24 42 people, mainly in commerce and services, particularly bars, beauty salons and clothing.
25
26 Informality was not an issue for exclusion in the census, insofar as activities and workspaces were
27
28 not illicit or criminal, such as those concerning the clandestine reproduction of CDs or clothes
29
30 brands. Of every four undertakings three were found to be informal and half of them didn't have the
31
32 intention to formalise the business (Observatório de Favelas, 2014). This informal, dynamic,
33
34 heterogeneous and productive economic and cultural market disrupts the 'myth of marginality' in
35
36 the *favela* (Perlman, 2004).
37
38
39

40
41
42 This brief look at Maré provides a glimpse of the limitations of the concept of informality understood
43
44 as separated from the realm of the formal. To label *favela*-based cultural and arts production as
45
46 informal in view of the harsh circumstances in which it is developed seems simplistic. In fact, the
47
48 functioning of Bela Maré is closer to any other formal cultural venue than to an informal,
49
50 improvised, disorganised or unregulated cultural initiative. Rio *favelas'* long-standing struggle to be
51
52 recognised as a constitutive part of the city, rather than its informal other, is suggestive of the need
53
54 for the urban studies community to examine the city as a social whole (Roy, 2013), which, by
55
56 definition, includes the vibrant cultural production of *favelas*, and to transcend simplistic notions of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 informal urbanism, especially in a post-Olympic context that displayed a spectacularisation of
4
5 peripheral cultural forms.
6
7

8
9 **DAKAR, SENEGAL: *Africulturban* and *Maison des Cultures Urbaines (MCU)***
10

11
12
13
14 In West Africa in general, and in Senegal in particular, the cultural sector has been growing and
15
16 structuring itself essentially through the action of microenterprises and NGOs, which have invested
17
18 time, energy and personal capital into the whole chain of cultural production. This is the case of
19
20 *Africulturban*¹, a youth centre for urban cultures, which has invested in the depleted municipal
21
22 cultural centre of the popular peripheral area of Dakar, Pikine, since 2006, creating a space for
23
24 younger generations to access training in cultural action and enjoy cultural production and
25
26 consumption of urban cultures. In a context characterised by a generalised discredit towards the
27
28 State, in which 'not only urban African growth is not linked to job creation, but governments have
29
30 been leading a politics of political and economic centralisation that is contrary to any promotion of
31
32 democracy or local participation' (Diouf, 2013:54), these informal cultural planners – or *de facto*
33
34 cultural policy-makers, or even better, *cultural polis* makers, perform in synch with the social fabric
35
36 in which they are embedded, enacting significant links between cultural production and political as
37
38 well as social action.
39
40
41
42
43

44 Highlighting the exceptional dimension of Senegal's civil society on the continent (Tilouine, 2014),
45
46 this snapshot from Dakar's cultural scene stresses how creative intermediaries emerging from the
47
48 civil society participate in their *cultural polis* by reconnecting their practices and initiatives with the
49
50 macro-spheres of institutional politics in the field. In fact, the experience of *Africulturban* and the
51
52 *Maison des Cultures Urbaines (MCU)* is especially relevant for it shows a multi-layered urban society,
53
54

55
56
57 ¹*Africulturban* founded by the hip hop artist Matador, member of pioneer group, *Wa BMG 44*, from Dakar's banlieue,
58 Thiaroye. For more information, see Wikipedia entry created by Mbaye JF:
59 [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matador_\(WA_BMG_44\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matador_(WA_BMG_44))
60

1
2
3 composed of engaged, concerned and informed citizens capable of providing alternative readings of
4
5 the city, while developing other urban imaginaries and practices through their own culturalscapes.
6
7 Inscribed in a context generally marked by insufficient support from public institutions, this
8
9 experience demonstrates the capacity for ordinary citizens to engage with and inform their *cultural*
10
11 *polis*, animated by creative production, citizen consciousness and political activism, while effectively
12
13 balancing both instrumental goals (economic potential of cultural production) with moral-political
14
15 value of public good / socially useful character (Banks, 2006:464-5).
16
17
18
19

20 >> Figure 2 here
21
22
23

24 For ten years, *Africulturban* has been setting up a library as well as the first school of DJing in West
25
26 Africa; organising educational initiatives through urban art forms in primary schools (*Hip Hop*
27
28 *Educ'action*); training sessions in media and new technologies as well as workshops in cultural
29
30 leadership and management (*Youth Urban Media Academy*); and an international hip hop festival
31
32 dedicated to urban cultures (Fest2HH) in partnership with Mauritanian counterpart *Assalamaleikum*
33
34 *Festival*. Drawing on 'affective affinities'²(Arvidsson et al., 2008:127; Bauwens, 2009) to coordinate
35
36 its various initiatives, *Africulturban* has thus engaged in Dakar's *cultural polis* based on principles of
37
38 solidarity and common good, investing both into the social and economic values of its cultural
39
40 products. Such an approach has also been present in another popular periphery of Dakar,
41
42 Guédiawaye, where the *Guédiawaye Hip Hop Centre (G Hip Hop)* founded by the hip hop artist and
43
44 *Y'En A Marre*³ activist, Fou Malade, provides training to the youth in urban cultures professions as
45
46 well as civic education. As the Director of *Africulturban* puts it:
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 ² We are here referring to the process whereby participants aim primarily to accumulate respect and recognition from their
56 community rather than monetary compensation.

57 ³ For a brief presentation and discussion of the civic movement *Y'En A Marre*, see N. Benga, 'Les Murs-Murs Sur Nos Murs.
58 Quête De Citoyenneté Et Culture Urbaine À Dakar (1990-2000)', in Diouf M. and R. Fredericks (eds.), *Les Arts De La*
59 *Citoyenneté Au Sénégal: Espaces Contestés Et Civilités Urbaines* (Paris: Karthala, 2013), 357-77
60

1
2
3 *My job is very simple: it is to make artistic propositions that people comment, positively*
4 *or negatively, and that each time I make such artistic propositions, it is to give answers*
5 *to political problems (Amadou Fall Ba, Interview 2016)*
6
7
8
9

10
11 These activist engagements (among others) from Dakar's hip hop scene reveal *de facto* cultural
12 policy-makers, civil society energies animated by a dedicated *vision* and resolutely seeking to
13 construct and manage a civic realm, through productive strategies and *actions* implemented in their
14 field of practice for long deserted by conventional policy-makers. These *cultural polis* makers and
15 their initiatives, however, have not gone unnoticed, and in 2013, the highest institutional spheres of
16 cultural policy engaged a political rapprochement towards the issues of urban cultures, with the
17 unprecedented nomination of a technical adviser in charge of urban cultural affairs for the Senegal's
18 Ministry of Culture. Further official recognition occurred two years later, in 2015, when UNESCO
19 Regional Office (BREDA) approached *Festa2HH* organisers to hold a roundtable on copyrights to
20 highlight the role of its Convention 2005 on the 'Promotion and Protection on the Diversity of
21 Cultural Expressions'.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Besides, Dakar's local government also recognised the importance of this field, first by dedicating in
38 2013 its platform of exchange *Tandem Paris-Dakar* in part to urban cultures (a section curated by
39 *Africulturban'* director); and more consequently, at the end of 2014, by officially launching a new
40 training and promotion space for urban cultures in a central area of Dakar, the *Maison des Cultures*
41 *Urbaines* (MCU). The *outs* and their actions that for a time could indeed be deemed informal were
42 thus occupying the forefront of the *cultural polis*, unsettling the borderlands of in/formal cultural
43 policy-making.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54
55 Drawing on the experience and competencies of active civic forces from the hip hop scene, the *MCU*
56 stemmed from an extensive process of exchanges and discussions initiated in 2011 and marked by
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the engagement of seven of the most dedicated structures involved in Dakar's urban cultures
4
5 (*Africulturban*, *G Hip Hop*, *Sankara Studios*⁴, *99 Records*⁵, *Kay Fecc*⁶, *Yakaar*⁷, *Doxamdem Squad*
6
7 *Graff*⁸). *MCU's* launch was made official with a meeting of these various organisations'
8
9 representatives and the Mayor himself in his office, and the signature of a MoU, which allocated an
10
11 80 million FrCFA grant per year for a three-year period for the management and preliminary
12
13 activities of the *MCU*. Under Mayor Khalifa Sall, Dakar's government has been claiming an inclusive
14
15 and participatory approach which, for the *MCU*, implied having the members of this consortium as
16
17 effective stakeholders, owning the creation process and collectively piloting and governing the *MCU*.
18
19

20
21
22 For practical purposes, the consortium unanimously chose *Africulturban's* director, as responsible
23
24 member in charge of urban cultural affairs for the municipal government, a function attached to the
25
26 Mayor's office and mandate. Considering the *MCU's* format and mission, *Africulturban* seems to
27
28 have effectively inspired its development, providing crucial benchmarking in terms of its
29
30 experiences; however, the inclusive and participatory approach remained instrumental in facilitating
31
32 the creation of new tools for urban cultures, such as the unprecedented Cultural Incubator and Fund
33
34 for Urban Cultures now hosted at the *MCU*.
35
36

37
38
39 *There is money, a physical building and a MoU that say you guys go ahead, you have*
40
41 *the expertise, we ourselves do not know nothing about urban cultures; so you're in*
42
43 *charge... And that's something that the mayor recognised in complete honesty... And I*
44
45 *would explain to the mayor what it is that needs to be done, simple as that!* (Amadou
46
47
48 Fall Ba, interview 2016)
49
50

51
52 ⁴Audio-visual studios and music and event production company founded in 2003 by pioneer hip hop artist *Didier Awadi*.

53 ⁵Label of music production and promotion founded by hip hop artist *Simon BisbiClan* in 2006.

54 ⁶International Festival of all Dances co-founded in 2002 by *Gacirah Diagne*, with an explicit focus on urban dances through
55 its projects of *Urbanation* and *Battle of the Year*.

56 ⁷Festival of Urban Music founded by *Safouane Pindra's Optimist Productions* in 2001, with a dedicated Hip Hop Awards
57 ceremony.

58 ⁸International NGO dedicated to the promotion of graffiti, street art, and visual production founded in 2007 by graffiti
59 artist *Docta*, and which organises the *FestiGraff Festival* and the *Graff and Health Festival*.
60

1
2
3
4
5 As Holston reminds us, 'sites of metropolitan innovation often emerge at the very sites of
6 metropolitan degradation'; and in doing so, 'propose a city with a different order of citizenship'
7 (Holston, 2009:245-6). This section highlighted how ordinary urban citizens actively participate in
8 creating an alternative public sphere, through their constructive intervention in the cultural field;
9 and, how such participatory practices have informed new governance mechanisms, with a
10 transformation of the relations between state and citizens and a generation of new policy-making
11 practices.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21
22 However, rather than suggesting cultural workers and makers as new urban saints, this insight shows
23 how citizens' social and political values are both important and made evident in the context of urban
24 cultural policy-making. Indeed, through their creative practices (which in the creative economy
25 scholarship are criticised for being individualised and thus demoralised), these *cultural polis* makers
26 demonstrate how (non-economic) moral and ethical values animate and motivate their desire to
27 contribute to the cultural vibrancy of their city (Banks, 2006). These informal cultural policy-makers,
28 citizens usually maintained on the *outskirts* of urban policy, thus highlight how socially useful their
29 cultural work can be, while negotiating a space to develop non-instrumental profit-seeking interests.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Enacting both an informed vision and constructive actions for the cultural field, the
42 *Africulturban/MCU* snapshot draws attention to a variety of motives and initiatives of cultural actors
43 and reveals 'the possibilities for progressive social and political actions contained in cultural work'
44 (Banks, 2006:460). Moreover, this experience illustrates the processes and dynamics involved in a
45 progressive *cultural polis*, characterised by a constant redefinition of the role of informal participants
46 from the civil society and formal policy-makers of cultural public institutions within the cultural field.
47
48 As Canclini affirms 'this combination of scales of organisation and participation has many
49 consequences, among which [...] the idea of a horizontal culture of public interest and global reach.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [...] But it implies a rethinking of the old theme of the relationship between political parties and
4
5 social movements' (Canclini, 2016: 10).
6
7

8
9 While in African contexts as well as in the wider global South, 'the bulk of city building can be
10 attributed to actors outside the state and formal business sector' (Pieterse, 2011:6), this example
11 reveals a crucial aspect of Senegalese urban identities: the intricate entanglement of living, working
12 and DIY spaces (Diouf, 2013: 57). *Cultural polis* makers perform within a context that is characterised
13 by a popular urban economy and informal practices, which at the interstices of formal and informal
14 territorialities, occupy the majority of the population. However, from their practices, they enact an
15 informal political culture that claims self-reliance, accountability, and empowerment as well as both
16 the involvement and engagement of citizens into democratic processes that are of their concerns.
17 They thus remind the productive capacity of recognising diversified actors in feeding into a cultural
18 agenda and policy-making that tackle into the multiplicity of participants: a relational *cultural polis*.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 33 **CONCLUSION** 34 35 36

37 This article has engaged with the question of informal urbanism through the lenses of what we have
38 called the *cultural polis* in two cities of the global South - Rio de Janeiro and Dakar. Taking up
39 Mehrotra's (2010: xiii) question of what makes up informal urbanism in a Latin American, and we
40 add, an African context, we have explored the usefulness and limitations of the notion of the
41 informal in understanding the workings of cultural urbanism in subaltern locations. In so doing, we
42 have sought to expand the focus of the urban studies literature on informality towards a concern
43 with cultural policy and planning and the role grassroots culture and the arts can play in
44 transforming cities and informing policies. Cultural policy studies, in turn, can be enriched by paying
45 closer attention to the question of urban informality and the ways the formal-informal relationship
46 shapes cultural governance in contemporary cities. Moving away from a binary dichotomy and
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 against a presumed hierarchy of order/worth between formal and informal participants, spaces and
4
5 practices, the governing processes of the *cultural polis* can, then, be informed by Amin's proposition
6
7 of a 'relational politics of propinquity' and its implications for urban governance. Indeed, from this
8
9 perspective that embraces agonistic engagements, which could inform a politics of solidarity (Roy,
10
11 2013), the *cultural polis* becomes 'an act of making the invisible register of political life visible (e.g.
12
13 by decentralising decision-making to civic organisations and communities, by envisioning planning as
14
15 discursively negotiated, by bringing into play sentiments, ethics, emotions, aesthetics, ambiguity and
16
17 uncertainty into the field of what counts as political)' (Amin, 2004: 40).
18
19

20
21
22 We have sought to discuss some of the underground sociabilities (Jovchelovitch and Priego-
23
24 Hernández, 2013) and the hidden, ordinary cultural production and creativity that re-signify and re-
25
26 create urban spaces in Latin America and Africa. Following AlSayyad (2004:25), we have seen that
27
28 'informality should not be read as social disorganisation or anarchy'; rather, our case studies
29
30 highlight the *form* of that which is allegedly seen as in-formal, showing the intimate and intricate
31
32 relationship with the domain of the formal. Moreover, transcending in/formality towards a genuine
33
34 realisation of the *cultural polis* recognises that the crucial issue for a sustainable transformation of a
35
36 cultural field is to invest into composite governance mechanisms, which tackle into people as
37
38 infrastructure (Simone, 2004) as the multiple energies composing the city. Put differently, we cannot
39
40 access a satisfactory, even if always partial, account of city-ness in Africa [as well as in Latin America]
41
42 without mining this border zone where the subjective and the material collide' (Pieterse, 2011: 18).
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 Cultural policy, as any public policy, being as much about a vision as implementation strategies, we
50
51 can see how policy-makers *de facto* emerge onto urban scenes, in their capacity to develop cultural
52
53 programmes, as well as encourage governments to invest in the operationalization of such
54
55 initiatives. These multiple energies composing the *cultural polis*, those often *outs* can indeed play a
56
57 crucial role in supporting the conventional *ins*, elected officials and public authorities, in clarifying
58
59
60

1
2
3 their role, actions and responsibilities for sustainable urban cultural planning and well-being for
4 urban communities. In these uncertain times marked by institutional corruption, public funding cuts,
5 increasing inequality and distrust of political elites, grassroots creative initiatives re-invent urban
6 imaginaries, produce new modes of engaging cultural audiences and create new languages and
7 knowledge that seek to cross and contest spatial and social divisions. In so doing, they reconfigure
8 and revitalise the governance of the urban cultural field, as shown in the cases of Rio de Janeiro and
9 Dakar. A *cultural polis* then resembles Pieterse's 'relational city' (2008) as far as urban creativity,
10 cultural planning and artistic initiatives are concerned. It reaffirms a regeneration and re-
11 composition of the city through culture and creativity as a complex process with ambivalent
12 implications and a plurality of actors and political practices.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 To conclude, artistic and cultural production are revitalising the city as powerful and critical tools
27 capable of documenting the forces at play within urban spaces as well as initiating fundamental
28 debates and innovative interactions. Here, informality as a crucial practice within the *cultural polis*
29 reminds how the latter is, besides its functional dimension, characterised by an affective element: its
30 sense of community, with citizens participating from their respective capabilities and
31 equipotentialities (Bauwens, 2005). We hope that our focus on urban informality will move the
32 discussion on transcending short-sighted telescopic urbanisms that only see parts of the city for the
33 whole (Amin, 2013:477), or are trapped in formal/informal binary thinking; and that it will encourage
34 exploring alternative urban cultural planning approaches by looking at the unpredictable encounters
35 and contestation (Watson, 2009) as well as unusual collaborations that make up the core of
36 '(in)formal urbanism', with its *ins* and its *outs*.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 52 53 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

1
2
3 The authors would like to thank the organisers and funders of the workshop 'Informal Urbanism:
4
5 Grassroots Activities and City Leadership' (particularly Prof Michele Acuto from University College
6
7 London), which took place at City University London in May 2015, for curating a collaborative
8
9 discussion on urban informality that led to the writing of this article. Special thanks to the creative
10
11 practitioners in Dakar and Rio de Janeiro who continue to provide inspiration on what art and
12
13 culture can be and mean for the future of cities. Dr Cecilia Dinardi is grateful to the Urban Studies
14
15 Foundation for funding the postdoctoral research on which part of this article is based.
16
17
18
19

20 REFERENCES

21
22
23
24
25 Alsayyad, N. (2004), Urban Informality as a 'New' Way of Life, in Roy, A. and Alsayyad, N. (eds) *Urban*
26
27 *Informality: Transnational Perspectives from the Middle East, Latin America, and South Asia*, Oxford:
28
29 Lexington Books, pp. 1-30.

30
31 Amin, A. (2004), 'Regions Unbound: Towards a New Politics of Place', *Geografiska Annaler. Series B,*
32
33 *Human Geography*, 86 (1), pp. 33-44.

34
35 Amin, A. (2013), Telescopic urbanism and the poor, *City*, 17 (4), 476-492. Arvidsson, A., Bauwens, M.,
36
37 and Peitersen, N. (2008), 'The Crisis of Value and the Ethical Economy', *Journal of Future Studies*, 12
38
39 (4), pp. 9-20.

40
41 Banks, M. (2006), 'Moral Economy and Cultural Work', *Sociology*, 40 (3), pp. 455-72.

42
43 Banks, M. Gill, R. and Taylor, S. (2013) *Theorizing Cultural Work: Labour, Continuity and Change in*
44
45 *the Cultural and Creative Industries*, Oxon: Routledge.

46
47 Bauwens, M. (2005) 'The political economy of peer production', CTheory, Available:

48
49 <http://www.ctheory.net/articles.aspx?id=499>, accessed 12 June 2013.

50
51 --- (2009), 'Co-creation and the new industrial paradigm of peer production'.
52
53 Available: <[http://fourteen.fibrejournal.org/fcj-097-co-creation-and-the-new-industrial-](http://fourteen.fibrejournal.org/fcj-097-co-creation-and-the-new-industrial-paradigm-of-peer-production/)
54
55 [paradigm-of-peer-production/](http://fourteen.fibrejournal.org/fcj-097-co-creation-and-the-new-industrial-paradigm-of-peer-production/)>

1
2
3 Benga, N. (2013), 'Les murs-murs sur nos murs. Quête de citoyenneté et culture urbaine à Dakar
4 (1990-2000)', in Mamadou Diouf and Rosalind Fredericks (eds.), *Les arts de la citoyenneté au*
5 *Sénégal: espaces contestés et civilités urbaines* (Paris: Karthala), pp. 357-77.
6
7

8
9 Bodnar, J. (2015) 'Reclaiming public space', *VSI, Urban Studies*, 52 (12), pp. 2090-2104.
10

11
12 Canclini, N. G. (2016), 'Urban spaces and networks: Young people's creativity', *International Journal*
13 *of Cultural Studies*, pp. 1-12.
14
15

16
17 Costa, E. and Agustini, G. (eds) *De Baixo para Cima*, Rio de Janeiro: Aeroplano.
18
19

20
21 De Souza e Silva, J. 'As Periferias Roubam a Cena Cultural Carioca', in Costa, E. and Agustini, G. (eds)
22 *De Baixo para Cima*, Rio de Janeiro: Aeroplano, pp. 55-78.
23
24

25
26 Dinardi, C. (2015) 'Cities for sale: Contesting city branding and cultural policies in Buenos Aires',
27 *Urban Studies*, 54 (1), pp. 85-101.
28
29

30
31 Diouf, M. (2013), ' Les jeunes dakarois, la scène urbaine et le temps du monde à la fin du XXe Siècle',
32 in Mamadou Diouf and Rosalind Fredericks (eds.), *Les arts de la citoyenneté au Sénégal: espaces*
33 *contestés et civilités urbaines* (!850872367!Hommes et sociétés; Paris: Karthala), pp.49-92.
34
35
36

37
38 Gill, R. and Pratt, A. (2008) 'Precarity and Cultural Work In the Social Factory? Immaterial Labour,
39 Precariousness and Cultural Work', *Theory, Culture & Society*, 25 (7-8), pp. 1-30.
40
41

42
43 Jáuregui, J. M. (2011), 'Articulating the Broken City and Society', *Architectural Design*, 81 (3), pp.58-
44 63.
45
46

47
48 Jovchelovitch, S. and Priego-Hernández, J. (2013), *Underground sociabilities: identity, culture and*
49 *resistance in Rio de Janeiro's favelas*, Brasilia: Unesco.
50
51

52
53 Holston, J. (2009), 'Insurgent Citizenship in an Era of Global Urban Peripheries', *City & Society*, 21 (2),
54 pp. 245-267.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Holston, J. and Caldeira, T. (2008), 'Urban Peripheries and the Invention of Citizenship', *Harvard*
4 *Design Magazine*, 28 (Spring/Summer), pp. 18-23.

5
6
7
8 Latour, B. (2010), 'An Attempt at a "Compositionist Manifesto"', *New Literary History*, 41 (3), pp.
9 471-90.

10
11
12 Marques, J., Dhiman, S., and Biberman, J. (2013), 'Moral Crossroads: Contemplating Formal and
13 Informal Economy Through the Noble Eightfold Path', *The Journal of Applied Business and*
14 *Economics*, 14 (1), pp. 99-109.

15
16
17
18 Mbaye, J.F. (2015), 'Musical borderlands: A cultural perspective of regional integration in Africa'.*City,*
19 *Culture and Society*, 6(2), pp.19-26.

20
21
22
23 --- (2016), 'Rogue Flânerie: Cultural Takhawalu in Urban Extremes: Cape Town & Dakar', in Umunna,
24 M. and Disu, O. (Ed.), *Open City Lagos*, Nigeria & Switzerland: Heinrich Böll Foundation (Nigeria),
25 Nsibidi Institute (Nigeria) & Fabulous Urban (Switzerland). pp. 50-57.

26
27
28
29 McFarlane, C. (2012a), 'Rethinking Informality: Politics, Crisis, and the City', *Planning Theory &*
30 *Practice*, 13 (1), pp. 89-108.

31
32
33
34 --- (2012b), 'The Entrepreneurial Slum: Civil Society, Mobility and the Co-production of Urban
35 Development', *Urban Studies*, 49 (13), pp. 2795-816.

36
37
38
39 McRobbie, A. (2016) *Be Creative: Making a Living in the New Culture Industries*, Wiley. Available
40 from: ProQuest Ebook Central.

41
42
43
44 Mehrotra, R. (2010), 'Foreword', in Hernández, F., Kellett, P. and Allen, L. K. (eds) *Rethinking the*
45 *Informal City: Critical Perspectives from Latin America*, New York: Berghan Books, pp. xi-xiv.

46
47
48
49 Observatório de favelas (2009), *O que é a favela, afinal?*, Rio de Janeiro: Observatório de Favelas.

50
51
52
53 ---- (2014), *Censo de Empreendimentos Maré*, organised by Redes da Maré, Rio de Janeiro:
54 Observatório de Favelas.

1
2
3
4 Perlman, J. (2004), 'Marginality: From Myth to Reality in the Favelas of Rio de Janeiro, 1969-2002', in
5
6
7

8 Roy, A. and Alsayyad, N. (eds) *Urban Informality: Transnational Perspectives from the Middle East, Latin America, and South Asia*, Oxford: Lexington Books, pp.105-146.
9
10
11

12
13
14 Pieterse, E. 2008. *City Futures: Confronting the Crisis of Urban Development*. Lansdowne & New
15
16 York: UCT Press & Zed Books.

17
18 Pieterse, E. (2011), 'Grasping the unknowable: coming to grips with African urbanisms', *Social
19
20 Dynamics*, 37 (1), pp. 5-23.
21

22
23 Roy, A. (2009), 'Civic Governmentality: The Politics of Inclusion in Beirut and Mumbai', *Antipode*, 41
24
25 (1), pp. 159-79.
26

27
28 --- (2011), 'Slumdog Cities: Rethinking Subaltern Urbanism', *International Journal of Urban and
29
30 Regional Research*, 35 (2), pp. 223-38.
31

32
33 --- (2013), Spectral futures, *City*, 17 (4), 493-497. Schindler, S. (2014), 'Understanding Urban Processes
34
35 in Flint, Michigan: Approaching 'Subaltern Urbanism' Inductively: 'Subaltern urbanism' in Flint,
36
37 Michigan', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 38 (3), pp. 791-804.
38

39 Simone, A. M. (2004), *For the city yet to come: changing African life in four cities* (Durham,
40
41 N.C.; London;: Duke University Press).
42

43
44 --- (2008), 'Emergency Democracy and the "Governing Composite"', *Social Text*, 26 (2 95), pp. 13-33.
45
46

47 --- (2010), *City life from Dakar to Jakarta* (New York: Routledge).
48
49

50 Tilouine, J. (2014), 'Baromètre des sociétés civiles : Sénégal, Niger et RDC sur le podium ', *Jeune
51
52 Afrique*.
53
54

55 Varley, A. (2013) 'Postcolonialising informality?', *Environment and Planning D*, 31 (1), pp. 4-22.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Ward, K., et al. (2011), 'Urban Politics: An Interdisciplinary Dialogue', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 35 (4), pp. 853-871.

Watson, V. (2009), 'Seeing from the South: Refocusing Urban Planning on the Globe's Central Urban Issues', *Urban Studies*, 46 (11), pp. 2259-2275.



Figure 1. Galpão Bela Maré. Left: Exterior with Camerata Laranjeiras. Right: Interior during the video-art installation *Microutopias: Inventive practices and imagined futures*, curated collaboratively. Rio de Janeiro, 15th May 2016. Photos by Dinardi, C.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Fig. 2: Artwork reproduced with the kind permission of SallNgaary