

Special Issue: 'Developmental Coordination Disorder: Current Issues'.

EDITORIAL

Stefania Zoia¹, Anna Barnett², Peter Wilson³ and Elisabeth Hill⁴

¹Dr. Stefania Zoia

Child Neurology and Psychiatry Department

Institute of Child Health

IRCCS Burlo Garofolo

via Dell'Istria 65/1

34137 Trieste

Italy

²Dr. Anna Barnett

Department of Psychology

Oxford Brookes University

Headington Campus

Oxford

OX3 0BP

UK

³Dr. Peter Wilson

Division of Psychology

School of Health Sciences

RMIT University, City Campus, 6.5.08

GPO Box 2476V, Melbourne

Victoria 3001

Australia

⁴Dr. Elisabeth Hill

Department of Psychology

Goldsmiths, University of London

New Cross

London

SE14 6NW

UK

The concept of a specific disorder of motor function has been recognised for at least a century, with a gradual increase in awareness of this condition among health professionals and researchers developing over the past thirty years or so. First recognised in DSM-III-R (American Psychiatric Association 1987) as clumsy child syndrome, a number of labels have been applied to the disorder including dyspraxia (Denckla 1984) and Specific Developmental Disorder of Motor Function (World Health Organisation 1992). At present, however, common international approval has been expressed in favour of the term Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD; Henderson and Henderson 2002; Sugden and Chambers 2005), the label assigned to the disorder in DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association 1994; 2000). This idiopathic disorder is diagnosed in those children who for no medical reason fail to acquire adequate motor skills. Typically, motor milestones are generally achieved rather late, while core aspects of this disorder can include difficulties in manual dexterity, ball skills and/or balance. Such marked impairment has a significant, negative impact on activities of daily living, such as dressing, eating, riding a bicycle, and/or on academic achievements, primarily as a result of poor handwriting skills (Barnett and Henderson 2005). DCD is not a trivial disorder as it affects around 5% of school-aged children, with a prevalence of males over females (3:1). Its onset is apparent in the early years but usually it is not diagnosed formally before the age of five. It has a varying, but significant impact throughout the lifespan and its symptoms are consistent across culture, race, socio-economic status and gender. Although the long-term prognosis of individuals with DCD is uncertain, adolescence and adulthood is characterised by persistent motor difficulties, in addition to social problems as well as medical and psychiatric consequences (Cousins and Smyth 2005).

Over the past thirty years, diagnosis of this condition, development of assessment tools and research into the disorder has evolved. While much remains to be done to increase awareness, further strides are being made. This is particularly apparent when considering the level of attendance at international conferences on DCD, the latest of which triggered the papers published in this special issue. DCD-VI, organised by Drs. Stefania Zoia and Aldo Scabar (Institute of Child

Health, IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste) and held in Trieste Italy, saw 180 delegates from around the world attend a four-day conference. The program was a very full and stimulating one, with studies on research methods, postural control, sensori-motor control, handwriting, co-occurrence with other developmental disorders and intervention being presented in both oral and poster formats.

A further testimony to the quantity and quality of work on DCD comes from the number of papers that were submitted for consideration in this special issue. We were unable to include all of these for space reasons. Look out for other papers on DCD in subsequent issues of *Child: Care Health and Development*.

This special issue, *Developmental Coordination Disorder: Current Issues*, contains eleven papers, falling into four of the main themes of the DCD-VI conference: assessment, approaches to research, sensori-motor control, and co-occurrence with other developmental disorders.

Assessment

Inter and intra-individual variability within DCD is well documented and might interfere with the development of appropriate screening tools. In early infancy, proper identification of signs and symptoms is hampered by the lack of reliable tests and baseline data to the point that when motor difficulties are persistent, parents seem to be the ones who readily pick up the problems (Wilson and McKenzie 1998; Jongmans 2005). Standardised measures of movement skills are more readily available for children of school age. In recent years a number of researchers have developed measures to aid with the identification of young children with DCD. One such attempt is documented in the first paper of this special issue. Here, Rosenblum describes the initial phases of the development of a reliable and valid screening tool, the Children's Activity Scales. This scale uses a checklist approach, as opposed to the more conventional assessment of performance in situ. It comprises a parent (ChAS-P) and teacher (ChAS-T) checklist suitable for use with children aged 4-8 years at risk for DCD. A particular strength of this measure is that it has been developed to

provide information about a child's ability to function within the context of their natural environment. Items relate to gross and fine motor skill, organisation in space and time during the performance of activities of daily living, mobility, ball skills, play activities, common school and preschool activities. Early reliability and validity data on the scale are encouraging.

Approaches to Research

Specific theoretical approaches that make clear predictions between behavioural, cognitive and biological levels of understanding of neurodevelopmental disorders have been invaluable in understanding a range of disorders such as autism spectrum disorder and dyslexia (Morton 2004). In terms of DCD, we know rather little about its cognitive and biological basis and specific theories of the disorder are (relatively) few and far between. The work of Williams and colleagues presents one such account, the *internal modelling deficit* (IMD) theory, and tests it using a mental rotation paradigm. While not conclusive, the study lends some support to the IMD hypothesis. Results suggest that many children with DCD have difficulties mentally simulating a movement. This problem may reflect a reduced ability to represent the external workspace in body-centred coordinates or, indeed, to develop an accurate feedforward model of an action. If future findings support this view, it may be possible to target a particular intervention in these individuals.

One interesting question arising from the study of Williams et al. as well as from other studies concerns the issue of subgroups of DCD. Indirectly, this also receives attention in the paper of Lust and colleagues, who continued the study of DCD using a mental rotation task. Lust et al. combine an analysis of reaction time data with measurement of event-related potentials (ERPs). However, unlike Williams et al., the children with DCD in Lust et al.'s study did not have difficulty utilising motor imagery. Experimental procedures such as the use of training in mental rotation before testing may explain part of the difference. Follow-up studies using larger samples may provide good insight into the mental rotation abilities of those with DCD. It may be that a subgroup of these individuals shows an internal modelling deficit. Whatever the outcome of future studies, it

is important that different researchers take up a common theme and investigate an explicit hypothesis such as this one using converging methods. Replications as well as new findings are important in a field where sample sizes are often small and individuals are known to have a heterogeneous behavioural profile.

Sensori-motor control

Sensori-motor control in DCD and relative theoretical approaches are addressed by four papers in this issue. In the first of these, Wilmut and colleagues investigate the temporal coordination of eye and hand movements in children with DCD when pointing to sequential targets. A specific profile of similarities and differences in the eye-hand coupling of children with DCD was found, attributed to a difficulty in linking sequential shifts of gaze and hand required for the completion of everyday tasks.

In a departure from a focus on vision-motor coupling, Whitall and colleagues investigate sensori-motor coupling between audition and motor skill. Using a clap-while-walking paradigm, Whitall et al. provide data to show both a developmental trajectory of skill and a difference in skill in those with DCD. The DCD group was not only less stable in coupling their movements to the auditory cue, particularly at high frequencies, but also showed impaired coordination of the clap and walk. With a series of interesting findings, Whitall et al. have provided the basis for future studies that will provide not only greater understanding of this disorder, but may also offer insights for intervention.

Inter-limb coordination is fundamental to many activities of daily living that children with DCD frequently find difficult—for example, running, locomotor transitions, intercepting objects while moving, and so on. Some of the basic building blocks of coordination have been investigated using quite simple tasks and the study of Volman and colleagues provides a nice example of this. They investigated the coordination patterns of children with and without DCD when producing tapping movements with the two hands or hand and foot. An interesting pattern of similarities and

differences was identified in children with DCD in comparison to their peers, showing that these children have particular difficulty coordinating hand-foot movements to produce stable rhythmic patterns. Such findings again provide an interesting departure for future studies to understand both the cause and consequences of DCD.

Over the years, the issue of laterality and midline crossing has been hotly debated in the field of developmental disorders. Some take the view that children with DCD are impaired when crossing the midline and having to make movements in contralateral space. A kinematic study focusing on midline crossing is reported here by Smits-Engelsman and colleagues. This carefully constructed study provides a detailed investigation of children with and without DCD in both speed and accuracy when goal-directed movements are made across the midline. Contrary to expectations, this study found that children with DCD were not preferentially impaired when making movements in contralateral workspace, although they were impaired overall relative to their peers, supporting the findings of Zoia et al. (2005) in a reaching task performed by children with and without DCD under normal and perturbed vision. Although not tested directly, it might be that midline crossing only becomes an issue for individuals with DCD when significant postural adjustments are required, placing demands on movement planning and anticipatory control. This study provides an important methodological example of a detailed, well-controlled comparison and generates several intriguing hypotheses about the nature of movement control in DCD.

The visual control of locomotor movements has obvious implications for understanding coordinated action in the real world. Deconinck and colleagues present an elegant study of the contribution of vision to walking by assessing spatiotemporal gait variables when children walked in both light and dark conditions. Results suggest that a greater visual contribution to walking is evident in children with DCD compared with their typically developing peers. Once again, these findings represent an important basis for future research by suggesting a specific hypothesis to explain the disorder, in this case the possibility of a poorly developed internal sensori-motor model.

Co-occurrence of DCD with other disorders

A number of conditions are believed to have a primary effect on movement, such as some forms of neonatal cerebral infarction (Mercuri et al. 2004) and prematurity with low birth weight (Hadders-Algra et al. 2004). Furthermore, secondary factors such as asthma and obesity may have an impact on movement, making it important to evaluate the influence of such factors when assessing a child for DCD. In addition, it is now well known that motor difficulties are apparent in a number of developmental disorders such as autism spectrum disorder (Mari et al. 2003), ADHD (Martin et al. 2006), dyslexia (Ramus et al. 2003) and specific language impairment (Hill 2001). The final three papers in this issue take different approaches to investigating this co-occurrence. In the first, Kaplan and colleagues present an opinion piece in which they discuss their arguments for use of the terms co-occurrence and continuum (rather than comorbidity, another term often used) and their view that the concepts of atypical brain development and minor neurological dysfunction are perhaps more useful for explaining co-occurring symptoms across developmental disorders than attempts to explain these symptoms as separate disorders. This is a hotly debated area, and has relevance when thinking about a range of neurodevelopmental disorders.

In the first of a two-pronged investigation, Scabar and colleagues evaluated the presence of DCD in a group of children diagnosed with Benign Epilepsy with Centro-Temporal Spikes (BECTS). Using the Movement ABC (Henderson and Sugden 1992), they found that a subgroup of these children met criteria for DCD. If these findings are replicated, this would suggest a further group of individuals who could be considered at risk for DCD. In the second part of their study, Scabar et al. took sleep EEG recordings of a group of eight children with DCD. This revealed the presence of rolandic spikes in more than 70% of the sample, consistent with BECTS and suggesting that those with DCD might be at risk for this condition. Again, this finding needs to be replicated but contributes to a small but growing body of brain-based research findings.

In the final paper in this section, Green and colleagues report data from a group of children referred to a Community Paediatric Occupational Therapy service for assessment and treatment of

problems with the development of motor skills. In this study the focus of the research was on the emotional and behavioural profile, rather than the motor skill, of the participants. This is a vital area for research given the probable influence of motor difficulties on mental health (Hellgren et al. 1994), participation in physical recreation, and educational achievement, not to mention the indirect (but broader) effects of these difficulties on the dynamics of family and other social systems of which the individual is part. A significant proportion of the children with DCD in Green et al.'s study were reported by their parents to be at risk of psychopathology, stressing the importance of evaluating and supporting a child's mental health and behavioural profile in addition to their motor difficulties when a diagnosis of DCD is made.

In the past ten years, research on DCD has been reinvigorated, particularly with reference to early diagnosis and intervention. The findings presented in this special issue highlight a variety of approaches that are currently being developed. Of course, there are many important approaches and interesting findings that we were unable to include in this issue. We must now consolidate and extend our knowledge. One way to do this will be to develop and test clear models of DCD that relate behaviour, cognition and biology. Increasingly sophisticated assessment techniques will help in this regard, as will the growing interest of the international community in this disorder and greater collaborations between research groups and between researchers, clinicians, and policy makers. We look forward to further developments in this area being reported at the next international DCD conference, DCD-VII to be held in Melbourne Australia in February 2007, and over the coming years.

Stefania Zoia

Anna Barnett

Peter Wilson

Elisabeth Hill

Acknowledgements

***** CAROLE PLEASE CAN YOU CHECK THE LIST OF REVIEWERS IS CORRECT
AND AMEND IT ACCORDINGLY *****

We are grateful to Stuart Logan and Helen McConachie for supporting the publication of this special issue, also to the reviewers of all the articles submitted for the special issue: Timo Ahonen, Sarah Astill, Gillian Baird, Dorothy Bishop, Marja Cantell, Umberto Castiello, Mary Chambers, Jane Clark, Margaret Cousins, Carolyn Dunford, Teresa Farroni, Reint Geuze, Christopher Gillberg, Angela Gosling, Rodney Grahame, Dido Green, Irina Harris, Sheila Henderson, Megan Hodge, Marian Jongmans, Amanda Kirby, Dawne Larkin, David Livesey, Cheryl Missiuna, Moto Miyahara, Mark Mon-Williams, Andrew Wilson, Judith Peters, Jan Piek, Helene Polatajko, Raffaella Rumiati, Geert Savelsbergh, Aldo Scabar, Marina Schoemaker, Amy Shelton, Isobel Smith, Bouwien Smits-Engelsman, David Sugden, Patrizio Tressoldi, M. (Chiel) Volman, Mike Wade, John Wann, Brenda Wilson and Katie Wood.



References

- American Psychiatric Association (1987) *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (3rd revised ed.). Washington D.C.: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association (1994) *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (4th ed.). Washington D.C.: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association (2000) DSM-IV-TR. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fourth Edition. Text Revision.* Washington, DC.: American Psychiatric Association.
- Barnett, A. and Henderson, S.E. (2005) Assessment of handwriting in children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. In *Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder* (Eds, Sugden, D.A. and Chambers, M.E.) London: Whurr Publishers, pp.168-188.
- Cousins, M., and Smyth, M. M. (2005). Progression and development in developmental coordination disorder. In *Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder* (Eds, Sugden, D.A. and Chambers, M.E.) London: Whurr Publishers, pp.119-134.
- Deconinck, F.J.A., De Clercq, D., Savelsberg, G.J.P., Van Coster, R., Oostra, A., Dewitte, G. and Lenoir, M. (in press) Visual contribution to walking in children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.
- Denckla, M. B. (1984) Developmental dyspraxia: the clumsy child. In *Middle Childhood: Development and Dysfunction* (Eds, Levine, M.D. and Satz, P.) Baltimore: University Park Press, pp. 245-260.
- Green, D., Baird, G. and Sugden, D.A. (2006) A pilot study of psychopathology in Developmental Coordination Disorder. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.
- Hadders-Algra, M., Mavinkurve-Groothuis, A., Groen, S.E., Stremmelaar E.F., Martijn A. and Butcher, P.R. (2004) Quality of general movements and the development of minor neurological dysfunction at toddler and school age. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, **18**, 287-299.

- Hellgren, L., Gillberg, I.C., Bagenholm, A. and Gillberg, C. (1994) Children with deficits in attention, motor control and perception (DAMP) almost grown up: psychiatric and personality disorders at age 16 years. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **35**, 1255-1271.
- Henderson, S.E., and Henderson, L. (2002) Towards an understanding of developmental coordination disorders. The Second G Lawrence Rarick Memorial Lecture. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, **19**, 11-31.
- Henderson, S.E., and Sugden, D.A. (1992) *Movement Assessment Battery for Children*. Sidcup: Psychological Corporation.
- Hill, E.L. (2001) The nonspecific nature of specific language impairment: a review of the literature with regard to concomitant motor impairments. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, **36**, 149-171.
- Jongmans, M.J. (2005) Early identification of children with developmental coordination disorder. In *Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder* (Eds, Sugden, D.A. and Chambers, M.E.) London: Whurr Publishers, pp. 155-167.
- Kaplan, B., Crawford, S., Cantell, M., Kooistra, L. and Dewey, D. (2006) Comorbidity, co-occurrence, continuum: What's in a name? *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.
- Lust, J.M., Geuze, R.H., Wijers, A.A. and Wilson, P.H. (2006) An EEG study of mental rotation related negativity in children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.
- Mari, M., Castiello, U., Marks, D., Marraffa, C. and Prior, M. (2003) The reach-to-grasp movement in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society Series B*, **358**, 393-404.
- Martin, N. C., Piek, J. P. and Hay, D. (2006) DCD and ADHD: a genetic study of their shared aetiology. *Human Movement Science*, **25**, 110-124.

- Mercuri, E., Barnett, A., Rutherford, M., Guzzetta, A., Haataja, L., Cioni, G., Cowan, F. and Dubowitz, L. (2004) Neonatal cerebral infarction and neuromotor outcome at school age. *Paediatrics*, **113**, 95-100.
- Morton, J. (2004) *Understanding developmental disorders: a causal modelling approach*. Oxford: Blackwells.
- Ramus, F., Rosen, S., Dakin, S., Day, B.L., Castellote, J.M., White, S. and Frith, U. (2003) Theories of developmental dyslexia: insights from a multiple case study of dyslexic adults. *Brain*, **126**, 841-865.
- Rosenblum, S. (2006) The development and standardization of the Parent and Teacher Children Activity Scales (ChAS-P/T) for early identification of children with Developmental Coordination Disorders. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.
- Scabar, A., Devescovi, R., Blason, L., Bravar, L. and Carrozzi, M. (2006) DCD, SLI co-morbidity: significance of paroxysmal epileptiform activity during sleep. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.
- Smits-Engelsman, B.C.M., Bloem-van der Wel, H.E. and Duysens, J. (2006) Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder respond similarly as age matched controls in both speed and accuracy if goal directed movements are made across the midline. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.
- Sugden, D. and Chambers, M. (Eds.) (2005) *Children with developmental coordination disorder*. London: Whurr Publishers.
- Volman, M.J.M., Laroy, M.E. and Jongmans, M. (2006) Rhythmic coordination of hand and foot in children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.
- Whitall, J., Getchell, N., McMenamin, S., Horn, C., Wilms-Floet, A. and Clark, J.E. (2006) Perception-action coupling in children with and without DCD: Frequency locking between

task relevant auditory signals and motor responses in a dual motor task. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.

Williams, J., Wilson, P.H., Thomas, P.R., Maruff, P. and Butson, M. (2006) Motor, visual and egocentric transformations in children with Developmental Coordination Disorder: Support for the Internal Modelling Deficit hypothesis. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.

Wilmot, K., Wann, J.P. and Brown, J.H. (2006) The temporal coordination of eye and hand movements in children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, **this issue**.

Wilson, P.H., and McKenzie, B.E. (1998) Information processing deficits associated with developmental coordination disorder: A meta-analysis of research findings. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **39**, 829-840.

World Health Organisation (1993) *The ICD-10 Classification for Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Diagnostic Criteria for Research*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organisation.

Zoia, S., Castiello, U., Blason, L. and Scabar, A. (2005) Reaching in Children with and without Developmental Motor Coordination Disorder under normal and perturbed vision. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, **25**, 257-273.