Neural Correlates of Induced Light Experience during Meditation: A Pilot Hyperscanning Study

Peter Fenwick¹*, Caroline Di Bernardi Luft²,³, Andreas A Ioannides⁴, Joydeep Bhattacharya³

ABSTRACT

Certain individuals during deep meditative state can give out an aura or 'light, which is perceived by others through some unknown connections, visual, telepathic or other. Despite various anecdotal, historical accounts of such induced light experience (ILE), its underlying neural mechanisms are not known. In this pilot study, we investigated the neural correlates of ILE by simultaneously recording the EEGs of an expert meditation Teacher, who is claimed to elicit ILE, and his Pupil (N=2) during joint meditation sessions under various instructions, given separately to the Teacher (transmit/do not transmit) and to the Pupil (receive/do not receive) and also during transmit/receive instruction but both wearing goggles, limiting the visual input. We observed a robust increase in the high frequency beta (12-30 Hz) and gamma oscillations (30-70 Hz) in the Teacher's brain whenever he was instructed to transmit. Electric field tomography analysis localized these effects over a multitude of brain regions including the fusiform gyrus, angular gyrus and the cerebellum. Finally, we found that the Teacher's and Pupil's brain responses were synchronized especially in the alpha band (8-12 Hz) during transmit/receive condition, and the information flow was directional, i.e. from the Teacher to the Pupil; interestingly, this enhanced interbrain synchrony disappeared with goggles. These results were interpreted in terms of heightened internally selective attention as manifested by high frequency beta-gamma oscillations and of joint attention as manifested by interbrain alpha synchrony. Altogether, our results provide first neuroscientific evidence underlying the phenomenological experience of induced light. (Word count: 246)
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Introduction

Anecdotal accounts of individuals who see light surrounding their meditation teacher when meditating are not uncommon. In fact, the occurrence of such induced light experience (ILE) dates back to early history. Greek philosopher Parmenides and Plato both associated 'truth' with light. Since then there are many accounts in the esoteric literature of spiritual teachers who are able to radiate 'light and energy' when meditating. For example, St. Francis of Assissi was reported by other monks to have been surrounded by a cloud of white light, as was St. Theresa of Avila. Puhle, (2014) has summarized the history of light phenomena in over 750 individual accounts and shows the widespread nature of light experiences. Light has also been associated with the dying just days before death. The light is seen by the dying themselves, carers and relatives, however, there is a subjective component as not all the relatives may see it, and interestingly, any light experiences often end with death (Fenwick and Fenwick, 2012).

In some cultures, subjective light experiences have been associated with the nature of the mind. For example, in the book, “Awakening the Luminous Mind”, Rinpoche, (2012) gives a number of Tibetan meditation practices to reveal this light of the mind.
Meditation has been shown to affect brain physiology. Numerous studies suggest robust structural changes, i.e. brain's gray and white matter, associated with the intense meditation training (Fox, Dixon et al., 2016). Further, changes in the functional brain responses are also widely reported during (Cahn and Polich, 2006). In a pioneering fMRI experiment, Beauregard and Paquette, (2006) recorded the haemodynamic responses of Carmelite nuns, who often experience light and love in their meditation, while they were subjectively experiencing a state of union with God. A wide range of brain regions including right medial orbitofrontal cortex, right inferior and superior parietal lobules, right medial temporal cortex, left anterior cingulate cortex were activated during this mystical state. Further, high frequency gamma oscillations (> 30 Hz) are found to increase during meditation (Lehmann, Faber et al., 2001; Lutz, Greischar et al., 2004; Vialatte, Bakardjian et al., 2009; Cahn, Delorme et al., 2010). Gamma oscillations are widespread in human brain and generated out of the coordinated interaction between excitatory and inhibitory neuronal populations (Buzsáki and Wang, 2012); its functional roles are less specific as it is implicated with wide ranging cognitive and emotional processes in visual binding, selective attention, memory recall, to emotional arousal. However, to our knowledge, no study so far has connected the documented increase in occipital gamma during meditation with spontaneous visual imagery. Interestingly, the process of “seeing things” during meditation is a commonly reported phenomenon - “encounters with light” - amongst meditators (Lo, Huang et al., 2003, Lindahl, Kaplan et al., 2014). For example, Lindahl, Kaplan et al. (2014) wrote “Two practitioners also reported a proprioceptive dimension to their meditation-induced light experience. One practitioner explained that “my body just was breaking apart into sparkles and like electrical sparks being sent off everywhere in all directions”; the other “felt like I was radiating, like there were rays of light coming out of me.”

Though these studies present some novel accounts on the experience of light during meditation, they do not directly address the transmission of light between teacher and pupil or the information exchange between the two brains engaged during the induced light experience (ILE). To the best of our knowledge, little empirical data are available on ILE and we know almost nothing about its neuronal mechanisms. The primary reasons, we believe, are two folds: first, it is not easy to identify a Teacher-Pupil pair having ILE in a robust and consistent manner, and second, more importantly, the severe reluctance of a Teacher to co-operate with neuroscientific researchers who would like to investigate the phenomenology of ILE with proper scientific rigor and controls. In this study, we carried out a pilot investigation on one meditation Teacher AF (male, 60 years) who has a reputation of evoking ILE in his pupils, and importantly, who was willing to co-operate so that a scientific understanding of such ILE could be attempted. In this pilot study, we investigated the neural correlates of ILE by simultaneously recording EEG of AF and his pupil (N=2) during the ILE and related controlled conditions.

Materials and Methods

Participants
A.F. is a 60 year old male French Philosopher and meditation teacher who had spent many decades sitting in silence in French cathedrals. Subsequently, he had a number of transcendental experiences, following which he discovered that he had developed the ability to cause ILEs in his students. By combining Eastern and Western philosophical concepts, AF has developed his unique 4-D meditation teaching philosophy as follows: distancing (a position of self-observation), discernment (an exploration of layered emotions), disidentification (an enhanced awareness of situations), and discrimination (the strict practice of metaphysical enquiry). In a typical joint meditation session with AF, his pupils sit in front of him and look at him, and he then induces in himself a mental state, which he describes as ‘entering the void’, and his pupils experience light in this state. The whole process is brief and lasts about two to three minutes. The pupils report experiencing light of various colours and intensities in the room and ‘energy’ moving in their bodies. Two male pupils age 45 and 47 years familiar with AF’s meditation and philosophical concepts participated in this study. All participants were neurologically healthy, provided written informed consent before the experiment started, and the study protocol was approved by the Local Ethics Committee at Goldsmiths, University of London.

Procedure
The Teacher and his Pupil sat opposite and facing each other. Visual instructions were shown behind each participant but visible only to the other person.
We had five conditions and each condition was repeated once for each Teacher-Pupil dyad (Table 1). Each condition lasted for 3 minutes, and a break of few minutes was provided between conditions to bring back the mental states of both the Teacher and the Pupil to the baseline level as appropriate; both participants were given texts with neutral content to read during the breaks. No verbal communication was allowed between the Teacher and the Pupil throughout the experiment.

Table 1. List of five conditions used in our study. The Teacher (T) and his Pupil(P) were given individual instructions in a way that one could not see the instruction given to other. For each Teacher-Pupil dyad, all conditions were presented twice. The order of the conditions was randomized within each dyad.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Instruction to (T)</th>
<th>Instruction to Pupil (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TsPr</td>
<td>Send energy</td>
<td>Receive energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TnsPr</td>
<td>Do not send energy</td>
<td>Receive energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TsPnr</td>
<td>Send energy</td>
<td>Nothing to receive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TnsPnr</td>
<td>Do not send energy</td>
<td>Nothing to receive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TsgPrg</td>
<td>Send energy after wearing an opaque glass</td>
<td>Receive energy after wearing an opaque glass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EEG Recording and Pre-processing**

The EEG signals from both Teacher and Pupils were recorded with sixty four Ag-AgCl scalp electrodes placed according to the extended 10-20 electrode placement system (Jasper, 1958), and were amplified by two Biosemi ActiveTwo amplifiers. The vertical and horizontal EOGs were recorded in bipolar fashion by placing additional electrodes above and below the right eye, and at the outer canthus of each eye, respectively. An online reference by forming a feedback loop comprising two electrodes – CMS (common mode sense) and DRL (driven right leg) – was used (see http://www.biosemi.com/faq/cms&drl.htm). The EEG signals were continuously recorded, sampled at 1 KHz, and filtered (high pass 0.1 Hz, low pass 100 Hz). Two EEG devices were synchronized using a pulse signal from the stimulus computer delivered to both EEGs. The EEG signals were later referenced offline to the average of the left and right earlobe electrodes (Essl and Rappelsberger, 1998), and down sampled to 512 Hz. The EEG data were processed and analyzed mainly using the following MATLAB® toolboxes: EEGLAB (Delorme and Makeig, 2004) and FieldTrip (Oostenveld, Fries et al., 2011) for data analysis and statistical comparisons.

**EEG Analysis**

First, we performed spectral analysis of EEG signals. For each condition, EEG data were divided into non-overlapping epochs of 5 sec, and the spectral content was estimated using Welch periodogram with a time window of 2 sec with a 500 ms overlap (Welch, 1967). We divided the broadband EEG power spectrum into six standard frequency bands (Donner and Siegel, 2011): delta (1-4 Hz), theta (4-8 Hz), alpha (8-12 Hz), beta (12-30 Hz), gamma1 (30-45 Hz) and gamma2 (55-70 Hz). Spectral power differences between conditions was compared using t-tests, and statistically significant electrodes (Bonferroni corrected $p<0.00013 = 0.05/(6^64)$, 6 frequency bands x 64 electrodes) were highlighted in the scalp maps.

In order to detect the interbrain communication between AF’s brain and student brain in different conditions, we applied phase slope index, PSI (Nolte, Ziehe et al., 2008), a measure that is able to capture the direction of information flow. PSI is based on the idea that a nonvanishing imaginary part of the coherence cannot be caused by the volume conduction (Nolte, Bai et al., 2004), which often leads to spurious connectivity at the sensor level EEG/MEG (Schiff, 2005). The PSI is only sensitive to noninstantaneous simultaneous functional connectivity between two signals and it is based on the slope of the phase of their cross-spectrum. PSI was calculated between all pair-wise electrodes (interbrains, between AF and student) in the six frequency bands as stated earlier. The PSI values were normalized to its standard deviations, estimated by the jackknife method. For Gaussian distributions with an unit s.d., absolute PSI values (normalized in units of s.d.) larger than 1.96 were considered significant at $p<.05$ (Nolte, Ziehe et al., 2008).

**Source Localization**

In order to source localize the brain activities, we performed Electric Field Tomography, EFT, which is an adaption of the method of Magnetic Field Tomography (Ribary, Ioannides et al., 1991; Ioannides, Liu et al., 1995), a noninvasive nonlinear technique based on distributed source analysis of neural signals that allows a 3-D reconstruction of brain activity with a superior spatio-temporal resolution. We describe briefly the basic steps as we used them in this study. The continuous data for each condition, dyad, and run were pre-processed removing noisy channels and artifacts with ICA and visual inspection and wherever necessary noisy chunks of data. Subsequently, for each condition and run, we separated three segments, one near the
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For the statistical analysis, we used a paired t-test with Bonferroni correction to compare the spectral power differences between conditions.
beginning (segment1), one near the middle (segment 2) and one near the end (segment 3). We selected 10 trials from each segment. Each trial was chosen away from large artifacts and was 2s long and had about 1,000 time slices (our sampling rate was ~500 Hz, i.e. at 2 ms separation between samples). The main body of data for the EFT analysis was thus composed of 30 trials, each with 1000 sample points (time slices) for each participant and for each condition and run. Using EFT, we computed an independent estimate of brain activation for each time slice of data, i.e. for every 2 ms. We stored the solutions in a rectangular grid covering the entire brain, with point-to-point separation of ~ 8 mm. For each point in the grid (refer to as voxel hereafter) we used FFT to compute the spectrum independently for each trial, from 0.2 to 100 Hz with a step size of 0.2 Hz. At the end of this initial analysis we had two sets of data for each voxel, participant and condition:

Set 1: 2 (runs) x 30 (trials) x 1000 (time-slices) = 60,000 samples for the time domain

Set 2: 2 (runs) x 30 (trials) x 500 (frequencies) = 30,000 samples for the frequency domain

The 30 trials of each run and condition for each participant were divided into three sets, each one with 10 trials. The main comparisons performed were between conditions (e.g. Ts_Rr vs Tns_Rr, or Ts_Rr vs Tns_Rnr). The statistical test identified changes for a given statistical contrast, from voxel-by-voxel comparisons between the spectral power of 3.2 Hz band, sliding this band by 1.6 Hz from 1.6 to 96 Hz; the changes were reported either as t-values or p-values after applying Bonferroni correction for multiple voxel comparisons. For each comparison we did the following sequence of tests:

Level 1 analysis: (i) Separately for each participant, run and segment, e.g. Ts_Rr (set i) vs Tns_Rr (set j), with i, j = 1-3, meaning that for each side of the comparison we had 10*n samples (where n is the number of frequency values in each bin). These tests resulted in 9 statistical comparisons for each voxel and frequency, for each condition pair. (ii) Separately for each segment of each run, e.g. Ts_Rr (run i) vs Tns_Rr (run i), meaning that for each side of the comparison we had 30*n samples. (iii) Separately for each participant, e.g. Ts_Rr (run 1 & 2) vs Tns_Rr (run 1 & 2), which meant that for each side of the comparison we have 60*n samples.

Level 2 analysis: This involved checking for what percentage of cases a number of the tests performed at level one agreed. For example, we could use all the 9 statistical tests for each available run, performed between the different segments, and compute for each voxel the percentage of tests for which change in that voxel of a given sign (increase or decrease) was identified with statistical significance with p-value (after Bonferroni correction) lower than a pre-defined level. This percentage was computed for the 36 tests (4x9) when all 4 runs were available, or for 27 tests (3x9) for the one case when one of the runs could not be used.

Level 3 analysis: The same as level 2 analysis but combining the results for the two subjects. We will report results from this level of analysis only.

Results

First, we investigated the changes in the spectral power in AF’s and his student brain during the sending or transmission of energy, and Fig. 1 shows the scalp maps of the power difference in six classical EEG frequency bands for the contrast between two conditions, Ts_Rr and Tns_Rr, for the first dyad (AF and Student-1).

![Figure 1](image.png)
frequency bands, in the delta (< 4 Hz) and theta (4-8 Hz), we observed a suppression of spectral power in the frontal electrode regions, bilaterally, during energy transmission, while parietal region, more in the left hemisphere, showed increased power in the alpha band (8-12 Hz); these parietal increases got stronger and more bilateral in the beta frequency band (12-30 Hz). However, most conspicuous effects were observed in the higher frequency bands, especially in the gamma1 (30-45 Hz) and gamma2 (55-70 Hz) bands, and further these high frequency effects during energy transmission were found almost all over the brain (more than 85% of electrodes showing statistically significant increases). We performed similar contrast on the second dyad (AF and Pupil-2) and the results are shown in Fig. 2. The robust high frequency increases in Teacher's brain during the energy transmission condition were found to be consistent and replicable.

In short, there was a very large and significant high frequency response in the Teacher's brain whenever he was instructed to transmit energy, and this effect was found irrespective of the pupil's state.

Next, we explored the interbrain communication between the Teacher and Pupil using phase slope index (see Methods), a measure sensitive to the flow of information and robust against volume conduction (i.e. mixture of neural sources). We calculated PSI between all pairwise electrodes (Teacher-Pupil interbrain synchrony) in the six frequency bands, and for each frequency band we found the frequency corresponding to the largest PSI value, and subsequently plotted the head-in-head connectivity between all the electrodes of Teacher against all the electrodes of the Pupil. Fig. 4(a-b) shows the robust information flows from occipital and parietal regions bilaterally in Teacher's brain to left central region in Pupil's brain at the alpha frequency during energy transmission condition; this information flow was directional, i.e. from Teacher to Pupil, and no significant information flow in the reverse direction, i.e. from Pupil to Teacher, was observed at the alpha frequency. Further, this directional flow of information at the alpha band was crucially dependent on the instructions given to the pair (Fig. 4(c)): it was largest for the energy transmission condition but only when both the Teacher and the Pupil was given congruent information (TsPr) but not for incongruent one (TsPnr), and further a visual communication channel between the Teacher and the Pupil was found necessary as the interbrain connectivity almost disappeared when the pair was wearing an opaque goggle during energy transmission-reception condition (TtgPrg). The PSI analysis was also performed for the other five frequency bands (Fig. 4(d)). For both delta and beta bands, we found a direction of information flow from the Pupil's to the Teacher's brain, but this was reversed in the high frequency bands. Furthermore, across all frequency bands, we observed that the energy transmission condition with congruent instruction was associated with the most distinct form of interbrain communication as compared to four other conditions, thereby suggesting a clear but implicit synchronization between the Teacher and the Pupil.

We have used electrical field tomography (EFT, see Methods) to obtain estimates of brain activity in the teacher's brain for each interaction between the Teacher and each one of the two pupils and separately for each one of the five conditions.
Figure 3. The EEG spectral power in the Teacher’s brain in three high frequency bands (beta, gamma1, and gamma2) over the significant cluster of electrodes across five conditions (see Table 1 for details). Whenever the Teacher was in sending mode (with or without goggles), the high frequency brain responses were increased.

Figure 4. The panels show the interbrain synchrony analysis using the phase slope index (PSI). (a) Teachers scalp nose up. Red colors significant values Teacher driving Pupil. Condition, Teacher sending and Receiver receiving, Ts_Rr. (b) Head diagrams Teacher and student facing each other. Shows significant couplings of PSI, Teacher driving student. (c) Significant relative PSI values for alpha band and conditions. (d) Note columns going down are student leading teacher. Relative number of significant PSI for each condition in the delta, alpha, beta, gamma1 and gamma2 bands. Note interbrain communication was always greater in Ts_Rr.

We then contrasted the activity between each pair of conditions as outlined in the Methods. We found statistically highly significant changes in the brain of the Teacher between the periods when the Teacher was transmitting and conditions when the Teacher was not transmitting. There was no obvious dependence of these changes (in the Teacher’s brain) on the pupils’ state, i.e., we found no consistent changes in the activity of the Teacher’s brain when the Pupil was receiving and when he was not receiving. The bulk of the changes in the brain of the Teacher were increases during the transmitting periods that were prominent in the left temporo-occipital-parietal cortex and the cerebellum. The spectral power of these increases during transmitting periods were characterized by sweeps in the frequency domain that started in the occipito-temporal cortex (BA37) and the cerebellum on one hemisphere, then spread more in the cerebellum and over the lateral occipital and temporal cortex (BA37 and BA39) of the same hemisphere and then in the opposite cerebellum and hemisphere. The first and clearer sweep of spectral power (orderly spread in spatial extend as frequency increases, usually started in the left
hemisphere around 8 Hz and by 12 Hz it also spread over the other hemisphere, starting again on the homologous sides in the cerebellum and BA37. Two more sweeps were seen next in the beta and gamma1 frequency range, with at least two more sweeps in the high gamma band. During the sweeps at higher frequencies, the increases spread further forward and are more extensive in the left hemisphere. These sweeps in the frequency domain in the teacher's brain were identified for each contrast (TsPr vs TnsPr) runs in the Teacher interaction with each one of the two pupils. The increases in spectral power were too widespread to clearly identify main foci, but such foci were clearly seen at the beginning and end of frequency sweeps, when these sweeps were not overlapping with each other. During such clear starts and ends of sweeps, the consistent foci of peak changes were in the cerebellum and in BA37 and BA39. These increases were bilateral, but with the increases in the left hemisphere much stronger than the ones on the right. Fig. 5 shows the foci of highly significant increases in activity that survived at $p<0.00001$ (after Bonferroni correction) in 27 separate comparisons between Ts_Rr) and Tns_Rr sets of data between 9 and 13 Hz. In this grand-statistic the traces of the first two sweeps can be identified, that are the tops of widespread changes seen in each one of the statistical comparisons. Decreases in spectral power (lower spectral power in transmitting compared to the not transmitting periods) were observed in the frontal cortex. The decreases were consistent, prominent and more persistent in the right hemisphere with the strongest foci in the inferior frontal gyrus and mid-frontal gyrus encompassing Brodmann areas 44, 45 and 46.

Highly significant changes in spectral power were observed in the brain of the Teacher when the two transmitting conditions were compared to each other, i.e. when the normal transmitting condition (without goggles) was compared with the transmitting condition with goggles. This comparison revealed changes in activity that were prominent in the contrast “Teacher sending” vs “Teacher not sending” but this time these changes were lateralized. The most consistent changes were identified in the left hemisphere: they were highly significant increases over wide frequency ranges beginning in the alpha band and extending through the highest frequencies covered by our analysis (high gamma band). These changes were increases in spectral power (higher spectral power for transmitting without goggles) in the left occipitoparietal temporal areas and widespread decreases (reduced spectral power for transmitting without goggle) in the left frontal areas as shown in Fig. 6. Highly significant increases were identified in the early visual areas of the right hemisphere, confined to the low alpha frequency range.

Figure 5. Common increases in spectral power in the contrast TsPr vs TnsPr. The yellow outline marks the foci of increases in the brain activity of the Teacher's brain that survived the threshold of $p<0.00001$ (after Bonferroni correction) for each one of 27 separate statistical contrast performed on the available data. The coronal slice contains the most prominent and consistent increases identified bilaterally in the cerebellum and in BA37.

Figure 6. Changes in spectral power in the contrast TsPr vs TsgPrg. The changes captured the peak of the changes, which correspond to the middle of frequency sweeps seen in changes between conditions when the Teacher was sending and when he was not. The top row shows a sagittal and the lower row an axial slice. Yellow contours show increases (spectral power higher without the goggles) while orange contours show decreases (spectral power higher with the goggles). The two cuts are through the BA39, marked by the light blue circle in each figurine, with center in Talairach Coordinates (TC: -50, 60, 22). On the sagittal cut, the white circle ventral to the BA39 ROI is at the peak of BA37 increase in activity (TC: -53, -53, -4) and the frontal white circle marks the peak in BA45/BA46 (TC: -52, 24, 20). On the axial cut, the two circles mark peaks in the BA9 the most rostral at (TC: -39, 23, 36) and the one just caudally to it at (TC: -39, 23, 36). Other areas of reduced activity in the left frontal cortex are over the Broca area covering much of the areas BA45 and BA46.
Discussion

In this study, we provided, to the best of our knowledge, a first preliminary account on the neural correlates of an induced light experience during meditation. Meditation practices are studied in the empirical neuroscientific research field by focusing on the meditators in isolation (Goleman and Davidson, 2017), yet meditation in the context of traditional religions is practiced, especially at the beginning stage, in the presence of a meditation Teacher or a Guru. Perceiving the inner light and self-awakening may be the final goal of most meditators, but light can also be subjectively perceived by a meditating pupil as being transferred by his/her expert meditating Teacher. This kind of directional exchange of energy/light, as manifested by the phenomenological ILE, is often associated with a serene, ecstatic mental state during meditation, and further, may lead towards a significant improvement in the intellectual, emotional and spiritual lives.

EEG spectral analysis clearly demonstrates a very robust increase in the high frequency neural oscillations, especially in the gamma frequency band, in the Teacher’s brain when he was giving light/energy, and further, the scalp maps suggest this effect to be at the global brain level, when averaged over the entire session of light giving condition. As stated earlier in the Introduction, broad gamma oscillations (> 30 Hz) are consistently associated with meditating states (Cahn, Delorme et al., 2010; Berkovich-Ohana, Glicksohn et al., 2012; Hauswald, Ubelacker et al., 2015); further, the enhanced gamma oscillations are usually reported more over the posterior parieto-occipital regions, and correlated with the meditation expertise (Lutz, Greischar et al., 2004; Cahn, Delorme et al., 2010). Most of these studies involve mindfulness or Vipassana meditation states or meditators, which are not essentially the same mental state as reported by the Teacher, AF, who described it as “standing back into the void.” AF mastered his ability to give light after decades of silent vigils in French cathedrals and thus his meditation method is clearly idiosyncratic, although his description suggests more like an objectless meditation rather than an object driven state. Although the precise nature of this very large increase of gamma oscillations is difficult to decipher, we suggest that this could be related to with intense spontaneous visual imagery as experienced during “encounters with light” phenomenon (Lo, Huang et al., 2003; Lindahl, Kaplan et al., 2014). Interestingly, a recent paper (Braboszcz, Cahn et al., 2017) has studied Isha Shoonya meditation, which is based on a related concept “nothingness/doing nothing”, and found high gamma synchronization in both parieto-occipital and frontal regions during meditation; the results are interpreted in terms of higher top-down control mediated by frontal oscillations (Ossandon, Vidal et al., 2012).

Our source reconstructions based on the embedded field tomography (EFT) spectral analysis identified widespread changes in the Teacher’s brain activity during energy sending mode that were organized in frequency sweeps that started in the cerebellum and occipito-temporal cortex and spread widely over temporal (BA37, BA39) and frontal areas (BA44, BA45, and BA46): the areas showing consistent decreases in activations were observed in the homologous areas in the right hemisphere. Prominent changes in these same occipitotemporal and frontal areas were identified when the normal “transmitting” condition was contrasted with the transmitting condition with goggles. Interestingly, these activated brain areas are part of the lexical-sematic system of the language network (e.g. see Table 2 in Ardila et al., 2016).

A novel aspect of this study was that we could investigate the communication between two meditating brains, i.e. interbrain synchrony between Teacher-Pupil during the ILE. We used an effective connectivity measure, phase slope index, that is not only suitable for revealing the direction of the information flow between the two interacting brains, but also is quite robust against volume conduction that often leads to spurious synchrony at the sensor level analysis (Nolte, Ziehe et al., 2008). For the condition associated with the ILE (TsPr, Teacher sending/ Pupil receiving), we observed a conspicuous flow of information from the Teacher’s brain to the Pupil’s brain in the alpha, gamm1 and gamm2 frequency bands. Interbrain synchrony, though not a mechanism in itself, reveals something unique about the underlying neuronal dynamics in the two interacting brains that are associated with some distinct psychological processes under investigation. For example, in a seminal study, Dikker et al., (2017) demonstrated that the degree of interbrain synchrony between a group of students in a classroom predicts the overall classroom engagement, and further a brief face-to-face contact prior to the class was found to significantly boost interbrain synchrony during subsequent classroom activities (Bhattacharya 2017, Dikker, Wan et al., 2017). One of the principal psychological mechanisms...
by which two brains show more synchronized responses is joint attention, i.e. “two individuals know that they are attending to something common”, and is considered to provide a foundation for social cognition and interaction (Tomasello, 1995). A recent study has shown that during a visual search task, joint attention leads to enhanced interbrain synchrony than individual attention, and further the team efficiency is correlated with the increase in interbrain synchrony values (Szymanski, Pesquita et al., 2017). Interbrain synchrony is also shown to be dependent on interpersonal relationship (Müller and Lindenberger 2014; Hu, Hu et al., 2017), implicit social interaction (Yun, Watanabe et al., 2009). Joint attention is often mediated by eye contacts between interacting individuals (Farroni, Csibra et al., 2002), and it has indeed been found that exchange of information in the direction of mutual gaze is associated with an enhanced interbrain synchrony (Dikker, Wan et al., 2017; Leong, Byrne et al., 2017).

This account of joint attention or shared intentionality by mutual gaze leading towards the largest interbrain synchrony during the ILE is supported by our finding that interbrain synchrony in the alpha band, i.e. the marker of joint attention, dropped drastically for the ILE condition after blocking the mutual gaze by using opaque goggles. Of course, it is important to remember that mutual gaze only cannot be the sole explanatory factor as mutual gaze was maintained for three other conditions (TsPr, TnsPr, TnsPnr) yet the degrees of alpha interbrain synchrony was still considerably lower than for the TsPr condition. It could be that the pupil might have picked up implicit cues, albeit unconsciously, from the Teacher during the TsPr condition, leading to a stronger physical entrainment to each other, thereby amplifying the degree of interbrain synchrony. However, future research could elucidate further on this possible mechanism.

Let us discuss a few practical remarks and potential limitations of the current study. The first issue is about the generality of effects as we have tested only one Teacher and two of his Pupils. Although the Teacher’s brain responses were found to be remarkably consistent across both pupils (Fig. 1,2), it is still debatable whether one would expect similar effects with a different Teacher. As stated earlier, finding a meditation Teacher who can consistently induce ILE in his Pupil and is ready to be subjected to rigorous empirical investigation would remain difficult, so these results should be treated as preliminary. Second, the high frequency gamma activity is sometimes associated with microsaccades, i.e., miniscule jerky eye movements (Yuval-Greenberg, Tomer et al., 2008), but microsaccades were unlikely to contribute towards the reported high frequency effects during ILE for the following reasons. Microsaccades contaminated EEG data when recorded with nose reference whereas we used average of two earlobes as a reference, which is less likely to be contaminated by this artefact (Braboszcz, Cahn et al., 2017). Further, the scalp maps of the reported high frequency effects are too widespread to be accounted for by the microsaccades.

In summary, this paper reveals the neural correlates of a little studied but subjectively highly valued phenomenological state of induced light experience during meditation. We have provided evidence that an expert meditation Teacher’s brain in this light giving meditative state was accompanied with very high frequency brain oscillations observed over a widely distributed brain network including lexical system of the language brain. Further, the Teacher’s brain was in synchrony with the Pupil’s brain during ILE, but this interbrain communication disappeared when the visual communication between the Teacher and his Pupil was limited. These findings are interpreted in the framework of joint attention and shared intentionality. Altogether, these results offer new promise in the study of meditation in a social interactional context, and hopefully stimulate the broader research on subjective conscious experience.
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