

Goldsmiths Research Online

*Goldsmiths Research Online (GRO)
is the institutional research repository for
Goldsmiths, University of London*

Citation

Henningsen-Schomers, M.; Garagnani, M. and Pulvermüller, F.. 2022. 'Influence of language on concept formation and perception in a brain-constrained deep neural network model'. In: TABU Dag 2022. Groningen, Netherlands 9 - 22 June 2022. [Conference or Workshop Item]

Persistent URL

<https://research.gold.ac.uk/id/eprint/31555/>

Versions

The version presented here may differ from the published, performed or presented work. Please go to the persistent GRO record above for more information.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Goldsmiths, University of London via the following email address: gro@gold.ac.uk.

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated. For more information, please contact the GRO team: gro@gold.ac.uk

Influence of language on concept formation and perception in a brain-constrained deep neural network model

Malte R. Henningsen-Schomers^{1,5}, Max Garagnani^{2,1}, Friedemann Pulvermüller^{1,3,4,5}

¹ Brain Language Lab, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

² Dept. of Computing, Goldsmiths, University of London, London, United Kingdom

³ Berlin School of Mind and Brain, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

⁴ Einstein Center for Neurosciences, Berlin, Germany

⁵ Cluster of Excellence 'Matters of Activity. Image Space Material', Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Whether language influences perception and thought remains a subject of intense debate (1, 2). We address this question in a brain-constrained neurocomputational model (3) of fronto-occipital (extrasyllvian) and fronto-temporal (perisyllvian) cortex including spiking neurons. The unsupervised neural network was simultaneously presented with word forms (phonological patterns, “labels”) in perisyllvian areas and semantic grounding information (sensory-motor patterns, “percepts”) in extrasyllvian areas representing either concrete or abstract concepts. Following the approach used in a previous simulation (4), each to-be-learned concept was modeled as a triplet of partly overlapping percepts; the models were trained under two conditions: each instance of a perceptual triplet (patterns in extrasyllvian areas) was repeatedly paired with patterns in perisyllvian areas consisting of either (a) a corresponding word form (label condition), or (b) noise (no-label condition).

We quantified the emergence of neuronal representations for the conceptually-related percepts using dissimilarity (Euclidean distance) of neuronal activation vectors during perceptual stimulation. Category learning was measured as the difference between within- and between-concept dissimilarity values (DissimDiff) of perceptual activation patterns.

Results

A repeated-measures ANOVA with factors SemanticType (concrete/abstract) and Labelling showed main effects of both SemanticType and Label, and a significant interaction. We also quantified the “label effect” in percentage change from NoLabel to Label conditions, separately for between- and within-category dissimilarities. This showed that the label effect was mainly driven by changes in between-category dissimilarity, was significantly larger for abstract than concrete concepts, and became even larger in the “deeper” layers of the model.

Conclusion

Providing a referential verbal label during the acquisition of a new concept significantly improves the cortex’ ability to develop distinct semantic-category representations from partly-overlapping (and non-overlapping) perceptual instances. Crucially, this effect is most pronounced in higher-order semantic-hub areas of the network. These results provide the first neurocomputational evidence for a “Whorfian” effect of language on perception and concept formation.

References

1. G. Dove, More than a scaffold: Language is a neuroenhancement. *Cogn. Neuropsychol.*, 1–24 (2019).
2. C. Firestone, B. J. Scholl, Cognition does not affect perception: Evaluating the evidence for “top-down” effects. *Behav. Brain Sci.* **39** (2016).
3. F. Pulvermüller, R. Tomasello, M. R. Henningsen-Schomers, T. Wennekers, Biological constraints on neural network models of cognitive function. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **22**, 488–502 (2021).
4. M. R. Henningsen-Schomers, F. Pulvermüller, Modelling concrete and abstract concepts using brain-constrained deep neural networks. *Psychol. Res.* (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-021-01591-6>.