

Audiovisual performance composition using electromagnetic waves

Eleonora Maria Irene Oreggia

PhD thesis

School of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science
Queen Mary University of London

2020

In loving memory of my mother Irene
whose intelligence still vibrates through and around me

Contents

1 Preamble	23
1.1 Imagination	23
1.2 Heuristics	26
1.3 Rationale	29
1.4 Outline	35
1.5 Contribution	36
2 Context	38
2.1 Electric sound	38
2.2 Theremin	48
2.3 Expression	53
2.4 Sensor systems	54
2.5 Action at a distance	56
2.6 Contactless interaction	60
2.7 Live composition	64
2.8 Antennas and acupuncture	69
2.9 Electromagnetism and nature	71
2.10 Waves as art material	74
3 D�etournement	82
3.1 About Function	82
3.2 Expectations	83
3.3 Manipulation	85
3.4 Contemplation	86
3.5 Machinic aesthetics	86
3.6 Art as a Machine	87
3.7 Towards a dynamic of thought	89
3.8 Technological sublime	90
3.9 Artificial companions	92
4 Light	95
4.1 Ray or particle?	95

4.2	Sound	101
4.3	Waves	103
4.4	Time Scales	106
4.5	Synaesthesia	108
4.6	Quasi-perceptual experience	112
4.7	Empiric noise-at-a-distance	114
4.8	Noise Bots	118
4.9	Sonic aesthetics	122
4.10	Cross modal influence	123
4.11	Chaos or catastrophe	127
4.12	Contamination	135
5	Experience	137
5.1	Experiment as variation	137
5.2	Art and science	139
5.3	The stage as laboratory	142
5.4	Workshops as verification methods	143
5.5	Residencies	145
5.6	Alternative theories	146
5.7	Synthesizers	152
5.8	Instruments	161
5.9	Music	165
5.10	Curating	166
5.11	Engagement	167
6	Electromagnetism	168
6.1	What is the electromagnetic field?	168
6.2	Antennas	185
7	Amplitude	190
7.1	Detecting a signal	190
7.2	The field as a controller	195
7.3	Measuring transcendence	200
7.4	The sound of the electromagnetic field	206
8	Phase	213
8.1	The concept of transmission line	213
8.2	The third dimension	218
8.3	Detecting phase and gain of a signal	219
8.4	Phase as a function of distance	228
8.5	Creating an interference	229

8.6	Sweeping	232
8.7	Embedded processor	233
8.8	Spectrifier	234
8.9	Spectral Vortex	238
8.10	Comparison with other systems	242
8.11	Detecting touch	243
9	Perception	246
9.1	Outer consciousness	246
9.2	Perception as a form of representation	249
9.3	The electromagnetic multiverse	252
9.4	Can you perceive the electromagnetic field?	253
9.5	Perceptual domain shift	254
9.6	Cabalistic software	259
9.7	Observation	259
9.8	Movement or sensation	262
9.9	Thematic analysis	264
9.10	Uncertain interaction	267
9.11	Between body and space	269
10	Rebus	271
10.1	A brief overview on designing DMI	271
10.2	Technological becoming	272
10.3	Miniaturising the system	273
10.4	Riddles	278
10.5	Playing with waves	279
10.6	A novel musical instrument	281
10.7	Sound design	288
10.8	Tech specs and state of the art	298
11	Conclusions	301
11.1	Pandora's black box	301
11.2	Beyond the limits of the body	303
11.3	Rebus is not just a Theremin	304
11.4	Contributions	305
11.5	Future work	308
	Appendices	311

Appendix	311
A When Technology Goes Out of Control	311
B Because There Was No User in Art. Imagining a Technological Sublime	330
C The EM Field as a Sensor System	345
D DVD: complementary material in the form of Sound, Video and Code	349
D.1 Sound	349
D.2 Video	350
D.3 Code	350
E Research Outputs	352
F Noisebots schematics	358
G Lightsynth schematics	360
H Spartito / Score	362
I Cyborg1 schematics	364
J Interview for the third edition of The Digital Musician	366
K Glossary of antennas engineering	370
L Spectropticon schematic for PCB	373
M Study0: Transcendence	375
N Study01: Perception	388